# A Sandawe Grammar

Helen Eaton

SIL International 2010

# SIL e-Books 20

©2010 SIL International Library of Congress Catalog No: ISBN: 978-1-55671-252-4 ISSN: 1934-2470

Fair-Use Policy:

Books published in the SIL e-Books (SILEB) series are intended for scholarly research and educational use. You may make copies of these publications for research or instructional purposes free of charge (within fair-use guidelines) and without further permission. Republication or commercial use of SILEB or the documents contained therein is expressly prohibited without the written consent of the copyright holder(s).

Series Editor Mary Ruth Wise

#### Volume Editor Mickey Brussow

#### Managing Editor Bonnie Brown

**Compositor** Margaret González

# Contents

Abstract

- 1 Introduction
  - 1.1 The Sandawe language
  - 1.2 Previous research
  - 1.3 Phonological overview
  - 1.4 The data
- 2 Nouns
  - 2.1 Indirect marking of nouns for gender and number
  - 2.2 Grammatical gender and number in animate nouns
  - 2.3 Grammatical gender in inanimate nouns
  - 2.4 The plural suffixes /-xé:/ and /-xi/
  - 2.5 Specificity
  - 2.6 Genitives
    - 2.6.1 Tonal genitive
    - 2.6.2 Pronominal genitive
- 3 Pronouns
  - 3.1 Personal pronouns
  - 3.2 Other pronominal forms
- 4 Postpositions
  - 4.1 /-ts'ì/ 'at'
  - 4.2 /-tà/ 'in'
  - 4.3 /-nà/ 'to'
  - 4.4 /-tànà/ 'into'
  - 4.5 /-t∫è/ 'from'
  - 4.6 /-taste/ 'out of'
  - 4.7 /-ll'à(?)/ 'belong'
  - 4.8 /-?i̇́:/ 'with'
  - 4.9 /-xé?°/ 'like'
  - 4.10 /-kìmé:/ 'because'
- 5 Verbs
  - 5.1 Object marking on the verb
    - 5.1.1 Object agreement morphemes
    - 5.1.2 Other verb stem changes
  - 5.2 Object marking on the object
  - 5.3 Benefactive
  - 5.4 Applicative
  - 5.5 'Give'
  - 5.6 Other number marking
    - 5.6.1 Suppletive stems for subject number
    - 5.6.2 Multiple
  - 5.7 Verbal extensions
    - 5.7.1 Causative

- 5.7.2 Reflexive/stative
- 5.7.3 Reciprocal
- 5.7.4 Comitative
- 5.7.5 Durative
- 5.7.6 Desiderative
- 6 Modifiers
  - 6.1 Adjectives
    - 6.1.1 Person, gender and number marking
    - 6.1.2 Intensifying and weakening
    - 6.1.3 Comparatives
    - 6.1.4 Negative and future
  - 6.2 Non-numeral quantifiers
  - 6.3 Numerals
    - 6.3.1 Cardinal
    - 6.3.2 Ordinal
    - Demonstratives
      - 6.4.1 Demonstrative pronouns
      - 6.4.2 Demonstrative adjectives
  - 6.5 Adverbs
- 7 Conjunctions

6.4

- 7.1 /nī́ː/, /hī́ː/ 'and'
- 7.2 /há:/, /hấ:/ 'nor', 'even', 'but' and /hâxì/ 'again'
- 7.3 Narrative, repetitive and subjunctive conjunctions
- 7.4 /-à/ narrative connective
- 7.5 /-kí/ additive
- 7.6 /hèwé? gâ/, /hèwé? gê/, /hèwé-ts'ì/, /hèwé-kìmé:/ 'and so, therefore'
- 7.7 /-sí?/ locative
- 8 Word order
  - 8.1 Proposition level
  - 8.2 Clause level
  - 8.3 Phrase level
- 9 Derivation
  - 9.1 Deriving nouns
    - 9.1.1 Action nominalisation and PPs derived from nominalised VPs
      - 9.1.1.1 /-kìmé:/ 'because'
      - 9.1.1.2 /-nà/ 'to'
      - 9.1.1.3 /-ts'ì/ 'at'
      - 9.1.1.4 Other postpositions
    - 9.1.2 Agentive nominalisation
    - 9.1.3 Instrumental nominalisation
  - 9.2 Deriving verbs
    - 9.2.1 /-ts'í/
    - 9.2.2 /-sì/
    - 9.2.3 /-sí-kù/

- 9.2.4 /-msé/
- 9.2.5  $/-i\hat{k}i/, /-ki/, /-ki/$
- 9.3 Deriving adjectives
  - 9.3.1 /- t<sup>h</sup>é:/
  - 9.3.2 /-? tó/
  - 9.3.3 /-tò/
- 10 Mood, reality and aspect
  - 10.1 Declaratives
    - 10.1.1 Realis affirmative
      - 10.1.1.1 Pronominal clitics (PCs)
      - 10.1.1.2 Subject focus (SF) marker
    - 10.1.2 Realis negative
    - 10.1.3 Irrealis affirmative
    - 10.1.4 Irrealis negative
  - 10.2 Interrogatives
    - 10.2.1 Question-word interrogatives
      - 10.2.1.1 Who
      - 10.2.1.2 What
      - 10.2.1.3 Why
      - 10.2.1.4 Where
      - 10.2.1.5 When
      - 10.2.1.6 How
      - 10.2.1.7 Which
      - 10.2.1.8 How many/much
      - 10.2.1.9 /-ná/ Question suffix
    - 10.2.2 Yes/no interrogatives
  - 10.3 Imperatives and subjunctives
    - 10.3.1 Affirmative
    - 10.3.2 Negative
  - 10.4 Aspect
    - 10.4.1 Perfective and imperfective
      - 10.4.1.1 Completed versus ongoing
      - 10.4.1.2 Achieved versus intended
      - 10.4.1.3 Default versus background
    - 10.4.2 Completive
    - 10.4.3 Progressive
    - 10.4.4 Habitual
  - 10.5 Conditional
- 11 Other clause types
  - 11.1 Copular
  - 11.2 Exclamatory
  - 11.3 Subordinate
  - 11.4 Possession
  - 11.5 Relative
    - 11.5.1 Subject relative
    - 11.5.2 Object relative

#### 12 Discourse

- 12.1 Narrative structure
- 12.2 Participant reference12.3 Focus and topic
- 12.4 Prominence
- 12.5 Reporting speech12.6 Evidentials

Appendix References

# Abstract

This paper is a descriptive overview of the grammar of Sandawe, a Khoisan language spoken in Tanzania. The first section begins with a brief description of the geographical context of the Sandawe language, followed by a short summary of previous research into the language. This is followed by a phonological overview and an explanation of the sources and presentation of the data contained in the grammar.

Sections 2 to 7 are organised according to grammatical category and consider nouns, pronouns, postpositions, verbs, modifiers and conjunctions, respectively. Section 8 looks at word order and section 9 at derivation. Clause construction is then discussed, with section 10 concerning itself with mood, reality, and aspect in major clause types and section 11 covering the remaining clause types. Finally, section 12 takes a brief look at some important discourse features evident in Sandawe. A sample text is given in full in the Appendix.

# **1** Introduction

The aim of this paper is to present a descriptive overview of the grammar of Sandawe, a Khoisan language spoken in Tanzania. An effort has been made to provide a wide coverage of grammar topics and, consequently, no topic is covered in as much depth as it deserves. Leaving these disclaimers aside, it is hoped, however, that this grammar fulfils both its primary goal of being a practical resource for those working on the Sandawe Bible translation project and also its secondary goal of making the knowledge gained by those in the project more widely available.<sup>1</sup>

In the main, no attempt has been made to relate the Sandawe grammatical phenomena described here to those found in other Khoisan languages. Nor has much attention been paid to discussing the Sandawe phenomena with respect to their possible diachronic development. Instead, the grammar presented here concerns itself with how Sandawe is currently spoken and tries to describe the language using familiar and accessible categories and terminology.

In the subsections of this introduction, a brief description of the geographical context of the Sandawe language is given, followed by a short summary of previous research into the language. A phonological overview forms the next subsection and is followed by an explanation of the sources and presentation of the data contained in this grammar.

Section 2 to 7 are organised according to grammatical category and consider nouns, pronouns, postpositions, verbs, modifiers and conjunctions respectively. Section 8 looks at word order and section 9 at derivation. Clause construction is then discussed, with section 10 concerning itself with mood, reality, and aspect in major clause types and section 11 covering the remaining clause types. Finally, section 12 takes a brief look at some important discourse features evident in Sandawe. A sample text is given in full in the Appendix.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This work has benefited greatly from numerous discussions on Sandawe gammar with colleagues Daniel and Elisabeth Hunziker and from input graciously given by linguist Ed Elderkin. Thanks are also due to the Sandawe speakers who provided the texts discussed here.

## 1.1 The Sandawe language

Sandawe is spoken by a group of perhaps up to 40,000 people, most of whom live in the Kondoa district of central Tanzania. Sandawe has been regarded by many scholars as a Khoisan language, although the issue of its classification remains a contentious one. In a dissertation on linguistic relationships, Sands (1995) concludes that 'it seems a little more likely than not that the Northern, Southern, Central Khoisan groups along with Sandawe are related' (1995:193–194). However, recent research has cast doubt on the position that a Khoisan family exists (Güldemann and Vossen 2000). There are no other Khoisan languages surrounding Sandawe, but there are representatives from Greenberg's (1955) other three main language families, such as Burunge and Iraqw (Afro-Asiatic), Nyaturu and Gogo (Niger-Congo), and Datooga (Nilo-Saharan).

Sandawe has two main dialects, the differences between which are 'slight and gradual' (ten Raa 1970:147) and include speech speed and other pronunciation features, lexis, grammatical phenomena and the use of taboo language. Speakers of different dialects report no problems with mutual intelligibility. The two dialects are referred to here as *western Sandawe* and *eastern Sandawe*, corresponding to *Dtelha* ('proper Sandawe') and *Bisa* ('uncouth Sandawe') in ten Raa's work (1970:131). Western Sandawe can be further divided into two sub-varieties, with one being labelled western and the other central. The differences between these two varieties are not as considerable as those which differentiate the western and eastern dialects.<sup>2</sup>

Most Sandawe also speak Swahili to a level that allows basic conversation with neighbouring peoples. Swahili competency depends partly on geographical location, with the Sandawe living in more remote areas being less likely to know Swahili well, and partly on age and education level, with older and less educated Sandawe being less familiar with Swahili.

### 1.2 Previous research

The classification of the Sandawe language is treated in several places, including Greenberg (1950), Ehret (1986) and Sands (1995). Greenberg (1950) divides the Khoisan language phylum into three major branches: Sandawe, Hadza, and Southern Africa. The latter branch is then divided three ways, following the classification of Bleek (1927), into Northern, Central and Southern. Ehret (1986) supported the position that Sandawe and Hadza are both Khoisan and found that the former was more clearly so. Elderkin, who has done much research on Sandawe, claims that Sandawe's Khoisan affiliation 'cannot be challenged' (1982:79), but he also recognises the distance of the relationship between Sandawe and the rest of the Khoisan group, commenting that 'Sandawe, although not the real McKhoi, seems to be a cousin' (1992:121). Sands (1995:193–194), in a dissertation on distant linguistic relationships that uses Khoisan as a case study, concludes that Sandawe is clearly related to the Khoisan group.

Early research on Sandawe phonetics and phonology can be found in Dempwolff (1916) and Copland (1938). More recent studies include that which was undertaken by Tucker and Bryan (1977), who made a phonetic comparison of the three East African click languages: Sandawe, Hadza, and Dahalo. Wright *et al.* (1995) investigated Sandawe clicks and concluded that there

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See Eaton, Hunziker, and Hunziker (2007) for further discussion of the Sandawe dialect situation.

are five contrastive click accompaniments in the language's phonemic inventory: voiceless unaspirated, voiceless aspirated, voiced, voiced nasalized, and glottalised.

Various aspects of Sandawe tonal phonology have been studied by Elderkin (1986, 1989, 1991, 1992). Elderkin's 1989 thesis *The significance and origin of the use of pitch in Sandawe* explores the interaction of syntax and tone and gives a diachronic explanation for the phenomena observed. Elderkin claims that pitch levels for words in Sandawe (referred to later as *word keys* in a 1999 paper) are determined by syntactic structure and by the structure of information. A different set of tonal phenomena is described as part of a phonology of Sandawe produced by Hunziker *et al.* (2008). The two different tonological descriptions may represent different stages in language development, or possibly two contrasting dialects. A recent phonetic sketch of Sandawe is Eaton (2006).

Previous research on Sandawe grammar includes the early treatments given by Dempwolff (1916) and van de Kimmenade (1936). Kagaya (1990, 1994) looked at word order and subject marking. Eaton (2008) discussed the relationship between object marking and aspect. A grammar sketch has been produced by Elderkin (GS), which takes a more diachronic approach to the data than the grammar presented here. Differences in categorisation and terminology between Elderkin's grammar sketch and the present one stem largely from differences in approach, rather than differences in data.

In the area of discourse, the grammar of focus is considered in Eaton (2002) from a largely theoretical perspective. More data-focused treatments of Sandawe discourse are provided by Elderkin (1994) and Eaton (2005), which consider data from oral and written texts, respectively.

# 1.3 Phonological overview

The following tables give the consonant phoneme inventory for Sandawe:

	Bilabial	Labio-	Alveolar	Alveolar	Post-	Velar	Labial-	Glottal
		dental		lateral	alveolar/		velar	
					Palatal			
Plosive	p p <sup>h</sup> b		t t <sup>h</sup> d			k k <sup>h</sup> g		?
Affricate				tł dl	t∫ t∫ <sup>h</sup> dʒ			
Ejective			ts'			k'		
Ejective				tł'				
affricate								
Nasal	m		n					
Тар			r					
Fricative		f	S	4		х		h
Approximant					j		W	
Lateral			1					
approximant								

 Table 1.1 Pulmonic and glottalic consonant phonemes

	Dental	Post-alveolar	Lateral
Voiceless unaspirated		!	
Voiceless aspirated	<sup>h</sup>	! <sup>h</sup>	∥ <sup>h</sup>
Voiced	<sup>g</sup>	a i	g∥
Voiced nasalised	n	<sup>n</sup> !	n
Glottalised	'	!'	∥'

Table 1.2 Velaric consonant phonemes

In the eastern dialect of Sandawe, /tJ/may be fronted to /ts/may be fronted to /dz/may be fronted to /dz/may or weakened to /z/. In both dialects, /tt'/may is realised as [kt'] before /u/may or /w/, and /n/may as [n] before velar consonants. All plosives, fricatives, and clicks, with the exception of the labials, /?/, /h/, /d/, /dl/, and /tt/, may be labio-velarised. The consonant /w/may is not found preceding /i/may or /u/.

Clicks are found both word-initially and (less commonly) word-medially. Voiced clicks are rare and words containing them are pronounced with voiceless aspirated clicks by some speakers.

The following vowel phonemes are found in Sandawe:

Table 1.3 Vowel phonemes

Short oral	Long oral	Nasal
i	ir	ĩ
e	e:	õ:
a	a:	ã:
0	01	õ:
u	u:	ũ:

Contrastive length is a feature of oral vowels in Sandawe, but not of nasal vowels. Long oral vowels are approximately 1.5 times as long as short oral vowels, and slightly longer than nasal vowels. Long vowels, both oral and nasal, are sometimes shortened before a glottal stop. An oral vowel followed by a glottalised click is usually nasalised. Elderkin (1989:51) refers to this predictable nasalisation as 'accidental nasality', which is caused by the lowering of the velum which during the production of a glottalised click. Low toned high vowels are usually devoiced in word-final position. Voiceless high vowels also sometimes occur word-medially.

Sandawe has two underlying tone levels: high  $/^{\prime}/$  and low  $/^{\prime}/$ . A low tone preceded by a high tone causes the tone of any following high tone to be downstepped and occur as a mid tone [<sup>-</sup>] on the surface level. Also, at the surface level, a distinction exists between low level [<sup>\</sup>] and low falling [<sup>\\</sup>] tones in word-final position. The former are lowered high tones and the latter are low tones at the underlying level.

High and low tones occur on both short and long vowels, although low toned long vowels are exceptional. Rising tones occur on long vowels only and falling tones on both short and long vowels. These tones are analysed as sequences of level tones. Table 1.4 illustrates these tone patterns:

Underlying	Surface	Gloss
Н	[sáná]	'beeswax'
Н	[t∫ <sup>h</sup> áː]	'cooking pot'
L	[k <sup>hw</sup> ầ]	'return'
L	[dồː]	'tree (type)'
LH	[t∫ʰǎ:]	'tears'
HL	[t <sup>h</sup> â]	'run (sg.subj.)'
HL	[t∫ʰấ̂ː]	'fat'

Table 1.4 Tone patterns

Rightward tone spread occurs in non-word-final morae in Sandawe. Thus a L tone is realised as a HL tone when it follows a H tone, as in [t<sup>h</sup>ímé-sâ] H-H-L 'cook-3f.sg.PC', and a H tone on a long vowel is realised as a LH tone when it follows a L tone, as in [hùmbù-ǎ:] L-L-H 'cow-SF'. High tone spread applies across syllable boundaries in monomorphemic words as well as multimorphemic words. Thus, the tone pattern of  $[mánt \int^h \hat{a}]$  'food' is analysed as H-L rather than H-HL. However, low tone spread applies across syllable boundaries in multimorphemic words only.

The association of tones to words of differing syllabic patterns shows a high, but not complete, degree of predictability. There is a strong tendency for the H tone to be carried on more syllables than the L tone, leaving the L tone(s) associated to the syllables at the word boundaries. Thus the tone patterns of words such as [gáwâ] H-L 'hill' and [tł'àbísó] L-H-H 'stomach' occur more frequently than those of [tátà] HL-L 'tip, point' and [kèlèmbá] L-L-H 'skin'.

Words may undergo a grammatically-conditioned tone lowering process in which all tones are realised as L tones. Evidence of the original tone pattern can be found in the tone of the final syllable, which is low and level, if it is an underlying H tone, and low and falling, if it is an underlying L tone. This tone lowering process applies to the head in a genititve construction, an adjective in a NP and, under certain conditions, to a verb. The process does not apply to a HL melody word when it follows a H tone, nor to a word which does not contain any H tone (see (23) in section 2.6.1 and (26) in section 4.8 for examples of these exceptions). Some conjunctions also undergo the tone lowering process (see sections 7.1–7.3).

The suffixation of morphemes in Sandawe causes a variety of assimilation processes.<sup>3</sup> If a multisyllabic stem ends in a short oral vowel, it is optionally assimilated to the quality of the vowel in a vowel-initial suffix. The resulting assimilated vowel is then long. This assimilation process does not take place when the stem is monosyllabic, or if the stem-final vowel is long. When a stem ending in a nasal vowel is suffixed with a vowel-initial morpheme, the segment [g] is inserted at the morpheme boundary. A stem-final /u/ or /u/ vowel is realised as [w] before suffixes beginning with /i/, /e/, or /a/. A suffix consisting of a glottal stop and a vowel, such as the third person plural realis pronominal clitic /-à?/, is usually realised with the glottal stop after the vowel, but may also be realised with it before the vowel, as in [-?à] (see example (24) in 4.7).

#### 1.4 The data

All data examples in this grammar come from texts, unless described as 'elicited', in which case they were translated from Swahili without any discourse context or were devised by the author and tested on a mother-tongue speaker of Sandawe. The texts providing the examples include both oral and written texts and draft translations of Bible portions, and come from a variety of Sandawe speakers. The main consultant for the elicitation work was a speaker of the Western dialect of Sandawe, who comes from the village of Magambua. Speakers of both the Western and Eastern dialects are represented in the data from the text corpus.

Each data example given in the following sections contains two lines of IPA transcription. The first line shows the surface form of the data, including the results of segmental assimilations and tonal processes. The second line shows a morpheme by morpheme breakdown of the data, with underlying tones marked. It should be noted that the surface tone markings of the first line of each example do not fully describe the surface pattern of a sequence of low tones following an initial high. That is, since a low tone surfaces at the height of the preceding tone, a sequence of low tones can involve several different tone heights. For example, in the multimorphemic word /ts'â-tà-nà-sà/HL-L-L-L 'water-in-to-3f.sg.PC', each low tone is realised at a successively slightly lower level. However, in the phonetic transcription, such an example is written as [ts'átànàsà], which does not reveal this. Similarly, if a word-final low tone follows a mid tone, it is more accurately described as a mid falling tone, but in the data transcription here, it is shown as a low falling tone.

The following abbreviations are used in the morpheme by morpheme glosses:

&	connective
1pl.	first person plural
1sg.	first person singular
2pl.	second person plural
2sg.	second person singular
3f.sg.	third person feminine singular
3m.sg.	third person masculine singular
3a.pl.	third person animate plural (object)
3i.pl.	third person inanimate plural (object)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> These processes are described in more detail in Hunziker, Hunziker, and Eaton (2008).

3pers.	third person
3pl.	third person plural
add.	additive
adj.	adjectiviser
appl.	applicative
ben.	benefactive
caus.	causative
comp.	comparative
conn.	connective
decl.	declarative
dem.	demonstrative
des.	desiderative
dir.	directional
dist.	distal
dur.	durative
et.al.	et al.
[]gen	tonal genitive
hear.	hearsay
Imp.PC	-
	interrogative
irr.	irrealis
iter.	iterative
loc.	locative
mult.	multiple
NC	narrative conjunction
neg.	negative
nml.	nominaliser
obj.	object
PČ	(realis) pronominal clitic
pl.	plural
poss.	possessive
pro.	pronominal
prox.	proximal
qu.	question
ŔĊ	repetitive conjunction
recip.	reciprocal
ref.	referential
reflex.	reflexive
SC	subjunctive conjunction
SF	subject focus
sg.	singular
sp.	specific
subj.	subject
sub.cl.	subordinate clause
	subjunctive pronominal clitic
verb.	verbaliser

# 2 Nouns

## 2.1 Indirect marking of nouns for gender and number

Nouns in Sandawe are not usually marked for gender or number. Instead, these features for a particular noun are often indicated in other words within the clause. A verb, for example, may be suffixed with the multiple morpheme /-wà/ if the subject is plural, or with an object morpheme which shows the person, gender and number of an object noun in the clause. Thus, in the following examples, although the underlined nouns themselves are not marked for gender and number in the Sandawe, other morphemes indicate these features:

- (1) dềrụ !'úā: l'è:kàwà dèrù !'û-á: l'ě:kâ-wà [chin hair]<sub>GEN</sub>-SF be.heavy-<u>mult.</u> The <u>whiskers</u> are heavy.

kò:	kêutồ	mòkòndồgồ	là?wà:
kó:	kêutò	mòkóndó-Ì -ò	lâ:-wá:
NC(1pl.)	[pig	track] <sub>GEN</sub> -sp1pl.PC	see- <u>3i.pl.obj.</u>
we saw <u>pi</u>	<u>g tracks.</u>		

# 2.2 Grammatical gender and number in animate nouns

Some Sandawe nouns referring to people are directly marked for person, gender and number by means of morphemes which are referred to here as *person gender number (PGN) morphemes* (following Elderkin, 1986:133, after Hagman, 1977:41 for Nama). Two sets of PGN morphemes can be identified, a low-toned set and a high-toned set:<sup>4</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> In Elderkin's analysis the two PGN sets are referred to as *nominal PGNs* (1986:139).

	Low toned	High toned
lsg.	Sì	sé
2sg.	pò	pó
<i>3m.sg.</i>	Ø / è / ù / mù	é / é:
<i>3f.sg.</i>	sù	sú / é:sú
1pl.	sữ: / sà	sấ:
2 <i>pl</i> .	sĩ	sĩ:
<i>3a.pl.</i>	sò	só
<i>3i.pl.</i> <sup>5</sup>	? wà	?wá: / ? ٍwá:

Some of these morphemes can be found in the following nouns which are marked for gender and number:

Table 2.2 Gender and number marking in nouns

Masculine singular	Feminine singular	Plural		
<sup>n</sup> lèmésé:	<sup>n</sup> lèmésú	<sup>n</sup>  òmósò		
man	woman	people		
máxàé:		máxà		
man		men		
	t <sup>h</sup> ámèt∫ <sup>h</sup> ú	t <sup>h</sup> ámèt∫ <sup>h</sup> ì		
	woman	women		
k'àrě:	k'àrě:sú	k'àrě:	(k'àrě:só)	
male youth	female youth	youths		
<sup>n</sup> ∥ŏ:ù	<sup>n</sup> ∥ŏ:sù	<sup>n</sup> ∥ŏ:kó	( <sup>n</sup> ∥ŏ:kósò)	
son	daughter	children		
dì?sě:	dì?sě:sú	dì?sě:	(dì?sě:sò)	(dì?sě:só)
old man	old woman	old people		
wàràngě:	wàràngĕ:sú	wàràngò		
god	goddess	gods		

The high toned PGN morphemes /-é:/ (masculine), /-sú/ (feminine) and /-só/ (plural) can be seen in several words. However, the two examples of words containing the plural morpheme /-só/ (shown in parentheses) occur less frequently than the comparable forms without this morpheme. The low toned PGN morphemes /-ù/ (masculine), /-sù/ (feminine) and /-sò/ (plural) are also found in some of the examples given. Note, also, the presence of the plural morpheme /-kó/ in /<sup>n</sup> $\|$ č:kó/ 'children'. This morpheme is also found attached to /hô/ 'who' when this word has a plural referent (see section 10.2.1.1).

The words for 'son' and 'daughter' and 'children' in the table, above all, include the meaning of belonging to someone. Thus, these words cannot function as the head of a genitive in

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> *3a.pl.* stands for third person animate plural and *3i.pl.* stands for third person inanimate plural.

which the modifier refers to a person because this would contradict, or repeat, the inherent meaning:  $^{6}$ 

(3) \* hèwé <sup>n</sup>∥ồ:u hèwé <sup>n</sup>∥ŏ:ù [he son]<sub>GEN</sub>
\* His son.

Instead, the following forms are used in such constructions:  $\binom{n}{\delta e}$  'boy',  $\binom{n}{\delta s u}$  'girl' and  $\binom{n}{\delta k o}$  'children'. The following examples from the text corpus illustrate the differences between the two sets of forms:

(4)	ni: <sup>n</sup> llŏ:kô:kì ni: <sup>n</sup> llŏ:kó-: -ki and children-sp And the children	í t∫ <sup>h</sup> íà add. all	[male ch	lôkò	
(5)	hèwé?gầ: hèwé? gâ-à and.so-3m.sg.PC And so the word	[be.big-pos		wàròngě:-ř	
	jónầ ?àmìtâi jônà ?àmìtâi Jonah [Amittai got Jonah, son of	<sup>n</sup> ∥ôè-: ̃-à son] <sub>GEN</sub> -sp	3m.sg.PC	!'ò:wè !'ò:-é get-3m.sg.obj.	

#### 2.3 Grammatical gender in inanimate nouns

Inanimate nouns in Sandawe are usually treated as masculine. Two exceptions to this tendency are /ll'àkásù/ 'sun' and /!ǎ; só/ 'moon', which are treated as feminine nouns by many (but not all) speakers of Sandawe.<sup>8</sup> An example of this is as follows:

(6)	∥'àkásữ̃:sụ	sà:	téłásầ	hí∥'í?î̇́:sầ	nà?
	∥'àkásù-: ̇̀-sù	sá:	téłà-sà	hí∥'í-?ī̀:-sà	ná?
	1 0	( U )	completely-3f.sg.PC	heat-with-3f.sg.PC	shine
	The sun shone	completely v	vith heat.		

 $<sup>^{6}</sup>$  If the modifier in the genitive is an animal or an object rather than a person, the  $/^{n} \|\check{o}:-/$  forms may be used.

 $<sup>^7</sup>$  The context makes it clear that 'both' rather than 'all' is meant by /tJ^híà/ here.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> See ten Raa (1969:34–35) for a discussion of the clausal origin of these words and a possible reason behind their feminine grammatical gender.

Furthermore, a noun which is usually masculine can be made a diminutive by treating it as feminine.<sup>9</sup> In the following example, the masculine noun /mêlì/ 'boat' is marked as feminine and thus it is clear that a small boat is meant:

jà?bèsìsồ:sồ hòsó mélìtà (7) ?ó?sì? ?à: ?á: hòsó mêlì-tà jà?bé-sí-sò-: -sò ?ó? -sí? there(ref.)-loc. NC(3pl.) work-poss.-3a.pl.-sp.-3a.pl. they boat-in mélĩ:suts'à? dûrunầ? !èmò:ầ? <sup>n</sup>||<sup>w</sup>è: mêlì-: -sù-ts'ì-à? <sup>n</sup>∥<sup>w</sup>ě: dúrù-nà-à? !èmé-ó:-à? boat-sp.-3f.sg.-at-3pl.PC shore-to-3pl.PC take-nml.-3pl.PC trv It was then that they who worked in the boat tried to take the boat to shore.

#### 2.4 The plural suffixes /-xéi/ and /-xì/

Nouns can be marked as plurals by means of the suffix /-xé:/ together with the specificity suffix /- $\tilde{:}$  /:

bóxề: (8) hèwéxé: k<sup>w</sup>à: bô-xé:-ř hèwéxé: k<sup>w</sup>á: word-pl.-sp. dem.(ref.pl.) NC(3m.sg.) nìnéwì mfàlmèà !'ò:wèwà nìnéwì mfâlmè-à l'ò:-é-wà [Nineveh king]<sub>GEN</sub>-3m.sg.PC get-3m.sg.obj.-mult. These words reached the king of Nineveh.

The plural morpheme /-xé:/ cannot be attached to nouns without a following specificity morpheme. It can, however, be found without the specificity morpheme in plural demonstratives, such as the one in the preceding example.

The plural morpheme /-xi/, glossed here as 'et al.', is used to refer to a group associated with the referent of the (human) noun to which it is attached:

(9)	k <sup>w</sup> à:	hèwéts'â:	
	k <sup>w</sup> á:	hèwé-ts'ì-à	
	NC(3m.sg.)	dem.(ref.3m.sg.)-at-	3m.sg.PC
	jàjáxĩ:sòầ		tìmu?ì:
	jàjá-xì̥-テོ-sò	-à	tímų̀-?ī́:
	brother-et al.	-sp3a.pl3m.sg.PC	swallow-3a.pl.obj.
	And so then	he swallowed the brot	thers.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> ten Raa (1969:32) comments that in Sandawe 'largeness, fullness, and strength are associated with maleness, and that smallness, birth, and weakness are associated with femaleness [...] Any object which is thought of as a small thing in relation to its surroundings tends to be treated as female'.

The et al. suffix is also found suffixed to a noun, in order to indicate a joint subject:<sup>10</sup>

(10) pò:  ${}^{n}\parallel$ ŏ:kóxisō: māntJhà pó:  ${}^{n}\parallel$ ŏ:kó-xì-só: mántJhà NC(1pl.) children-et al.-1pl.SF eat Then I/we eat with the children.

This construction does not show whether one or more people joined with the children to eat, but it does indicate first person. The second person equivalent of this construction is ambiguous in the same way, as the following elicited example illustrates:

 (11) pè: <sup>n</sup>∥ŏ:kóxisī:gā: mānt∫<sup>h</sup>à pé: <sup>n</sup>∥ŏ:kó-xì-sĩ:-á: mánt∫<sup>h</sup>à NC(2pl.) children-et.al.-2pl.-SF eat Then you/you (pl.) eat with the children.

If this construction is third person, the additive morpheme /-kí/ is used instead of a PGN morpheme and the resulting construction is not ambiguous:

(12)	?à:	<sup>n</sup> ∥ŏ:kóxįkīā:	mānt∫ <sup>h</sup> ầ
	?á:	<sup>n</sup> ∥ŏ:kó-xì̥-kí-á:	mánt∫ <sup>h</sup> à
	NC(3pl.)	children-et.aladdSF	eat
	Then they	eat with the children.	

Removing the *et al.* morpheme from this construction changes the meaning from 'they' to 'he/she'.

#### 2.5 Specificity

Sandawe formally marks a distinction between *specific* and *non-specific* NPs. A full NP<sup>11</sup> that is specific is marked by the suffix  $/-\tilde{z}/$ , as shown in the following example:

(13)	mǎ:kâ	tê?	sàndàwě:sú	tésûsi	lầ:
	mǎ:kà	té-è-ts'ì	sàndàwě:-sú	té-sù-sì	lâ:
	year	other-3m.sgat	Sandawe-3f.sg.	other-3f.sg1sg.PC	see
	The other year, I saw another Sandawe woman,				

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> This example contains the alternative first person plural SF marker form /-só:/. A more common way to construct the subject NP is this example would be  $/^{n}$ ||ŏ:kó-xì-sū́:-á:/ 'children-et.al.-1pl.-SF'. The surface tone pattern of the verb in this example starts at the height of the preceding tone, which is mid, because the verb has a HL tone melody and, thus, does not follow the normal tone lowering rule (see section 1.3).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> Pronouns cannot be marked with the specificity morpheme.

tł'àbísósúsu tł'àbísó-sí-sù stomach-poss.-3f.sg. she was pregnant. nī: tł'àbísô: hèwé k<sup>w</sup>à?anájễ: nī: tł'àbísó-i hèwé k<sup>w</sup>à?aná-ì-é:-i and stomach-sp. dem.(ref.3m.sg.) five-pro.-3m.sg.-sp. And this (specific) pregnancy was the fifth.

The morpheme  $/-\dot{z}/$  is not equivalent to the English particle 'the' as it is a marker of specificity, rather than identifiability. This can be illustrated by the following elicited examples:

- (14) <sup>n</sup>|<sup>w</sup>ă: mé: <sup>n</sup>|<sup>w</sup>ă: mé: elephant big The elephant is big. (A generic statement about elephants.)
- (15) <sup>n</sup>|<sup>w</sup>ă: mé: <sup>n</sup>|<sup>w</sup>ă:-<sup>5</sup> mé: elephant-sp. big The (specific) elephant is big. (Said when looking at a group of different animals.)

The NP in example (14) is non-specific, but it is identifiable, and the Sandawe does not include the specificity marker, but the English gloss includes 'the'. In contrast, the NP in example (15) is both specific and identifiable and, therefore, the specificity suffix  $/-\dot{t}$  is used in the Sandawe and 'the' is contained in the English gloss.

A noun which is marked with the specificity suffix is also marked with a low toned PGN morpheme. In the case of third person masculine nouns, this PGN morpheme is zero, but for the other PGN values, a segmental morpheme is evident. In the example below, the third person masculine singular nouns 'lion' and 'tooth' are marked as specific and can be contrasted with the third person feminine noun 'old woman', which is marked as specific and suffixed with the PGN morpheme /-sù/:

(16)	pà:	∥ <sup>h</sup> àt∫ <sup>h</sup> ữ̂:gā:	!'àk <sup>h</sup> ằ:gầ	t∮'àk <sup>hw</sup> è:ầ
	pá:	∥ <sup>h</sup> àt∫ <sup>h</sup> ú-r⊂i-á:	!'àk <sup>h</sup> ắː-r̃ -à	t∮'ák <sup>h</sup> ù-é-à
	NC(3m.sg.)	lion-spSF	tooth-sp3m.sg.PC	pull.out-3m.sg.objconn.
	Then Lion pu	ulled out a toot	h and	

dì?sě:sů:s<sup>w</sup>à?ìèdì?sě:-sú-i -sù-à?í-éold.person-3f.sg.-sp.-3f.sg.-3m.sg.PC3pers.-3m.sg.obj.gave it to the old woman.3pers.-3m.sg.obj.

Specific animate third person plural nouns are optionally suffixed with the third person plural PGN morpheme. If this PGN morpheme is used, any concordant object marking in the verb must be the animate form /-?fi:/, rather than the inanimate form /-wá:/:

<sup>n</sup>||ò:kồsòà: l<sup>h</sup>ĭ:â (17)à: tù <sup>n</sup>llŏ:kó-: sò-á: á: l<sup>h</sup>ĭ:à tû NC(3pl.) [dik.dik children-sp.-3a.pl.]<sub>GEN</sub>-SF come.out Then Dik-dik's children came out tł'à:?ī́:gâ sà: hík'i t‡'ă:-?ī:-à hík'ì sá: NC(3f.sg.) take-3a.pl.obj.-conn. go and she took them and went.

This example can be contrasted with the following one, in which the specific NP 'children' is not suffixed with the third person plural PGN morpheme and the verb contains the inanimate plural object morpheme rather than the animate one:

(18)k<sup>w</sup>à: rố: <sup>n</sup>|<sup>w</sup>ì?yà:xisềi k<sup>w</sup>á: rố: <sup>n</sup>|<sup>w</sup>í?yá:-xì-sé-ì NC(3m.sg.) voice make(3m.sg.obj.)-ben.-1sg.obj.-irr.(-3m.sg.) He should make a voice for me lí: <sup>n</sup>llŏ:kóxê: ?è: tìmuwà: 1í-í <sup>n</sup>llð:kó-xé:-: ?é: tímù-wá:

SC(1sg.) come-& children-pl.-sp. swallow-3i.pl.obj. so I can come and swallow the children.

Furthermore, if a specific third person plural NP is the subject of a realis clause, the choice of realis pronominal clitic in agreement with the subject corresponds to the specificity marking. That is, if the third person plural PGN morpheme is suffixed to the subject NP, any realis PCs in the clause must also be third person plural. If the NP is not suffixed with the third person PGN morpheme, any realis PCs must be third person singular. (See examples (40) and (41) in section 5.6.1.)

If a NP is suffixed with the *et al.* morpheme /-xi/, the specificity morpheme follows this and precedes any PGN morpheme which is also attached:

(19) k<sup>w</sup>à: hèwéts'â: k<sup>w</sup>á: hèwé-ts'ì-à NC(3m.sg.) dem.(ref.3m.sg.)-at-3m.sg.PC
jàjáxî:sòà tìmu?ì: jàjá-xì-?-sò-à tímù-?ĭ: brother-et al.-sp.-3a.pl.-3m.sg.PC swallow-3a.pl.obj. And so he swallowed the brothers.

A NP containing a demonstrative must be marked as specific (see example (13) above). If a demonstrative is followed by a NP which is not marked as specific, then this sequence of words is understood as a copular clause, rather than as an NP, as illustrated by the following elicited examples:

- (20) hě: l'úmâ: hě:ù l'úmá-ž dem.(prox.3m.sg.) earth-sp. This earth.
- (21) hě: !'úmá hě:ù !'úmá dem.(prox.3m.sg.) earth This is earth.

If a specific NP contains a modifier, the modifier is also suffixed with the specificity morpheme, as in the following example:

(22)	hĩ̃:gó	hùmbữ:	gàndàsễ:	∥'ò∥'âi
	hŤ:gó	hùmbù-r	gàndà-sí-è-:	∥'ò∥'á-ì
	dem.(dist.3m.sg		be.thin-poss3m.sgsp.	baboon-pro. <sup>12</sup>
	That thin cow i	s Baboon's,		
	hì: hùmbù: hí: hùmbù-i and cow-sp. and the fat cow	be.fat-poss	<sup>n</sup>   <sup>w</sup> ǎ:î <sup>r</sup>   <sup>w</sup> ǎ:-ì 3m.sgsp. elephant-pro.	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> The pronominal morpheme /-ì/ is not shown with a surface tone mark because when the tone pattern of this word is whistled by a Sandawe speaker, the final vowel is not whistled separately, as would be usual. Other morphemes which are not given separate whistles are the second person realis PC /-ì/, the irrealis morpheme /-ì/, the subordinate clause morpheme /-ì?/ and the low toned third person masculine singular PGN morpheme /-ù/. Furthermore, unlike vowels in other morphemes, the vowels in these morphemes cannot assimilate to the stem to which they attach. Elderkin (1989: 46–50) analyses such vowels as the *syllable closures* /-ĵ/ and /-ŵ/.

If the specificity morphemes on the modifiers were omitted in this example, the NPs consisting of a noun and a modifier would instead be interpreted as copular clauses. Thus, the English gloss would be 'That cow is thin, it is Baboon's, and the cow is fat, it is Elephant's'. As in example (20), the specificity morpheme is used to differentiate a modified NP from a copular clause.

The specificity morpheme is also used in nominalisation (see section 9.1.1) and relative clause formation (see section 11.5).

#### 2.6 Genitives

There are two types of genitive construction in Sandawe. These are referred to here as the *tonal genitive* and the *pronominal genitive*.

#### 2.6.1 Tonal genitive

In the tonal genitive, the modifier precedes the head and the genitive relationship is expressed tonally, by the realisation of the tone pattern of the head noun as low toned. Two examples of the tonal genitive are contained in the following example:

(23)	pà:	mĭ:nd3ó	<sup>n</sup> lèmèsề:kìà:	hèwé	k <sup>h</sup> ót <sup>h</sup> ĩ:gầ		
	pá:	mĭ:nd3ó	<sup>n</sup> lèmésé:-: kí-á:	hèwé	k <sup>h</sup> ôt <sup>h</sup> ì-Ì -à		
	NC(3m.sg.)	[journey	$man]_{GEN}$ - $spaddSF$	[he	coat] <sub>GEN</sub> -sp3m.sg.PC		
	téłâ:		<sup>n</sup> !à?àkà:				
	téłà-à		<sup>n</sup> !á?á-ká-é				
	completely-3m.sg.PC cling-com3m.sg.obj. Then the traveller wrapped his coat more tightly around him. ( <i>Literally</i> ( <i>Lit.</i> ) man of journey coat of he)						

In the first genitive NP, the head is the noun  $/^{n}$  emésé:/ 'man' and the modifier is the noun /mi:nd36/ 'journey'. In the second, the head is the noun  $/k^{h}$ ôt<sup>h</sup>i/ 'coat' and the modifier is the pronoun /hewé/ 'he'. In this second genitive NP, the tone pattern of the modifier is not lowered because it has a HL tone melody and follows a H tone. A second exception to the tone lowering process is made when the head has a L tone melody, as in example (26) in section 4.8.

A genitive noun phrase can itself become the modifier of another genitive construction:

(24)	!èkŏ̃:	! <sup>h</sup> ùmè	rìŋgìsò:	<sup>n</sup> ∥ŏ:	mánt∫ <sup>h</sup> ákụsồ
	!èkŏ:	! <sup>h</sup> ùmé	rìŋgísó:	n∥ŏ:	mánt∫ <sup>h</sup> à-kù̥-ì-sò
	[[millet	flour] <sub>GEN</sub>	porridge] <sub>GEN</sub>	child	eat-causirr3a.pl.
They would feed the child millet flour porridge.				ridge.	
	(Lit p	orridge of j	flour of millet.)		

In the following example, the head is a locative noun:

dlànĩ:si k<sup>h</sup>ù?sè:à (25) sì: dlàní-r -sì k<sup>h</sup>ú?-sé-é-à sí: NC(1sg.) arrow-sp.-1sg.PC spill-caus.-3m.sg.obj.-conn. Then I threw the arrows away and t<sup>h</sup>ě: l'ầ:nầsị kề thě: l'ấ:-nà-sì kê [tree top-to]<sub>GEN</sub>-1sg.PC climb climbed to the top of a tree.

This can be contrasted with the following elicited example in which the two nouns are not in a genitive construction:

 (26) gélé l'ắ:nâ: kề gélé l'ắ:-nà-a kê Gele top-to-3m.sg.PC climb Gele climbed to the top.

If the tone pattern of the second noun is realised as low toned, then it is interpreted as the head of a genitive construction for which the first noun is the modifier. The first noun is then understood to be referring to a baobab tree, rather than to a person named Gele:

(27) gélé |'à:nà: kề gélé |'á:-nà-à kê
[baobab top]<sub>GEN</sub>-to-3m.sg.PC climb He climbed to the top of the baobab / He climbed (up) the baobab

#### 2.6.2 Pronominal genitive

The second type of genitive construction in Sandawe employs the pronominal morpheme /-i/ and typically occurs pronominally, as in the following example:<sup>13</sup>

(28)	hĩ̃:gó	hùmbữ:	gàndàsễ:	∥'ò∥'âi
	hĩ:gó	hùmbù-r	gàndà-sí-è-È	∥'ò∥'á-ì
	dem.(dist.3m.sg.)	cow-sp.	be.thin-poss3m.sgsp.	baboon-pro.
	That thin cow is B	aboon's,		
	(Lit. That thin cow	is the one o	f Baboon,	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> The analysis of the pronominal genitive given here has benefited greatly from several instances of personal communication with linguist Ed Elderkin.

hì: hùmbù:  $t \int^h \hat{a}:k_{\dot{i}}s \hat{e}:$   $^{n}|_{\dot{w}} \hat{a}:\hat{i}$ hí: hùmbù-i  $t \int^h \hat{a}:k_{\dot{i}}-s\hat{i}-\hat{e}-\hat{i}$   $^{n}|_{\dot{w}} \hat{a}:\hat{i}$ and cow-sp. be.fat-poss.-3m.sg.-sp. elephant-pro. and the fat cow is Elephant's. *and the fat cow is the one of Elephant.*)

If the referent of the pronominal is not third person masculine, a low toned PGN morpheme that agrees with the referent must follow the pronominal morpheme. Thus, if the cows referred to in the preceding example are female, the third person feminine morpheme /-sù/ follows the pronominal morpheme in each case.

When the possessor is 'I' and the possessed item is singular, the connective morpheme  $\frac{-i}{i}$  occurs before the pronominal morpheme  $\frac{-i}{i}$ :

(29) hùmbữ:  $t \int^h \hat{a}:k_{1}s \hat{e}: t \int^{\hat{1}:g\hat{1}}$ hùmbù-ĩ  $t \int^h \hat{a}:k_{1}\hat{1}-s\hat{1}\hat{e}-\hat{r}$   $t \int^{\hat{1}:\hat{z}}\hat{-1}$ cow-sp. be.fat-poss.-3m.sg.-sp. I-&-pro. The fat cow is mine.

If the possessed item is plural, a plural PGN morpheme is used:

(30) <sup>n</sup>!áŋk'ówâ: hě:x<sup>w</sup>é:
<sup>n</sup>!ánk'ó-wà-à hě:x<sup>w</sup>é:
be.hard-mult.-3m.sg. dem.(ref.pl.)
These are hard,

hàpú?wầ: <sup>n</sup>!éŋk<sup>h</sup>éwásề hàpú-? wà-: <sup>n</sup>!énk<sup>h</sup>é-wà-sí-è you-3i.pl.-sp. be.soft-mult.-poss.-3m.sg. yours are soft.

The pronominal morpheme /-i/ is optional when the possessed item is plural. If it occurs, it follows the plural agreement morpheme and precedes the specificity morpheme, if one is present.

If a pronominal genitive NP occurs in a clause with a verb, rather than in a copular construction, the specificity morpheme must be suffixed to the NP:

(31)	∥àkíkô	?ò:	mĭ:ndzó	sữ:gĩ:	bà:rà:
	∥àkí-kò	?ó:	mĭ:ndzó	sū́:-ì-r̃	bă:rà-é
	descend-2sg.Imp.PC	SC(1pl.)	journey	we-prosp.	start-3m.sg.obj.
	Get down, and let's st	art our jou	rney.		

If the NP is masculine and singular, the morpheme /-à/ may be attached after the pronominal morpheme and before the specificity morpheme, as in the following example:

(32) híâ lí:gî? hí-à lí-: -ì? when-3m.sg.PC come-&-sub.cl. It seemed to him that
kòŋkórìã: ?úrâ: bà?è kònkórì-à-: ?úrî-à bà?é cockerel-pro.-3m.sg.-sp. very-3m.sg.PC be.big the cockerel's was very big.

The pronominal genitive is also used to derive ordinal numbers, as seen in section 6.3.2.

In the next example, the pronominal genitive NP has been made into a PP by the suffixation of the postposition /-ts'i/ 'at':

(33)	hèwé?gầ:	bà?ésê:		wàròŋgề̃:	bồ	kósâ:
	hèwé?`gâ-à	bà?é-sí-è-:		wàròngě:-:	bô-:	kósì-à
	and.so-3m.sg.	[be.big-poss3m.sg	sp.	god-sp.	word] <sub>GEN</sub> -sp.	again-3m.sg.PC
	kísóxìĩē:ts'ầ:		jór	ıầ:	!'ò:wè	
	kísòxì-ì-é:-: t	s'ì-à	jôr	nà-à	!'ŏ:-é	
		gspat-3m.sg.PC rd of the Lord got Jona		hah-3m.sg.PC gain for the sec	0 0 0	

This construction is also found in the following two examples:

(34)	p <sup>h</sup> éìĩe:?	k <sup>w</sup> à:	lí	dàk' <sup>w</sup> ě́:
	p <sup>h</sup> ê-ì-é:-: ̃-ts'ì	k <sup>w</sup> á:	lí	dàk' <sup>w</sup> ě:-ř
	tomorrow-pro3m.sgspat	NC(3m.sg.)	come	donkey-sp.
	The next day along came Dor	nkey.		

(35) <sup>n</sup>!ê bă:rsàts'ìēts'i
<sup>n</sup>!ê bă:rà-sà-ts'ì-ì-é:-:-ts'ì
day start-nml.-at-pro.-3m.sg.-sp.-at
On the first day

jónàk<sup>w</sup>à:mjìtànà: $^{n}\parallel$ è:jônàk<sup>w</sup>á:mjì-tà-nà-à $^{n}\parallel$ ě:JonahNC(3m.sg.)town-in-to-3m.sg.PCenterJonah entered the town.

The pronominal genitive may also follow a postpositional morpheme, as in the following three examples:

(36) pà: kòŋkórîkìà:
pá: kònkórì-ití-kí-á:
NC(3m.sg.) cockerel-sp.-add.-SF

hèwélhàtà?ìề:lhèbề:gầkhòk'òsè:hèwélhàtá-ts'ì-ì-é:-iềlhébé-iề-àkhòk'òsé-é[[heleg]<sub>GEN</sub>-at-pro.-3m.sg.-sp.toe]<sub>GEN</sub>-sp.-3m.sg.PCremove-3m.sg.obj.And then the cockerel removed a toe from his leg.(Lit. Then the cockerel removed the toe of the one at the leg of he.)

(37) bà?ésê: wàròŋgề: ts'éxéts'ìsi
bà?é-sí-è-: wàròngě:-: ts'éxè-ts'ì-sì
be.big-poss.-3m.sg.-sp. god-sp. one-at-1sg.PC

hàlìṁsè	k4'ùŋgùts'ĭê:	
hàlìṁsé	tł'ùŋgù-ts'ì-ì-é:-:	
worship	sky-at-pro3m.sgsp.	
I worship the one Lord, the one who is in heaven.		

(38)	hě:û	tł'àbísố:tàjề:	mŏ:ndʒísi̯	lầ:
	hě:ù	tł'àbísó-: ̃-tà-ì-é:-: ̇̀	mŏ:ndʒí-sì	lâ:
	dem.(prox.3m.sg.)	stomach-spin-pro3m.sgsp.	first.time-1sg.PC	see
	I have felt the one i	n this stomach for the first time.		

The same construction is found in the following example, but with the addition of further postpositional suffixes which derive a PP from the construction:

(39)	hĭ:sí	k <sup>h</sup> ìmbà	jónầ	?à?é:â	
	hĭ:sí	k <sup>h</sup> ímbá	jônà	?à?é:-à	
	then	interj.(surprise	e) Jonah	earlier-3m.sg.PC	
	mélì	gùrà	lúku?ìẽ:tà:	nầː	∥àkī́:
	mêlì	gùrà	ukų̀-ts'ì-ì	-é:-í -tà-nà-à	∥àkí-:
	[boat	room] <sub>GEN</sub>	bottom-at-	pro3m.sgspin-to-3m.sg.PC	descend-&
But, at that time, Jonah had already gone down into the boat ro					at the bottom,

nī:gầ: <sup>n</sup>llínế: l'ô téłâ: nī:-à <sup>n</sup>llíné-: l'ô téłà-à and-3m.sg.PC lie.down-& sleep completely-3m.sg.PC and had lain down and was sleeping soundly.

# **3** Pronouns

#### 3.1 Personal pronouns

Table 3.1 lists the personal pronouns found in Sandawe (less frequently occurring forms are shown in parentheses):

Table 3.1 Personal pronouns

	Personal pronoun
1sg.	t∫í
2sg.	hàpú
3m.sg.	hèwé
3f.sg.	hèsú
	(hùsú)
1pl.	sấ:
2 <i>pl</i> .	sĨ
<i>3pl</i> .	hèsó
	(hòsó)

The following three examples from the text corpus illustrate the use of personal pronouns in different clause types.

(1)	t∫á:	?útá:kí	mě:	nā̃:		sìè
	t∫í-á:	?útá:-kí	mě:	nà-é-i	2	sí-é
	I-SF	long.ago <sup>14</sup> -a	dd. love	e-3m.s	g. <b>-</b> &	take-3m.sg.obj.
	And e	ven long ago	I loved he	er and	took h	ner.
(2)	<b>.</b>	prox.3m.sg.) hild, I will jus	child-sp	t∫í . I	táxì	?àmé:jó:si ?àmé-é-jó:-ì-sì raise-3m.sg.objdurirr1sg.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup>/?útá:/ 'long ago' has the alternative tone pattern /?ûtá:/ (see example (49) in section 5.6.2).

hàpú <sup>n</sup>llŏ:kó kísôxiwàsìpồ
 hàpú <sup>n</sup>llŏ:kó kísôxì-wà-sí-pò
 you children two-mult.-poss.-2sg.
 You have two children!

Personal pronouns are also used as modifiers in both tonal genitives and pronominal genitives:

mindzó <sup>n</sup>lèmèsềikià: hèwé khóthĩ:gầ (4)pàr mǐ:ndʒó <sup>n</sup>lèmésé:-ž -kí-á: hèwé k<sup>h</sup>ôt<sup>h</sup>ì-ri-à pár NC(3m.sg.) [journey man]<sub>GEN</sub>-sp.-add.-SF coat]<sub>GEN</sub>-sp.-3m.sg.PC [he <sup>n</sup>!à?àkà: téłâ: <sup>n</sup>!á?á-ká-é téłà-à completely-3m.sg.PC cling-com.-3m.sg.obj. Then the traveller wrapped his coat more tightly around him.

(5) hùmbữ:  $t \int^{h} \hat{a}:k_{1}s\tilde{e}: t \int^{i} \hat{a}:k_{2}s\tilde{e}: t \int^{i} \hat{a}:k_{3}s\tilde{e}: t \int^{i}$ 

#### 3.2 Other pronominal forms

Demonstrative pronouns are discussed in section 6.4.1. Several other kinds of pronominal forms include the specificity morpheme /- $\dot{\cdot}$  /. Examples of these forms are given in section 2.6.2 on the pronominal genitive and section 11.5 on relatives. A further kind of pronominal form created by the specificity morpheme is contained in the following example:

(6)	sàndàwě:sữ	híô	!èkŏ:	sòsòbề:i?
	sàndàwě:-sữ:	hí-ò	!èkŏ:	sòsòbé-é-ì?
	1	1		harvest-3m.sg.objsub.cl.
	When we Sand	awe harvest mi	llet,	

pòr	! <sup>h</sup> ấ:nồ:	k <sup>h</sup> ìk <sup>h</sup> ì?sè:ầ
pór	! <sup>h</sup> ấː-nà-ò	k <sup>h</sup> ìk <sup>h</sup> ì?sé-é-à
NC(1pl.)	threshing.place-to-1pl.PC	bring.together-3m.sg.objconn.
we bring i	t together to a threshing place	ce and sort
màłé:	łá:ûkì	dá:ndâ
màłé-é	∮á:-ù-r̃-kí	dá:ndà
sort-3m.sg	obj. good-3m.sgspadd	. one.side
the good to	o one side	

ni: bǔ:dâ:kì dá:ndâ ni: bǔ:dà-: -kí dá:ndà and bad.millet-sp.-add. one.side and the bad to one side.

The pronominal form 'the good' is derived from the adjective stem / $\frac{1}{4}$ : 'good-3m.sg.' and the specificity morpheme /- $\frac{1}{2}$ /. The same morpheme is used in the following example to create a pronominal morpheme from a numeral:

(7)	kísósồ:sồ	mòkóndố:	∥'à?wầ:sồ
	kísò-sò-r̃-sò	mòkóndó-ľ	∥'ă:-wá:-ì-sò
	two-3a.plsp3a.pl.	track-sp.	follow-3i.pl.objirr3a.pl.
	Two were to follow t	he tracks.	

The following example contains the pronominal form /màúlé:/ 'someone (3m.sg.)':

 (8) màúlé: <sup>n</sup>∥ồsukờ sìèsù màúlé: <sup>n</sup>∥ô-sù-kờ sí-ésú [someone(3m.sg.) child-3f.sg.]<sub>GEN</sub>-2sg.Imp.PC take-3f.sg.obj. Take someone's girl!

The feminine equivalent of this form is /màsúlé:/. Another pronominal form is /hī:gé/ 'some'. In the following example, it is used both as a noun modifier and as a pronominal form:

(9) mák'ố: hấ:géxê:sī? tł'ě:ts'íwâ: màk'é-ó:-i hấ:gé-xé:-i -sí? tł'ě:-ts'í-wà-à be.troubled-nml.-sp. some-pl.-sp.-loc. diminish-reflex.-mult.-3m.sg.PC As for some troubles, they have diminished
pà: hấ:géxé:á: lấ:ts'ìwâ pá: hấ:gé-xé:-á: lấ:ts'í-wà

NC(3m.sg.) some-pl.-SF see-reflex.-mult. but others have become apparent. When suffixed with a high toned PGN morpheme, the quantifier  $/t \int^h \hat{i} a / \hat{i} a | \hat{i}$ 

(10)	t∫íkí	wàrè	máxáè:sì	t∫ <sup>h</sup> íásū̃:	hùk'wàkìsữ:
	t∫í-kí	wàré	máxà-é:-sì	t∫ <sup>h</sup> íà-sấ́:	húk'wà-kí-ì-sữ̀:
	I-add.	friend	male-3m.sg1sg.	all-1pl.	kill-recipirr1pl.
	I also,	friend, a	m a male, we both w	will kill eac	h other.

If the specificity morpheme and a low toned PGN morpheme are then suffixed to this form, a specific group within the 'all' is meant:

(11) t∫<sup>h</sup>íásů:sů: ní?ī: kêutò mànàwằ:ts'i gìdèsů:
t∫<sup>h</sup>íà-sú:-i -sù: ní?-í: kéùtò mánáwà-i -ts'ì gìdé-ì-sù:
all-1pl.-sp.-1pl. go-& [pig paths]GEN-sp.-at lie.in.wait-irr.-1pl.
The rest of us were to go and lie in wait at the pig paths.

Another pronominal form which is derived using high toned<sup>15</sup> PGN morphemes is /ts'éxì/ 'alone':

(12) ts'éxis<sup>w</sup>ā: ?ìèwà hùsú k<sup>h</sup>ò:tàsà ts'éxì-sú-á: ?íé-wà hùsú k<sup>h</sup>ò:-tà-sà alone-3f.sg.-SF live-mult. [she house]<sub>GEN</sub>-in-3f.sg.PC She lived alone in her house.

# 4 Postpositions

In Sandawe, postpositional suffixes are used to derive postpositional phrases from NPs. The following subsections discuss the function and distribution of the following postpositions and postposition combinations: /-ts'ì/ 'at', /-tà/ 'in', /-nà/ 'to', /-tànà/ 'into', /-tĴè/ 'from', /-tàfè/ 'out of', /-ll'à(?)/ 'belong', /-?ī:/ 'with', /-xé?/ 'like', and /-kìmé:/ 'because'.

# 4.1 /-ts'ì/ 'at'

The postposition /-ts'ì/ 'at' is used to specify a general location, which is not necessarily inside:

(1)	s <sup>w</sup> ê	∥ <sup>h</sup> àt∫ <sup>h</sup> ữ̂:	gùràts'ầ:	<sup>n</sup> ∥ìnèwầ
	s <sup>w</sup> ê	∥ <sup>h</sup> àt∫ <sup>h</sup> ú-ṙ̀	gùrà-ts'ì-à	<sup>n</sup> ∥íné-wà
	now	lion-sp.	room-at-3m.sg.PC	lie.down-mult.
	Now	Lion lay do	wn in the room,	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> An exception to this is the third person masculine form /ts'éx<sub>i</sub>-è/ which has a low toned PGN morpheme.

kòŋkórî: tàŋgá?ts'à: <sup>n</sup>∥ìnèwà kònkórì-i tàngá?, -ts'ì-à <sup>n</sup>∥íné-wà cockerel-sp. doorway-at-3m.sg.PC lie.down-mult. Cockerel lay down at the doorway.

This suffix is commonly reduced to  $/-?_{0}/.$ 

The suffix  $/-k\hat{u}/has$  a similar locative meaning to  $/-ts\hat{u}/h$  but is very limited in its distribution. It can be seen in the following example:

híâ hík'ĩ: l<sup>h</sup>ĭ:â ts'à:k<sup>w</sup>à <sup>n</sup>llê:i?
 hí-à hík'ì-ĩ l<sup>h</sup>ĭ:à ts'à:-kù-à <sup>n</sup>llĕ:-ì?
 when-3m.sg.PC go-& [dik.dik home]<sub>GEN</sub>-at-3m.sg.PC arrive-sub.cl. When he went and arrived at Dik-dik's home,

hầxị rồ: mé: hâxì rồ: mé: again voice big again the big voice.

This suffix is also found in /há-kù/ 'where at' (see section 10.2.1.4).

A further suffix with restricted distribution is  $/-k_{i}^{2}/ a^{2}$ , which is illustrated in the following example:

tsí warexiisoa: (3) híâ? <sup>n</sup>|àtíjô:i? tſí wàré-xì-r̃-sò-á: <sup>n</sup>|àtí-jó:-ì? hí-à? friend]<sub>GEN</sub>-et.al.-sp.-3a.pl.-SF come-dur.-sub.cl. when-3pl.PC [I When my friends came, t<sup>h</sup>ě: l'ầ:kìsi kê: ?ìè kê-ŕ t<sup>h</sup>ě: |'ấ:-kì-sì ?íé

tree top-at-1sg.PC climb-& stay I had climbed and was staying up in the tree.

The forms /ts'ǎ:-kù/ 'at home' and /l'ǎ:-kì/ 'at the top, up, above' can be contrasted with /ts'ǎ:-nà/ 'to home' and /l'ǎ:-nà/ 'to the top, up, above' (see examples (8) and (9)).

The postposition /-ts'ì/ 'at' is commonly used to derive adverbials:

téłâsi hémbéts'îsi lầgè:
 téłà-sì hémbé-ts'ì-sì lâ:-é:
 completely-1sg.PC clearness-at-1sg.PC see-3m.sg.obj.
 I see it completely clearly.

The form  $/^{n}!\hat{e}\cdot\dot{z}\cdot ts\dot{\eta}/\dot{t}$  (day-sp.-at)' is another of these adverbials. This form is often realised as  $[^{n}!\hat{e}:?]$ . The following examples show two other adverbials in which the postposition /-ts' $\dot{\eta}/\dot{t}$  at' occurs:

(5) hě:utſề:  $^{n}$ lồ: hě:ù-tſè-é-:  $^{n}$ ló:  $^{n}$ ló: [dem.(prox.3m.sg.)-from-3m.sg.-sp. fear]<sub>GEN</sub>-sp. ?útá:sâ:? lâ:sūsūts'è ?útá:-sà-: -ts'ì lâ:-sí-sū:-ts'é long.ago-nml.-sp.-at see-poss.-1pl.-neg. Since long ago we haven't seen fearing of this kind.

(6)	k <sup>w</sup> à:	bàhárĩ:	ts'éxénàsã:ts'à:	là?tè
	k <sup>w</sup> á:	bàhârì-r	ts'éxè-nà-sà-: ̃-ts'ì़-à	là?té
	NC(3m.sg.)	sea-sp.	one-to-nmlspat-3m.sg.PC	calm down
	Then the sea	calmed dov	wn at once.	

#### 4.2 /- tà/ 'in'

The postposition /-tà/ 'in' is illustrated in the following example:

(7)	kókó	k <sup>h</sup> ŏ:tâ	∥ʰàt∫ʰúkí	dʒàkʰátâ	s <sup>w</sup> énàkì
	kókó	k <sup>h</sup> ŏ:-tà	∥ <sup>h</sup> àt∫ <sup>h</sup> ú-kí	dʒàkʰá-tà	s <sup>w</sup> ê-nà-kí
	chicken	house-in	lion-add.	wilderness-in	now-to-add.
	The chick	ken is in th	e house, and	the lion is in the	e wilderness, until now.

This postposition can also be used to refer to an area close to something, but not necessarily in it. Thus  $/t^{h}\check{e}:-t\check{a}/$  'tree-in' means 'in the area close to the tree'.

#### 4.3 /-nà/ 'to'

The postposition /-nà/ 'to' is used to indicate movement towards a goal:

(8)	kò:	ts'á:nô:	hǎ:ŋgâ:	<sup>n</sup>  àtí
	kó:	ts'ă:-nà-ò	hǎ:ngà-à	<sup>n</sup>  àtí
	NC(1pl.)	home-to-1pl.PC	leave.place-conn.	come
	Then we l	eft and came home	ð.	

(9)	sì:	dlànî:si	k <sup>h</sup> ù?sè:ầ					
	SÍĽ	dlàní-: -sì	k <sup>h</sup> ú?-sé-é-à					
	NC(1sg.)	arrow-sp1sg.PC	spill-caus3m.sg.objconn.					
	Then I threw the arrows away and							

These two examples can be compared with examples (2) and (3) above, in which there is no movement.

The postposition /-nà/ 'to' is used both for the movement of a subject towards a goal, as in the previous two examples, and also for the movement of an object towards a goal, as in the following example:

(10)híônówésámē:ði?pò:là?sě:nô:tł'à:hí-ònówé-sà-kìmé:-ò-ì?pó:là?sě:-nà-òtł'ă:when-1pl.PCgrind-nml.-bec.-1pl.-sub.cl.NC(1pl.)trough-to-1pl.PCtakeWhen we want to grind, we take (them) to the winnowing trough.the winnowing trough.take

Postpositions can be used to disambiguate the meaning of a clause. The verb  $/^{n}$  is '/, for example, means both 'arrive' and 'enter'. When it is used with the postposition /-nà/ 'to', the meaning 'enter' is understood:

∥<sup>h</sup>àt∫<sup>hw</sup>á: (11)pàr à: ∥<sup>h</sup>àt∫<sup>h</sup>ú-á: lí-à pá: NC(3m.sg.) lion-SF come-conn. Then a lion came and hèsú k<sup>h</sup>ò:nầ: <sup>n</sup>∥è: t<sup>w</sup>ě:â hèsú k<sup>h</sup>ǒː-nà-à <sup>n</sup>∥ě: t<sup>w</sup>ě:-à house]<sub>GEN</sub>-to-3m.sg.PC enter at.night-3m.sg.PC she entered her house at night.

If the postposition /-ts'i/ 'at' were used instead, the clause would be interpreted as 'arrived at her house at night'.

When /-na/ 'to' is preceded by the nominalising suffix  $/-?\delta:/$ , the meaning 'towards the general vicinity of' is understood:

(12) hèwé?ô:nà?ò <sup>n</sup>làtì hínâmsē:
 hèwé?ô:nà-ò? <sup>n</sup>làtí hínámsé-:
 he-nml.-to-1pl.Subj.PC come thank-& Let's come to him thankfully.

This can be contrasted with the use of /-na/ 'to' preceded by the directional morpheme  $/-?_{a}te/$ , which results in the meaning 'in the general direction of':

(13)	hàbà?sế:	k <sup>w</sup> ámế:	<sup>n</sup>  àtì	sű?tènầ?			
	hàbà?sé-: ี้	k <sup>w</sup> ámé-:	<sup>n</sup>  àtí	sấ:-?, tè-nà-à?			
	make.noise-&	drive.out-&	come	we-dirto-3pl.PC			
	They came towards us making noise and driving						

If the postpositional suffix combinations in the two previous examples were replaced by /tà-nà/ 'into' (see the following section), the movement involved would be understood as being all the way to the goal itself, rather than towards its general vicinity or in its general direction.

The suffix /-nà/ 'to' is also used in expressions involving movement towards a time:

(14)	hě:û		<sup>n</sup> ∥ắ́:	t∫í	táxį	?àmé:jó:sį
	hě:ù		<sup>n</sup> ∥ŏ:-:̇́	t∫í	táxì	?àmé-é-jóː-ì-sì
	u .		1		just	raise-3m.sg.objdurirr1sg.
	This chi	ild, I will jus	t raise him	1		
	hèwé ?ìè?ồ: hèwé ?íé-?ồ: [[he live-nml.] <sub>GEN</sub> until the end of his life.		m <sup>w</sup> ì∫ồ̀	:nầ		
			m <sup>w</sup> î∫ò	-r̃ -nà	à	
				<sub>N</sub> -sp.	-to	

See also /ts'éxè-nà-sà- $\vdots$ -ts'i/ 'at once (one-to-nml.-sp.-at)' in example (6) and /s<sup>w</sup>ê-nà-kí/ 'until now (now-to-add.)' in example (7).

In the following example, the movement expressed by /-nà/ is of a sound:

(15)	s <sup>w</sup> ê	mòndzố:gā:	híâ	l'ìŋkềi?
	s <sup>w</sup> ê	mòndʒó-r̈́-á:	hí-à	l'ìnké-ì?
	now	jackal-spSF	when-3m.sg.PC	chew-sub.cl.
	Now	when Jackal che		

pà:íthánầ: $k^h$ è?èts'ìpá:íthà-nà-à $k^h$ é?é-ts'íNC(3m.sg.)far-to-3m.sg.PChear-reflex.then it was heard from afar.(Lit. then it was heard to far away.)

#### 4.4 /- tànà/ 'into'

In the Western dialect of Sandawe, the 'to' suffix /-nà/ is used together with /-tà/ 'in' to express movement to or into somewhere. In the Eastern dialect of Sandawe, the suffix /-nà/ tends to be used on its own in this function.

The following two examples are from texts written by speakers of the Western dialect:

ní?ī̃: (16) híô <sup>n</sup>létànồ: <sup>n</sup>∥è:ì? ní?-r<sup>ź</sup> <sup>n</sup>|ê-tà-nà-ò hí-ò <sup>n</sup>||ěː-ì? when-1pl.PC go-& bush-in-to-1pl.PC enter-sub.cl. When we went and entered into the bush, kêutồ mòkòndồ:gồ là?wà: kò: kéùtò mòkóndó-: -ò lẫ:-wá: kó: NC(1pl.) [pig track]<sub>GEN</sub>-sp.-1pl.PC see-3i.pl.obj. we saw pig tracks. sìềi? (17)hîsi ts'âsi sí-é-ì? hî-sì ts'â-sì when-1sg.PC water-1sg.PC take-3m.sg.obj.-sub.cl. When I have taken the water, t∫<sup>h</sup>á:tánầsi kà: sì: sí: t∫<sup>h</sup>áː-tà-nà-sì kă: NC(1sg.) pot-in-to-1sg.PC put I put them into the pot.

In the following example, the meaning of 'towards' is understood:

 kòŋgò?òm̀sék<sup>w</sup>ê tł'û: !<sup>w</sup>ă: tàkàtîfutànà kòŋgò?òm̀sé-k<sup>w</sup>è tł'û: !<sup>w</sup>ă: tàkàtîfu̥-tà-nà lift.up-2pl.Imp.PC hand place holy-in-to Lift up (your (pl)) hands towards the holy place.

# 4.5 /-tſè/ 'from'

The postposition  $/-t \hat{j}$  'from' is illustrated in the following elicited example:

(19)  $t^{h}\check{e}:t\int^{h}\bar{u}:$  tà:s $\check{u}:g\check{i}$  $t^{h}\check{e}:-t\int\check{e}-s\check{u}:$  tă:-s $\check{u}:-i$ tree-from-1pl. untie-1pl.obj.-irr.(-3m.sg.) He will untie us from the tree.

The source NP is suffixed with the postpositional morpheme and then with a high toned PGN morpheme. This PGN morpheme does not correspond to the person, gender and number of

the NP to which it attaches, but to that of the NP which refers to what originates from the source.<sup>16</sup> A further elicited example, which illustrates this, is as follows:

 (20) òvádát∫<sup>h</sup>ē lìsi òvádà-t∫è-sé lí-ì-sì Ovada-from-1sg. come-irr.-1sg. I will come from Ovada.

Furthermore, if such a clause contains a subject focus (SF) marker, this morpheme may occur on the source NP, rather than on the subject itself:

(21) òvádàt∫<sup>h</sup>ā: lì
 òvádà-t∫è-sé-á: lí
 Ovada-from-1sg.-SF come
 I have come from Ovada.

This phenomenon can also be seen in example (31). A further use of the postposition  $/-t\int e/can$  be seen in example (5).

### 4.6 /- tàfè/ 'out of'

The suffixes /-tà/ 'in' and /-tje/ 'from' may be combined to mean 'out of':

(22)	0		0		k <sup>h</sup> ŏ:tát∫ē	t <sup>w</sup> ě:â		
	hèwé? gâ-à		∥ <sup>h</sup> àt∫ <sup>h</sup>	ú-Ĩ	k <sup>h</sup> ŏ:-tà-t∫è-é	t <sup>w</sup> ě:-à		
	and.so-3m.sg.PC		lion-sp.		house-in-from-3m.sg.	at.night-3n	t.night-3m.sg.PC	
	tữ:	hík		<sup>n</sup>  étă		?ìèwầ	s <sup>w</sup> énàkì	
	tû:-ź	hík	'ì-:Ź	<sup>n</sup>  ê-1	tà-à	?íé-wà	s <sup>w</sup> ê-nà-kí	
	come.out-&	0			0	live-mult.	now-to-add.	
	And so Lion c until now.	ame	out of	the h	nouse at night and went	and lived in	the wilderness	

The obligatory use of the high toned PGN morpheme set and the optional use of the SF marker on the source NP is the same as illustrated in the previous section for the postposition /-tfe/ on its own. The next example contains a source NP followed by the SF marker:

(23)	dàk' <sup>w</sup> ě́:	k <sup>w</sup> à:	ts'átàt∫èà:	tŵ	hík'ī̃:		
	dàk' <sup>w</sup> ě:-:	k <sup>w</sup> á:	ts'â-tà-t∫è-é-á:	tû-r	hík'ì̥-ŕ́		
	donkey-sp.	NC(3m.sg.)	water-in-from-3m.sgSF	come.out	go-&		
	As for Donkey, then he came out of the water and went and						

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> The combination of the postposition /-tfè/ and the high toned PGN morpheme set results in the following forms: [-tJ<sup>h</sup>e] 1sg., [-po] 2sg., [-tJ<sup>e</sup>] or [-tJ<sup>h</sup>e] 3m.sg., [-tJ<sup>h</sup>u] 3f.sg., [-tJ<sup>h</sup>ũ] 1pl., [-tJ<sup>h</sup>ĩ] 2pl., [-tJ<sup>h</sup>o] 3pl.

dórô:gầxàts'èwàts'è:k<sup>w</sup>à:kà?dóró-ĩxàts'éwà-ts'è-ék<sup>w</sup>á:ká?zebra-sp.-3m.sg.PCscold-appl.-3m.sg.obj.NC(3m.sg.)hear.scolded Zebra saying...

### 4.7 /- // 'à(?)/ 'belong'

The postposition  $/-\parallel$ 'à(?)/ 'belong' is used with animate NPs:

k<sup>h</sup>é?ék<sup>w</sup>ấ:kì?ầ (24) kà? k<sup>h</sup>é?é-kù-wà-: kí-à? ká? hear-ben.-mult.-recip.-3pl.PC hear. They agreed that mǐ:ndʒó <sup>n</sup>|èmèsề̃:"a? bă:rā: mǐ:ndʒó <sup>n</sup>lèmésé:-: -l'à? bă:rà-é-ŕ start-3m.sg.obj.-& [journey man]<sub>GEN</sub>-sp.-belong k<sup>h</sup>ót<sup>h</sup>ì tùk<sup>w</sup>è:ts'èsề: k<sup>h</sup>ôt<sup>h</sup>ì tû-kù-é:-ts'è-sí-è-ž come.out-caus.-3m.sg.obj.-appl.-poss.-3m.sg.-sp. coat the first one to remove the coat from the traveller, sàmbòsê: hèwé sàmbò-sí-è-: hèwé

> he strength-poss.-3m.sg.-sp. he was the one with strength.

In this example,  $/-\parallel$ 'à(?)/ occurs with its glottal stop. If it is followed by the postposition /-na/ 'to', the glottal stop is omitted:

(25)	nìk <sup>w</sup> ầ:	k <sup>hw</sup> à	bà?ésê:	wàràŋgè:∥'ànầ
	nī́:-k <sup>w</sup> à	$k^{\mathrm{hw}} a$	bà?é-sí-è-:	wàràngĕ:-∥'à-nà
	and-3m.sg.Subj.PC And he should return		be.big-poss3m.sgsp. Lord.	god-belong-to

If the preceding example contained the postpositional phrase /warangě:-ll'a?-tfe/ 'god-belong-from', the clause would be interpreted as meaning 'and he should return from God'. Note how, in this suffix combination, the glottal stop is included. It seems likely that the glottal stop is a reduction of the postposition /-ts'i/ 'to'. A less common variant of the 'belong' postposition is /-k'a(?)/.

# 4.8 /-?ił 'with'

The postposition /-?i̇́:/ 'with' has an instrumental function:

In the following example, it is shown in its negated form:

The postposition  $/-?\tilde{i}/may$  also express accompaniment, as in the following example, in which it is suffixed to a verb stem and also to a noun stem:

(28) ?ó?sī? mě:náts'ī?i: tùsi: ?ó?,-sí? mě:nà-ts'í-?i: tû-ì-si: there(ref.)-loc. like-reflex.-with come.out-irr.-2pl. It is then you (pl.) will come out with joy,
pútł'úmá?i: xèsi:gi pútł'úmà-?i: xé-sí:-i peace-with lead-2pl.obj.-irr.(-3m.sg.)

he will lead you (pl.) with peace.

The following example further illustrates the accompaniment function of /-?i:/:

(29)	sà:	?árá: h	èsú	sàndàwě:sữ:sụ		hík'į
	sà:	?árá: h	èsú	sàndàwě:-sú-ž-sù		hík'ì
	NC(3f.sg.)	truly de	em.(ref.3f.sg.	) Sandawe-3f.sgsp3	3f.sg.	go
	And truly the	nis Sandaw	ve woman we	ent,		
					1 h	× • •=
	sà:	<sup>n</sup> ∥ŏ:kó	kísôxį p	àsà:Yī:sầ	kʰʷ̀à	mě:náts'ĩ:
	sà:	<sup>n</sup> ∥ŏ:kó	kísòxì p	àsă:-?ĩ:-sà	$k^{hw} a$	mě:nà-ts'í-:
	NC(3f.sg.)	[children	two tw	vins] <sub>GEN</sub> -with-3f.sg.PC	return	like-reflex&
	and then she	e happily r	eturned with	twins.		
	(Litwith	twins of tw	vo children.)			

If, in the second clause of this example, the woman and the twins were both the subject of the verb ('she and the twins happily returned'), the additive suffix /-ki/ and not the postposition /-?i./ would be used (see section 7.5).

The following example shows how  $/-2\tilde{i}$ :/ can be used in an adverbial with a manner function:

(30)	pà:	mòndʒô̈́:gāː	ts'ŏ:ts'í?î:gầ	k <sup>h</sup> ₩`à
	pá:	mòndʒó-ː̈́-á:	ts'ŏ:ts'í-?ì̃:-à	$k^{\mathrm{hw}}$ à
	NC(3m.sg.)	jackal-spSF	hunger-with-3m.sg.PC	return
	Then Jackal	returned hungry.		

### 4.9 /-xé?/ 'like'

The postposition /-xé $?_{o}$ / 'like' is illustrated in the following example:<sup>17</sup>

(31)	hě:x <sup>w</sup> éxé?	<sup>n</sup>   <sup>w</sup> ì?yàpòsǜ:	
	hě:x <sup>w</sup> é-xé?ৢ	<sup>n</sup>   <sup>w</sup> í?yá-ts'è-pó-ì-s	ù
	dem.(prox.pl.)	-like do-appl2sg.objir	r1pl.
	híô	?ó?t∫ <sup>h</sup> ū̃:gā:	tùi?
	hí-ò	?ó?ॄ -t∫è-sū̃:-á:	tû-ì?
	when-1pl.PC	there(ref.)-from-1plSF	come.out-sub.cl.

The postposition  $/-xe^{\gamma}/can$  also be suffixed to verbs to indicate the manner in which the action of the verb is done, as the following two examples show:

We will do for you (things) like these, if we get out of here.

(32)	s <sup>w</sup> ê	t <sup>h</sup> ék <sup>h</sup> élễ:	pà:	l <sup>h</sup> ĭ:ā:	bòxè?ầ	k <sup>h</sup> è?è
	s <sup>w</sup> ê	t <sup>h</sup> ék <sup>h</sup> élç:-:	pá:	l <sup>h</sup> ĭ:à-á:	bô-xé? -à	k <sup>h</sup> é?é
	now	hyena-sp.	NC(3m.sg.)	dik.dik-SF	speak-like-3m.sg.PC	hear
Now Hyena heard how Dik-dik spoke.						

 hàpá: mè:nà:xè?ì n|wè: hàpú-á: mě:nà-é-xé?,-ì n|wé: you-SF like-3m.sg.obj.-like-2sg. do You do as you like.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> This postposition has also been elicited in the form /-xé $?\tilde{t}$ /, which is an Eastern dialect variant. See (1) in section 8.1.1 for an example of this.

## 4.10 /-kìmé# 'because'

The postposition /-kìmé:/ can be glossed as 'because', 'for', or 'for the sake of'. The first syllable of this postposition is commonly elided. The following two examples illustrate the function of this morpheme:

(34)	! <sup>hw</sup> àtáts'	įmē: ∥	<sup>h</sup> èmò:sì?	tłă:sį			
	! <sup>hw</sup> àtáts'	ì∙kìmé: ∥	hèmé-ó:-sí?	tłă:sì			
	sin-bec.	p	bay-nmlloc.	death			
	The paym	nent for sin	is indeed dea	th.			
(35)	<sup>n</sup>  àtík <sup>w</sup> ê		?ò: hàl	lìṁsè	bà?ésễ:		wàràŋgề̃:ts'į
	<sup>n</sup>  àtí-k <sup>w</sup> è		?ó: hàl	lìṁsé	bà?é-sí-	è-:	wàràngě:-ř̃-ts'ì
	come-2pl	.Imp.PC	SC(1pl.) wo	rship	be.big-po	oss3m.sgsp	god-spat
	Come, let	's worship	the Lord,				
	2	1 \ 7 -	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	2 2		ch »	
	sī́:	hèwémē:	5			t∫ <sup>h</sup> íásĩ̃:	
	sĩ:	0	né: jà?bé-sí			t∫ <sup>h</sup> íà-sῒ	
	J (1 )	he-bec.	1	-		all-2pl.	
	all you (p	l.) who are	e workers for h	iis sake	e.		

The function of the /-k\mercemeicki postposition is similar to that of the benefactive morpheme. The latter morpheme is attached to a verb to show that the action of the verb is beneficial or detrimental to the following object (see section 5.3).

When the /-kìmé:/ postposition is suffixed to /hótfō:/ 'what', the resulting form means 'why':

(36)	hót∫ō:kįmề:	kìpàlàlà	sī̃:gî	tùkų	màkă:
	hót∫ō:-kì̥mé:-è	kìpàlàlà	sī́ː-ì	tû-kù	màkă:
	what-bec2pl.PC	sweat	you(pl.)-pro.	come.out-caus.	thing
	∥'àntásúkusèts'ề̃: ∥'àntá-sí-kù-sí-è- be.satisfied-possc Why do you (pl.) c	ts'é-i̇̀ -kìm causposs	·3m.sgnegsp		nich does not satisfy?

If  $/-k m \epsilon$ :/ is suffixed to the object of the verb  $/b \delta / say$  in a clause which introduces a speech, the words which follow are a prohibition or a proclamation:

(37)	sà:	?íxisà	bồ	<sup>n</sup> ∥ŏ:kỗ:sòmè:sầ	
	sá:	?íxì-sà	bô	<sup>n</sup> ∥ŏ:kó-: ̃-sò-kìmé:-sà	
	NC(3f.sg.)	thus-3f.sg.PC	say	children-sp3a.plbec3f.sg.PC	
	Then she spoke, thus, to her children,				

mě:k<sup>w</sup>ê $k^{h}$ ố: $^{n}$ !ò:wèmě:-k<sup>w</sup>è $k^{h}$ ô:-: $^{n}$ !ô:-éneg.-2pl.Imp.PChouse-sp.open-3m.sg.obj."Don't open the door,

?àŋkʰá hókē:ā:lí:?ìmbồi??ànkʰá hô-ké:-á:lí-:?ìmbô-ì?evenwho-decl.-SFcome-& say-sub.cl.even if someone comes and speaks."

# 5 Verbs

### 5.1 Object marking on the verb

#### 5.1.1 Object agreement morphemes

The following object morphemes may be suffixed to the verb in Sandawe:

	Direct	Benefactive	Applicative
1sg.	sé	xì-sé	ts'è-sé [?t∫ <sup>h</sup> e], [?se]
2sg.	pó	xì,-pó	ts'è-pó [?po]
<i>3m.sg.</i> <sup>18</sup>	é, é:	kù-è [k <sup>w</sup> e], kù-éː [k <sup>w</sup> eː]	ts'è-è [ts'e], ts'è-é: [ts'e:]
<i>3f.sg.</i>	ésú, é:sú	kù-èsú [k <sup>w</sup> esu], kù-é:sú [k <sup>w</sup> e:su]	ts'è-ésú [ts'e:su]
1pl.	sấ:	xì-sấ:	ts'è-sấ̃: [?t∫ <sup>h</sup> ũː], [?sũː]
2 <i>pl</i> .	sí:	xì-sĨ:	ts'è-sī́: [?t∫ʰĩ:], [?sĩ:]
3a.pl.	?í́:	xì,-?ī́:	ts'è-?í: [ts'i:]
3i.pl.	wá:	kù-wá: [k <sup>w</sup> a:]	wà-ts'è-é [wats'e:] <sup>19</sup>

Table 5.1 Object agreement morphemes

The benefactive morpheme is /-xi/ before a consonant-initial object morpheme and  $/-ku/^{20}$  before a vowel-initial one and the third person inanimate plural object morpheme /-wai/. Each of the third person singular morphemes has two forms, one containing a short /e/ and one a long /e:/. The short vowel forms are more commonly heard, except following a nasal vowel, where the longer form tends to be preferred (see example (11)). Speakers of the Eastern dialect of Sandawe are more likely to use the longer forms than speakers of the Western

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> The third person masculine forms may also be used with a third person feminine object.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> The 3i.pl. form is derived differently from the other forms, with the multiple morpheme /-wà/ preceding the applicative morpheme, which is followed by a 3m.sg. object agreement morpheme. This suggests that the 3i.pl. object morpheme /-wái/ may in fact be derived from the multiple morpheme /-wà/ plus the 3m.sg. object morpheme /-é/ (Ed Elderkin, personal communication, 2006). However, /-wái/ behaves tonally like a high-toned morpheme, rather than a combination of a low-toned morpheme and a high-toned morpheme and, therefore, if this analysis is correct, a tonal reanalysis has occurred.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> It is not possible to tell whether the vowel in this morpheme is voiced or voiceless as it always surfaces as labio-velarisation when it precedes a vowel.

dialect. The tone of the vowels in the object morphemes is high, except for that of the short /e/ vowels in the third person singular benefactive forms. As the third column shows, the combination of the applicative morpheme /-ts'è/ and an object morpheme which begins with the consonant /s/ has two different assimilated versions.

The following example from the text corpus contains two instances of the first person singular direct object morpheme:

(1)	sísék <sup>w</sup> ē:	bàhárĩtànầ	llèsè
	sí-sé-k <sup>w</sup> è-:	bàhârì-r̄-tà-nà	∥ê-sé
	take-1sg.obj2pl.Imp.PC-&	sea-spin-to	throw-1sg.obj.
	Take me and throw me into the	he sea!	

The following example contains one of the verbs from the previous example, but with a different direct object morpheme:

(2)	màúlé:	<sup>n</sup> llõsukõ	sìèsù
	màúlé:	<sup>n</sup> ∥ô-sù-kò	sí-ésú
	[someone(3m.sg.)	child-3f.sg.] <sub>GEN</sub> -2sg.Imp.PC	take-3f.sg.obj.
	Take someone's gi	rl!	

Unless it follows a long vowel, or a short vowel in a monosyllabic stem as in (2), the third person feminine singular object morpheme /-ésú/ is usually realised as a lengthened stem-final vowel, followed by [sú].

The third person masculine singular object and the second person singular benefactive object are both illustrated in the following example:

(3) p<sup>h</sup>ékồ lì p<sup>h</sup>ê-kò lí tomorrow-2sg.Imp.PC come Come tomorrow,
?è: kàlě: p<sup>h</sup>ì!'ìsè:xipò ?é: kàlě: p<sup>h</sup>í!'ì-sé-é-xì-pó SC(1sg.) appearance-sp. change-caus.-3m.sg.obj.-ben.-2sg.obj. so that I can change your appearance for you.

Direct object marking precedes benefactive object marking.

The following example contains a third person feminine singular applicative object:

(4)	k <sup>w</sup> à:	nàúlâ:	∥ <sup>h</sup> èméâ	kéts'è:sù
	k <sup>w</sup> á:	nàúlì-à	∥ <sup>h</sup> èmé-à	kê-ts'è-ésú
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•	1 2	climb-appl3f.sg.obj.
He paid the fare and got on it (the boat).				

In the case of third person plural objects, the choice between using the animate and inanimate forms of the object morpheme depends on the person, gender, and number marking on the object itself. If, as in (5), the object is suffixed by a third person plural PGN morpheme (/-so)/, the verb must be suffixed with the animate third person plural object morpheme:

l<sup>h</sup>ĭ:â <sup>lh</sup>ĭ:à <sup>n</sup>lò:kồsòà: (5) ?à: tù <sup>n</sup>llŏ:kó-: sò-á: ?á: tû NC(3pl.) [dik.dik children-sp.-3a.pl.]<sub>GEN</sub>-SF come.out Then Dik-dik's children came out tł'ă:?ī́:gâ sà: hík'i tł'ă:-?ī́:-à hík'ì sár NC(3f.sg.) take-3a.pl.obj.-conn. go and she took them and went.

However, if the object is not suffixed with a PGN morpheme, the verb must be suffixed with the inanimate plural object morpheme /-wáː/:

rố: <sup>n</sup>|<sup>w</sup>ì?yà:xisềi (6) k<sup>w</sup>à: rố: <sup>n</sup>|<sup>w</sup>í?yá:-xì-sé-ì k<sup>w</sup>á: NC(3m.sg.) voice make(3m.sg.obj.)-ben.-1sg.obj.-irr.(-3m.sg.) He should make a voice for me <sup>n</sup>llð:kóxễ: ?è: lĩ. tìmuwà: 1-:<sup>~</sup> ?é: <sup>n</sup>llŏ:kó-xé:-ž tímù-wá:

SC(1sg.) come-& children-pl.-sp. swallow-3i.pl.obj. so I can come and swallow the children.

A similar phenomenon was noted by Vossen (1985) for lani. In this Central Khoisan language, the absence of explicit object marking for gender and number prohibits the marking of the finite verb in agreement with the object. However, the relative constituent order positions of the object and verb also play a role in object marking in lani and this does not appear to be the case for Sandawe.

Attaching an object morpheme to a verb stem does not result in completely predictable forms. In particular, suffixing the third person masculine singular object /-é/ and the third person

inanimate plural morpheme /-wá:/ to different verb stems results in some distinct assimilation patterns. The most common of these patterns are exemplified in the following table:<sup>21</sup>

	Stem	3m.sg. object	3i.pl. object	Gloss
1	<sup>n</sup> ! <sup>w</sup> áné	[ <sup>n</sup> ! <sup>w</sup> áné:]	[ <sup>n</sup> ! <sup>w</sup> ánéwá:]	ask for, beg
2	<sup>n</sup> ∥ók <sup>h</sup> ò	[ <sup>n</sup> ∥ók <sup>h</sup> ōː]	[ <sup>n</sup> ∥ók <sup>h</sup> ówāː]	wash
3	hìbà	[hìbǎː]	[hìbàwǎː]	weed
4	mě:nà	[mě:nā:]	[mě:náwā:]	like
5	tímù	[tímēː]	[tímųwāː]	swallow
6	dàk <sup>hw</sup> é	[dàk <sup>hw</sup> é:]	[dàk <sup>hw</sup> émáː]	prune
7	hòk'ó	[hòk'óː]	[hòk'ómáː]	deprive
8	bìk <sup>h</sup> é	[bìk <sup>h</sup> é:]	[bìkʰímáː]	leave
9	dùbé	[dùbé:]	[dùbúmáː]	hit, smash
10	dlòmó	[dlòmóː]	[dlòḿ:áː]	buy
11	t <sup>h</sup> ímé	[tʰíːmé]	[tʰí?wáː]	cook
12	<sup>n</sup> !àmé	[ <sup>n</sup> !ǎːmé]	[ <sup>n</sup> !à?wáː]	forge
13	<sup>n</sup> ∥ùné	[ <sup>n</sup> ∥ŭ:ŋgé]	[ <sup>n</sup> ∥ù?wáː]	wash clothes

Table 5.2 Common object suffixation patterns

Several patterns can be observed. The examples in rows 1–5 form one group. This group contains verb stems which end in a short /e/, /o/, /a/ or /u/ vowel and have either H, L, HL or LHL tone melodies. The suffixation of the singular object morpheme /-é/ results in a lengthened final vowel of the quality of the stem vowel if it is voiced or a long [e:] if the stem vowel is /u/. The tone of the final vowel follows the normal tonal pattern rules for Sandawe (see Hunziker, Hunziker, and Eaton 2008). The process of suffixing the plural object morpheme /-wá:/ also follows these rules. Following is an example containing a verb which belongs to this group:

 $\begin{array}{lll} (7) & t \int^{h} (\acute{a}t \int^{h} i \ddot{a}:k) \dot{s}_{i} & m \grave{e}:n \grave{a}w \grave{a}:k^{w} \grave{e} \\ & t \int^{h} (\acute{a}-t \int^{h} i \grave{a}-\dot{\vec{e}}-ki-s \grave{a}) & m \grave{e}:n \grave{a}-w \acute{a}:-k \grave{u}-\grave{e} \\ & all-all-sp.-add.-1 sg.PC & like-3i.pl.obj.-ben.-3m.sg.obj. \\ & And I \ consented \ to \ her^{22} \ in \ all \ things. \end{array}$ 

The examples in the group represented by rows 6–10 all belong to the LH tone melody group, with one exception (/t<sup>‡</sup>'óxó/ 'dibble'). The final vowel in the verb stem is either /e/, /o/ or /a/. Suffixing the singular object morpheme /-é/ to these stems results in a lengthened final vowel of the quality of the stem vowel, as in the examples in rows 1–5. The difference between these two groups of verb stems is what happens when the plural object morpheme /-wá:/ is suffixed.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> The verb stem has been identified by eliciting the verbs without any object marking.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> The context of the example makes it clear that the third person masculine singular morpheme refers to a woman.

This morpheme is realised as  $[-m\acute{a}:]$  when it is attached to stems in the second group. If the first vowel in the stem is either /i/ or /u/, the second vowel is realised with the same quality when /-w\acute{a}:/ is suffixed (rows 8 and 9). If the first vowel in the stem is /a/, the second vowel may be unchanged from its stem form (row 6), or it may be realised as /i/, as in the following example:

(8)<sup>23</sup> núá kísôxisà x<sup>w</sup>àntìmà:rè
 núá kísôxì-sà x<sup>w</sup>ànté-mé-wá:-ré
 maize.porridge two-3f.sg.PC cook-iter.-3i.pl.obj.-3pers.obj.
 She cooked two (amounts of) maize porridge.

If the second consonant in the verb stem is /m/, the second vowel is elided when /-wá:/ is suffixed and the /m/ is lengthened and becomes tone bearing (row 10).

The [-má:] ending can be interpreted as the assimilation of two suffixes: /-mé/ and the plural object morpheme /-wá:/. This interpretation is supported by the example below which comes from an older speaker of Sandawe. The form of the verb 'leave' in this example can be compared with the form given in row 8 of table 5.3:

(9) híê nì?ì:? ∥'íák<sup>w</sup>ề ∥'ìầ hí-è ní?-ì? ∥'íà-k<sup>w</sup>è ∥'íà when-2pl.PC go-sub.cl. dance-2pl.Imp.PC dance When you (pl.) go, dance the dance,
xáłó: bìk<sup>h</sup>íméwá:k<sup>w</sup>ê

xàłé-ó: bìk<sup>h</sup>é-mé-wá:-k<sup>w</sup>è tease-nml. leave-iter.-3i.pl.obj.-2pl.Imp.PC leave off the teasing!

The /-mé/ suffix was described by Dempwolff (1916: 35) as an iterative suffix which also conveyed 'Bedeutungsnuancen der Intensität'. This suffix is also found functioning as an indicator of a plural subject:

(10)	pà:	!' <sup>w</sup> á:	dě:t <sup>h</sup> ē:ā	<sup>n</sup>  àtíâ	∥'àkímé
	pá:	!' <sup>w</sup> á:	dě:- t <sup>h</sup> é:-á:	<sup>n</sup>  àtí-à	∥'àkí-mé
	NC(3m.sg.)	pigeon	be.many-adjnom.	come-conn.	descend-iter.
	Then many p	oigeons ca	ame and descended.		

This function may also be fulfilled by the multiple morpheme /-wà/ (see section 5.6.2).

A third group of verb stems is exemplified in rows 11–13. These stems belong to either the H or the LH tone melody groups and all end in /é/. The second consonant in these stems is /m/, /n/ or /w/. When the singular object morpheme /-é/ is affixed to these stems, it is the first

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> The morpheme glossed here as '3pers.obj.' occurs optionally after a regular third person object morpheme.

vowel and not the second which is lengthened. If the second consonant is /n/, it is realised as [ $\eta$ g]. In all the examples in this group, the second syllable of the stem is elided when the plural object morpheme /-wá:/ is suffixed and a glottal stop precedes the suffix. In the plural object forms of those stems with /m/ or /n/ as a second consonant, the first vowel in the stem or the vowel of the plural object suffix is sometimes slightly nasalised.

The examples in table 5.2 come from a data set of 101 transitive verbs. The three main groups described account for eighty of those verbs (thirty-three in the first group, twenty-one in the second, and twenty-six in the third). Of the remaining twenty-one verbs, ten do not display any recognisable patterns when suffixed with the object morphemes. Examples of the remaining eleven verbs are given in the following table:

	Stem	3m.sg. object	3i.pl. object	Gloss
1	báló:	[báló:wé]	[báló?wá:]	herd
2	<sup>n</sup> !át <sup>h</sup> ấ:	[ <sup>n</sup> !át <sup>h</sup> ấ:gé:]	[ <sup>n</sup> !át <sup>h</sup> á?wáː]	spread out
3	hí <sup>n</sup> ∥í̇́:	[ <sup>n</sup> ∥ímēː]	[ <sup>n</sup> ∥í?wāː]	paint
4	hí <sup>n</sup> !ī́:	[ <sup>n</sup> !ímē:]	["!í?wā:]	straighten
5	hó!ồ:	[!óːmé]	[hó!ó?wā:]	fill
6	hé <sup>n</sup> ∥ <sup>w</sup> ế:	[ <sup>n</sup> ∥ <sup>w</sup> ěː]	[hé <sup>n</sup> ∥ <sup>w</sup> é?wáwá:]	break

Table 5.3 Other object suffixation patterns

In the example in row 1, the verb stem ends in a long /o:/ vowel. When the singular object morpheme is suffixed to this stem, an epenthetic [w] precedes the suffix. Following the usual pattern in Sandawe for stem-final long vowels, the /e/ vowel of the suffix is not assimilated into the stem vowel. When the plural object suffix is attached to a stem of this kind, it is preceded by a glottal stop and the stem-final vowel is shortened.

Row 2 gives an example of a stem which ends in a nasalised vowel. Four of the eight stems in the data set which end in a nasalised vowel follow the pattern illustrated by this example. The longer forms of the third person singular object morphemes (/-é:/ and /-é:sú/) are preferred with stems from this group. When the plural object morpheme /-wá:/ is suffixed to the stem, it is preceded by a glottal stop and the stem-final vowel is short and oral. A common verb which follows this pattern is /lâ:/ 'see', as the following two examples illustrate:

(11)	1 2	g.PC	hémbéts'îsi hémbé-ts'ì-sì clearness-at-1sg.PC	lầgè: lâ:-é: see-3m.sg.obj.
(12)	I see it complet híô hí-ò when-1pl.PC When we ente	ní?ī: ní?-: go-&	<sup>n</sup> létànồ: <sup>n</sup> lê-tà-nà-ò bush-in-to-1pl.PC	<sup>n</sup> ∥ề:i? <sup>n</sup> ∥ĕ:-ì? enter-sub.cl.

kò:	kêutồ	mòkòndồgồ	là?wà:		
kó:	kéùtò	mòkóndó-: Č-ò	lẫ:-wá:		
		track] <sub>GEN</sub> -sp1pl.PC	see-3i.pl.obj.		
we saw pig tracks.					

The remaining four verb stems from the data set which end in a nasalised vowel are shown in rows 3–6 of table 5.3. In all these examples, an initial syllable which is present in the stem is absent in the forms with singular object morphemes and, in two of the examples, it is absent in the forms with plural object morphemes as well. The initial syllable in each case starts with the consonant /h/ and is followed by an oral vowel of the same quality as the nasalised vowel which it precedes. Example (13) illustrates the use of one of the object marked forms from this group:

(13)	hèwé?gầ:	(	dórố:	dàk' <sup>w</sup> ě̃:gầ	<sup>n</sup> ∥í?wā:jó:
	hèwé? gà-à	(	dóró-ř	dàk' <sup>w</sup> ě:-ř-à	<sup>n</sup> ∥ĩ̃:-wá:-jó:
	and.so-3m.sg	.PC z	zebra-sp.	donkey-sp3m.sg.PC	paint-3i.pl.objdur.
	And so Zebra	a painte	ed (them o	n) Donkey	
	1	(1)			
	k <sup>w</sup> à:	tłèms	se		
	k <sup>w</sup> á:	tłèms	sé		
	NC(3m.sg.)	finish	l		
	and finished.				

With most verb stems, attaching first and second person object agreement morphemes (and the animate third person plural form) results in predictable assimilated forms. The exceptions are found in the group of verb stems for which the non-stem-final vowel is lengthened when the third person masculine singular object agreement morpheme /-é/ is added (rows 11 to 13 of table 5.2) and in the group given in rows 3 to 6 of table 5.3. Table 5.4 illustrates the patterns for verb stems of these types:

	Obj.agr.	Verb stem		
		t <sup>h</sup> ímé	hí <sup>n</sup> ∥Ĩ:	hó!ố:
		cook	paint	fill
<i>1sg.</i>	sé	[thī́isé]	[ <sup>n</sup> llî:sē]	[!ốːsé]
2sg.	pó	[tʰī́ːpó]	[ <sup>n</sup> ∥î̂:pō]	[!ốːpó]
3m.sg.	é, é:	[t <sup>h</sup> í:mé]	[ <sup>n</sup> ∥ímē:]	[!óːmé]
3f.sg.	ésú, é:sú	[t <sup>h</sup> í:mésú]	[ <sup>n</sup> ∥ímē:sū ]	[!ó:mésú ]
1pl.	sấ:	[tʰī̃ːsū́ː]	[ <sup>n</sup> ∥î̂:sū̃̃:]	[!ốːsấː]
<i>2pl.</i>	sí:	[tʰī̃ːsī̃ː]	[ <sup>n</sup> ∥î̃:sī̃:]	[!ốːsĩ́:]
3a.pl.	?ī́:	[tʰī́ː?ī́ː]	[ <sup>n</sup> ∥î̂:?ī̃!]	[!ố:?ĩ́:]
3i.pl.	wá:	[t <sup>h</sup> í?wá:]	[ <sup>n</sup> ∥í?wāː]	[hó!ó?wā:]

Table 5.4 Exceptional third person singular forms

The iterative suffix /-mé/ is only found in the third person singular forms. In the other forms, the root vowel is nasalised.<sup>24</sup> Note also that the high tone of the stem /hí<sup>n</sup>lĺí:/ 'paint' becomes a falling tone in the derived forms, whereas the falling tone of the stem /hó!ô:/ 'fill' becomes a high tone in the derived forms. In contrast with the corresponding forms for the other verbs, the third person singular forms for /hí<sup>n</sup>lĺí:/ 'paint' and /hí<sup>n</sup>lí:/ 'straighten' have long /e/ vowels, the tone for which is downstepped, apparently as the result of the tone of the preceding vowel being falling.

The lengthened vowel in /t<sup>h</sup>í:mé/ 'cook(3m.sg.obj.)' can be analysed as deriving from the affixation of the object morpheme /-é/ to the root-final vowel. /-mé/ is therefore taken to be a suffix, perhaps the iterative suffix. Other stems in the same group end in /-né/ or /-wé/. If this analysis is followed, the third person singular feminine forms must be analysed as having a 'split' object morpheme, with /-ésú/ being divided into a root-final /-é/ and a stem-final /-sú/.<sup>25</sup>

#### 5.1.2 Other verb stem changes

In addition to the stem changes previously described, some Sandawe verbs show an alternation between the vowels /e/ and /a/ in their stem and object marked forms, respectively:

Stem	Object marked	3m.sg.obj.	3i.pl.obj.	Gloss
	stem			
k' <sup>w</sup> é:	húk' <sup>w</sup> à	[húk' <sup>w</sup> āː]	[húk' <sup>w</sup> áwāː]	kill
	wák' <sup>w</sup> à	[wák' <sup>w</sup> ā:]	[wák' <sup>w</sup> áwāː]	
s <sup>w</sup> é:	s <sup>w</sup> á	[s <sup>w</sup> áː]	[s <sup>w</sup> ásáwāwāː]	peel
t <sup>w</sup> ě:	t <sup>w</sup> ă:	[t <sup>w</sup> ăː]	[t <sup>w</sup> à?wá:]	pick, pluck
ts'é:	ts'á	[ts'áː]	[ts'á?wá:]	drink
∥ <sup>w</sup> é:	∥ <sup>w</sup> á	[∥ <sup>w</sup> áː]	[∥ <sup>w</sup> á∥ <sup>w</sup> áwāː]	hide
<sup>n</sup> ∥ <sup>w</sup> é:	<sup>n</sup> ∥ <sup>w</sup> á	[ <sup>n</sup> ∥ <sup>w</sup> áː]	[ <sup>n</sup> ll <sup>w</sup> á <sup>n</sup> ll <sup>w</sup> áwāː]	take off heat/fire

Table 5.5 /e/-/a/ alternation in verb stems

The verbs in this group have in common a stem-final long /e/ vowel. Thus, if the third person masculine singular object morpheme /-é/ were attached to the stem without a vowel change, there would be no evidence of a stem change. The vowel change from /e/ to /a/ means that attaching the /-é/ object morpheme results in a discernible stem change.

Note also here how the plural object forms differ in their derivation, with two having a glottal stop before the agreement morpheme and the last two examples in the table having reduplicated stems. The following example from the text corpus illustrates the use of one of the verb forms from table 5.5:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup> The reflexive/stative forms of verbs in this group also follow this pattern. An example of this is  $/! \circ i / \circ i /$ 

 $<sup>^{25}</sup>$  Elderkin (personal communication, 2006) suggests that the feminine object marker /-sú/ is an innovation in Sandawe and that this accounts for its stem-final position in such examples.

rìngísố:kī dó:lô mà?sèkà:ts'ề (14)rìngísó:-: -kí dó:lò mà?sé-ká-é-ts'è porridge-sp.-add. a.little stir-com.-3m.sg.obj.-appl. And the porridge is for stirring a little, úrī́: tits'its'è tī́:ts'ì-ì-ts'é úrī́: boil-irr.(-3m.sg.)-neg. verv it wouldn't boil a lot, nī: !'wák<sup>hw</sup>à:sồ nĩ: <sup>n</sup> wâ:sò nī́: !'<sup>w</sup>âk<sup>h</sup>ù-wá:-ì-sò nĩ́: <sup>n</sup>∥<sup>w</sup>á-é-ì-sò and take.off.heat-3m.sg.obj.-irr.-3a.pl. and cool.down-3i.pl.obj.-irr.-3a.pl. and they would take it off the heat and cool them down.

A small group of transitive verbs marks an object by means of suppletive stems:

Objectless form	Stem for sg.obj.	3m.sg.obj.	Stem for pl.obj.	3i.pl.obj.	Gloss
k <sup>h</sup> ù?ùṁsé	∥'ê	["'ê:]	k <sup>h</sup> ú?	[k <sup>h</sup> ù?sé:] [k <sup>h</sup> ù?ùṁsé:] [k <sup>h</sup> ù?ùṁséwá:]	throw
kă:wà	pě:	[pě:]	kă:	[kǎː] [kǎːwāː]	put
∥'ŏ: hétékà	SÍ	[síé]	tł'ă:	[tɬ'ǎ:] [tɬ'à?wá:]	take

Table 5.6 Object marking in suppletive transitive verb stems

The forms described in table 5.6 as 'objectless' are used in the same ways as the stem forms of other transitive verbs. That is, the objectless forms of suppletive transitive verbs function as imperfective verbs, as will be discussed in section 10.4.1. /k<sup>h</sup>ù?ùm̀sé/ 'throw' can be analysed as deriving from the stem /k<sup>h</sup>ú?/ 'spill (intrans.)' plus, the iterative suffix /-mé/ and the causative morpheme /-sé/. This form shows a likeness with verbs which express multiple action, such as /l<sup>h</sup>oròm̀sé/, 'make holes'. /kǎ:wà/ 'put' appears to be derived from the stem /kǎ:/, plus the multiple morpheme /-wà/.

Two stems for object marking can be identified: one for singular objects and one for plural objects. When the object is third person, the singular forms of the verb must contain the agreement morpheme /-é/,<sup>26</sup> whereas, the equivalent plural forms may occur without the plural agreement morpheme /-wá:/:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26</sup> It is assumed that the derived form [pě:] comes from the stem /pě:/, plus the agreement morpheme /é/, but no evidence of the agreement morpheme can be seen in the surface form.

híô	nówésámē:ồi?	
hí-ò	nówé-sà-kìmé:-ò-	ì?
		lsub.cl.
<b>I</b> <sup></sup>		tł'à: tł'ă:
	hí-ò when-1pl.PC When we wan pò:	hí-ò nówé-sà-kìmé:-ò- when-1pl.PC grind-nmlbec1p When we want to grind, pò: là?sě:nô:

NC(1pl.) trough-to-1pl.PC take we take (them) to the winnowing trough.

As table 5.6 shows, the objectless forms for two of the verbs closely resemble the corresponding stems for plural objects. Note also how /kǎ:wā:/ 'put-3i.pl.obj.' appears to be derived from the stem /kǎ:/ plus the multiple morpheme /-wà/ and the third person masculine singular object morpheme /-é/.

### 5.2 Object marking on the object

A NP, which is the object of a transitive verb which does not contain object marking, can (under certain conditions) be suffixed with the morpheme /-ts' $i/:^{27}$ 

(16)	pà:	<sup>n</sup>   <sup>w</sup> ắŕ	kútú:mbî	mé:â	síế:
	pá:	<sup>n</sup> ∣ <sup>w</sup> ă:-r̃	kútú:mbì	mé:-à	sí-é-:
	( )	1 1		0 0	take-3m.sg.obj&
	Then Elephan	nt took a big tr	ee trunk and	l	

kòŋgò?sḗ:	∥'ò∥'ẫ:ts'ầ:	tł'àp <sup>h</sup> è
kóngó?-sé-é-:	∥'ò∥'á-r̃-ts'ì̥-à	tł'àp <sup>h</sup> é
raise-caus3m.sg.obj&	baboon-spat-3m.sg.PC	hit
raised it up to hit Baboon.		

This morpheme is a postpositional morpheme which expresses location, as in the following example:

(17)	s <sup>w</sup> ê ∥ <sup>h</sup> àt∫ <sup>h</sup> ấ	i: gùràts'ầ:	<sup>n</sup> ∥ìnèwầ
	s <sup>w</sup> ê ∥ <sup>h</sup> àt∫ <sup>h</sup> ť	í-ř gùrà-ts'ì-à	<sup>n</sup> ∥íné-wà
	now lion-sp	o. room-at-3m.sg.PC	lie.down-mult.
	Now Lion lay	down in the room,	
			20 III. A. A.
	kòŋkórĩ̃:	tàŋgá?ts'ầ:	<sup>n</sup> ∥ìnèwầ
	kònkórì-:	tàngá? ̥-ts'ì̥-à	<sup>n</sup> ∥íné-wà
	cockerel-sp.	doorway-at-3m.sg.PC	lie.down-mult.
	Cockerel lay	down at the doorway.	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27</sup> Elderkin (GS:M13) notes that this morpheme can be 'suffixed under certain conditions to the NP (O) standing as object of an imperfective verb'.

This morpheme has different functions according to whether it attached to the object of a verb or to a non-argument NP. In the former case, it is an object marker and in the latter, it is a postpositional marker. The meaning expressed by the use of this morpheme in example (16) supports the view that it is a postpositional morpheme. The preceding example describes how Elephant raises up a tree trunk, in order to hit Baboon, but is unsuccessful and does not manage to hit him. An alternative gloss would therefore be that he 'raised it up and hit *at* Baboon'.

The suffixing of the postpositional morpheme  $/-ts'\hat{i}/to an object is determined by whether the object is specific. This morpheme is only used when the object is specific. The following elicited examples illustrate this:$ 

- (18) ts'ásầ <sup>n</sup>!<sup>w</sup>ànè ts'â-sà <sup>n</sup>!<sup>w</sup>áné water-3f.sg.PC ask.for She asked for water.
- (19) ts'â:?sầ <sup>n</sup>!wànè ts'â-: ts'ì-sà <sup>n</sup>!wáné water-sp.-at-3f.sg.PC ask.for She asked for the water.
- (20) ts'á?sà <sup>n</sup>!<sup>w</sup>ànè ts'â-ts'ì-sà <sup>n</sup>!<sup>w</sup>áné water-at-3f.sg.PC ask.for She asked for Water/ She asked Water (for something).

In (18), the object is not specific and therefore is not suffixed with the postpositional morpheme. In contrast, in (19) the object is suffixed with both the postpositional and the specificity morphemes. The object in (20) is suffixed with a postpositional morpheme and therefore is interpreted as specific but, since it is not suffixed with the specificity morpheme, its specificity is understood to come from the fact that its referent is a person called 'Water'.

Similarly, in the following example from a text, the object is a first person singular personal pronoun and is thus not marked as specific since pronouns are never so marked. However, it does refer to a specific entity and is therefore suffixed with the postpositional morpheme:

(21)	dìmề	wàràŋgễ: gā:	t∫íts'â:	∥' <sup>w</sup> è:
	dìmè	wàràngě:-: Č-á:	t∫í-ts'ì̥-à	∥' <sup>w</sup> ě:
	perhaps	god-spSF	I-at-3m.sg.PC	test
	Perhaps	God is testing me		

There is one construction in which a non-specific object is suffixed with the postpositional morpheme when the verb is not object marked. This construction is the 'exclamatory clause'

(Elderkin 1989:119), which is used to imply surprise or appreciation. Unless such a clause contains an adverb, it does not contain any PCs or the SF marker, as the following elicited example illustrates:

(22) hèsú núáts'i x<sup>w</sup>ànté hèsú núá-ts'ì x<sup>w</sup>ànté dem.(ref.3f.sg.) stiff.porridge-at cook This one can really cook stiff porridge!

As noted by Elderkin (GS:S9), the object in an exclamatory clause must be suffixed with the postpositional morpheme. However, the object is not necessarily specific.

There is also a construction in which a specific object is not suffixed with the postpositional morpheme when the verb is not object marked. This construction is one which is based on the possessive morpheme and functions as an object relative:

(23)	hábúsâ: hábúsà-: condition-sp.	t∫í-á:	pòːwèïː pó-é-ì-ː̈́ 2sg.obj3m.sg.objprosp.			
	hàbùsénî: hàbùsé-nè-ì					
	keep.conditior	ondition-interrog2sg.PC ou keep the condition which I gave you?				

# 5.3 Benefactive

The benefactive morpheme may be used to express something which is beneficial or detrimental to the following object. Example (6) illustrates the former use and the following example illustrates the latter use:

(24)	ni: mě:kô	! <sup>hw</sup> àtáts	'į tè:xįsū:	
	níi: mě:-kò	! <sup>hw</sup> àtáts	'ì té:-xì-số	I.
	and neg2sg.Imp.I And don't count it as			en1pl.obj.
	hě:û	<sup>n</sup> lèmésê:	∥'èk'á	kù?sè:?ồːmè:
	hě:ù	<sup>n</sup>  èmésé:-``.	∥'èk'á	kú?-sé-é-?ồː-kì̥mé:
	[dem.(prox.3m.sg.) because of spilling the	1	blood] <sub>GEN</sub> is man,	spill-caus3m.sg.objnmlbec.
	! <sup>hw</sup> àtáts'įsèts'ề: ! <sup>hw</sup> àtáts'ì-sí-è-ts'è-	~		
	sin-poss3m.sgneg who does not have s	gsp.		

The benefactive morpheme is also used in figurative expressions. In the next two examples, 'have mercy on' is expressed in two different ways, both of which use the benefactive morpheme:

k<sup>hw</sup>ă:xisūxigì ?àmànà hèwésí? mònầ (25)k<sup>hw</sup>à-é-xì-sū̃:-ì ?ámáná hèwé-sí? mò-nà spirit-to return-3m.sg.obj.-ben.-1pl.obj.-irr.(-3m.sg.) perhaps he-loc. Perhaps he will have mercy on us (Lit. Perhaps he will return for us the spirit.) pònàsữ:qì t∫<sup>h</sup>è:kì nĩ: ?ò: mě: nĩ póná-sű-ì ?ó: mě: t∫<sup>h</sup>ě:-kí and heal-1pl.obj.-irr.(-3m.sg.) SC(1pl.) neg. absent-verb. and heal us so that we are not destroyed. k<sup>w</sup>à: lầxi?ĩ: mòkúmâ: (26)k<sup>w</sup>á: mòkúmù-à lẫ:-xì-?ī́: see-ben.-3a.pl.obj. NC(3m.sg.) mercy-3m.sg.PC Then he had mercy on them. (Lit. Then he looked on them (with) mercy.)

# 5.4 Applicative

The following table illustrates the variety of meanings which may be associated with the applicative morpheme /-ts'è/:

dě:wà	be many-mult.	dě:wàts'è	be too many for
dzá:	touch (intrans.)	dzá:ts'è	touch (trans.)
?íłímē:	shut (3m.sg.obj.)	?íłímē:ts'è	shut (3m.sg.obj.) for
kă:	put (pl.obj.)	kă:ts'è	put (pl.obj.) into
kê	climb	kêts'è	climb on
mà?séká:	stir	mà?séká:ts'è	be for stirring

Table 5.7 Applicative

The applicative morpheme raises the valency of the verb to which it attaches by one. When it attaches to an intransitive verb, it may add an applied object, as in /dě:wàts'è/ 'be too many for', or it may add a direct object, as in /dʒá:ts'è/ 'touch (trans.)'. When the applicative morpheme is attached to a transitive verb, it adds an applied object, as in /?íłímē:ts'è/ 'shut (3m.sg.obj.) for'.

The next two examples from the text corpus illustrate the use of the applicative morpheme with two of the verbs from table 5.7:

(27)		hòk'ấ: hòk'á- g.PC be.luke s finished gett	ź warm-&		l.
	· · · ·	! <sup>h</sup> ùmési ! <sup>h</sup> ùmé-sì flour-1sg.PC the flour and p	take-3m.	0 0	kă:ts'ê kă:-ts'è put-appl.
(28)	· · · ·	nàúlâ: nàúlì-à ) fare-3m.sg. e fare and got o	PC pay-	né-à kê-ts conn. climb	

In (27), the applicative morpheme is obligatory if a goal PP (such as 'into the water') does not occur in the clause and optional if one does.

In the following example, the verb suffixed with the applicative morpheme occurs in a copular clause:

(29)	porrid	ó:-ř-kí	dó:lò a.little	mà?sèkà:ts mà?sé-ká-é- stir-com3m ring a little,	ts'è	ijappl.
	úrí: very	tì̈́:ts'ĩ:ts'è tī́:ts'ì̥-ì-ts' boil-irr.(-31 ldn't boil a l	n.sg.)-ne	eg.		
	níi: 1 and 1		-	bjirr3a.pl. he heat and co	nī́: and	!' <sup>w</sup> ák <sup>hw</sup> ầ:sồ !' <sup>w</sup> âk <sup>h</sup> ù-wá:-ì-sò cool.down-3i.pl.objirr3a.pl. em down.

The applicative morpheme follows any direct object marking:

(30)	híâ?	sầxį	l <sup>h</sup> ĭ:ẫ:sų	<sup>n</sup> ∥ŏ:kỗ:sòsầ
	híà?	sáxì	l <sup>h</sup> ǐ:à-: ̃-sù	<sup>n</sup> ∥ŏ:kò-i̇̀-sò-sà
	usually	RC(3f.sg.)	dik.dik-sp3f.sg.	children-sp3a.pl3fsg.PC

k <sup>h</sup> ŏ:tánàsầ	?íłímē:ts'īgầ
k <sup>h</sup> ŏ:-tà-nà-sà	?íłímē:-ts'è-?ī-à
house-in-to-3f.sg.PC	shut(3m.sg.obj.)-appl3a.pl.objconn.
Usually Dik-dik shut t	he children in the house

mánt∫ <sup>h</sup> â	ìt∫ <sup>h</sup> àsànàsầ	hìk'į
mánt∫ <sup>h</sup> à	ít∫ <sup>h</sup> à-sà-nà-sà	hík'ì
food	look.for-nmlto-3f.sg.PC	go
and went t	o look for food.	

See section 11.5.2 on relative clauses for a further use of the applicative morpheme.

## 5.5 'Give'

Object marking morphemes are used in a specific way in a Sandawe construction that expresses the action of giving. The following tables give the paradigms for this construction when it has a third person feminine singular subject:

Recipient	Ongoing	Completed	Irrealis
1sg.	[sé?wàsầ]	[sé:sâ]	[sê:sų]
	sé-? wà-sà	sé-é-sà	sé-é-ì-su
	She gives me it	She gave me it	She will give me it
2sg.	[pó?wàsầ]	[póːwésâ]	[póːwêsų]
	pó-? wà-sà	pó-é-sà	pó-é-ì-sù
	She gives you it	She gave you it <sup>a</sup>	She will give you it
3m.sg.	[?í?wàsầ]	[ʔíésâ]	[?íêsų]
	₹í-? îwà-sà	?í-é-sà	?í-é-ì-sù
	She gives him it	She gave him it	She will give him it
3f.sg.	[?í?wàsầ]	[?íésúsâ]	[?íésûsu]
	?í-? îwà-sà	?í-é-sú-sà	?í-é-sú-ì-sù
	She gives her it	She gave her it	She will give her it
1pl.	[sű́?wàsầ]	[sū̃:gésâ]	[sū̃:gêsų]
	sū̃:-? ĵwà-sà	sū̃:-é-sạ	sū̃:-é-ì-sù
	She gives us it	She gave us it	She will give us it
2pl.	[sī́?wàsầ]	[sī́:gésâ]	[sī̃:gêsų]
	sī̃ː-? wà-sà	sĩ̃:-é-sà	sĩ̃:-é-ì-sù
	She gives you (pl.) it	She gave you (pl.) it	She will give you (pl.) it
3pl.	[?í?wàsầ]	[?íīgé?íīːsâ]	[?íīgé?î:su]
	?í-? îwà-sà	?í-: -é-? í:-sà	?í-: -é-?í:-ì-sù
	She gives them it	She gave them it	She will give them it

Table 5.8 Third person feminine singular subject, singular object

<sup>a</sup> Note the lengthened vowel in the second person recipient object morpheme.

Recipient	Ongoing	Completed	Irrealis
1sg.	[sé?wàsầ]	[sé?wāːsầ]	[sé?wầ:sụ]
	sé-? wà-sà	sé-? wá:-sà	sé-?, wá:-ì-sù
	She gives me them	She gave me them	She will give me them
2sg.	[pó?wàsầ]	[pó?wāːsầ]	[pó?wầ:sụ]
	pó-? wa-sà	pó-? wá:-sà	pó-? wá:-ì-sù
	She gives you them	She gave you them	She will give you them
3m.sg.	[?í?wàsầ]	[?í?wāːsầ]	[?í?wầ:sụ]
	?í-? wà-sà	?í-? wá:-sà	?í-? wá:-ì-sù
	She gives him them	She gave him them	She will give him them
3f.sg.	[?í?wàsầ]	[?í?wāːsūsầ]	[?í?wā:sùsų]
	?í-? wà-sà	?í-? wá:-sú-sà	?í-? wá:-sú-ì-sù
	She gives her them	She gave her them	She will give her them
1pl.	[sű́?wàsầ]	[sű́?wāːsầ]	[sű́?wầ:sụ]
	sū̃:-? į wà-sà	sū̃:-? wá:-sà	sū̃:-? wá:-ì-sù
	She gives us them	She gave us them	She will give us them
2pl.	[sī́?wàsầ]	[sī́?wāːsầ]	[sĩ́?wầ:sụ]
	sĩ̃:-? wà-sà	sī́:-? wá:-sà	sī́:-? wá:-ì-sù
	She gives you (pl.) them	She gave you (pl.) them	She will give you (pl.) them
3pl.	[?í?wàsầ]	[?í?wā?ī̃:sầ]	[?í?wā?ī̀:sų]
	?í-? wà-sà	?í-? wá:-?í:-sà	?í-? î wá:-?íī:-ì-sù
	She gives them them	She gave them them	She will give them them

Table 5.9 Third person feminine singular subject, plural object

The following morpheme order schemas can be observed. When the recipient is first or second person:

Recipient - Direct object - PC/pgn

When the recipient is third person:<sup>28</sup>

?í – Direct object – (Recipient) – PC/pgn

Parentheses are included in the preceding second schema because the object morphemes for a third person recipient are omitted in the ongoing form.

The choice of direct object morpheme is determined in the following way:

Ongoing:

/-? wà/, for both singular and plural

 $<sup>^{28}</sup>$  Elderkin (personal communication, 2005) analyses both /?í-/ and the /-?/ in /-? wá/ and /-? wá:/ as third person.

Completed and irrealis:	/-é/ for singular
	/-? wá:/ for plural

Three alternative forms for the verb 'to give' have been attested:

- (31) ?í?wàsĩ:sầ
  ?í-? wà-sĩ:-sà
  3pers.-3i.pl.obj.-2pl.obj.-3f.sg.PC
  She gave you (pl.) it/them.
- (32)<sup>29</sup> ?íésű:gésâ
  ?í-é-sű:-é-sà
  3pers.-3m.sg.obj.-1pl.obj.-3m.sg.obj.-3f.sg.PC
  She gave us it.
- (33) ?íé?í:gésâ
  ?í-é-?í:-é-sà
  3pers.-3m.sg.obj.-3a.pl.obj.-3m.sg.obj.-3f.sg.PC
  She gave them it.

These forms may be archaic and/or still used in the Eastern dialect of Sandawe. The paradigms given in the tables above represent the speech of one Sandawe speaker from the Western part of Usandawe.

The next two examples are found in the text corpus and illustrate the use of the 'give' construction in context:

(34)	hábúsâ	pòːwềsi
	hábúsà	pó-é-ì-sì
	condition	2sg.obj3m.sg.objirr1sg.
	I will give	you a condition.

(35) pà:  $\|^{h}àt\int^{h}\hat{u}:g\bar{a}: !'àk^{h}\check{a}:g\check{a}$  tł'àk<sup>h</sup>wè: à pá:  $\|^{h}àt\int^{h}\hat{u}\cdot\check{z}\cdot\dot{a}: !'àk^{h}\check{a}:-\check{z}\cdot\dot{a}$  tł'àk<sup>h</sup>ỳ-é-à NC(3m.sg.) lion-sp.-SF tooth-sp.-3m.sg.PC pull.out-3m.sg.obj.-conn. Then Lion pulled out a tooth and dì?sě:sû:s<sup>w</sup>à ?ìè dì?sě:-sú-ž-sỳ-à ?í-é old.person-3f.sg.-sp.-3f.sg.-3m.sg.PC 3pers.-3m.sg.obj. gave it to the old woman.

 $<sup>^{29}</sup>$  Note that in examples (32) and (33) the singular object morpheme /-é/ appears to occur twice, both before and after the recipient object morpheme (cf. the positioning of this morpheme in the preceding paradigms).

The imperative forms of the 'give' construction are the following:

- (36) ékôé-kò3m.sg.obj.-2sg.Imp.PCTake it!
- (37) éwákồé-wà-kò3m.sg.obj.-mult.-2sg.Imp.PCTake them!

These constructions are only used when the speaker has the item or items to be given in his possession. Otherwise, a form using a regular verb with the meaning 'take' is used, as in the following example:

 (38) síékô sí-é-kò take-3m.sg.obj.-2sg.Imp.PC Take it!

### 5.6 Other number marking

#### 5.6.1 Suppletive stems for subject number

Some intransitive verbs in Sandawe have suppletive stems which reflect the number of the subject:

Singular subject	Plural subject	Gloss
lí	<sup>n</sup>  àtí	come
hík'ì	ní?	go
íé	ně:	stay, live
tłă:sì	łà?té	die
t <sup>h</sup> â	gìrìbé	run
!'àwé	! <sup>h</sup> ó:	fall
<sup>n</sup> ∥úmé	łé:	stand
hă:kíts'ì	hă:nàkí	sit

Table 5.10 Intransitive suppletive stems

The following examples from the text corpus illustrate the occurrence of some of these suppletive stems:

hík'ísī: l<sup>w</sup>ẵ:si <sup>n</sup>|è?wấ: (39) mìndàtầsi <sup>n</sup>|ě:-wá:-í<sup>ź</sup> l<sup>w</sup>ẵ:-sì hík'ì-sì-ź mìndà-tà-sì go-1sg.PC-& field-to-1sg.PC millet-1sg.PC cut-3i.pl.obj.-& I go to the field and cut millet and lí. kẵ: kùnùtànầsi 1í-: kǎ:-: kùnù-tà-nà-sì come-& mortar-in-to-1sg.PC put-& I come and put them in the mortar nìsĩ: pǔ:ế: bùrù?sè: nī́:-sì pů:-é-ŕ bùrù?sé-é and-1sg.PC pound-3m.sg.obj.-& winnow-3m.sg.obj. and pound it and winnow it.30 kísósồsồ (40)k<sup>w</sup>ámế: hàbà?sế: <sup>n</sup>|àtìsồ hě:sô kísò-sò-ž-sò k<sup>w</sup>ámé-: hàbà?sé-: hě:sò <sup>n</sup>|àtí-sò dem.(prox.3pl.) two-3a.pl.-sp.-3a.pl. drive.out-& make.noise-& come-3a.pl. These two were to come, driving out and making noise.

If a clause contains a suppletive verb stem and a third person plural subject that is not marked with a PGN morpheme, any PCs which agree with the subject must be third person masculine singular, rather than third person plural:

(41) <sup>n</sup>∥ŏ:kô: łà?téâ
 <sup>n</sup>∥ŏ:kò-i<sup>\*</sup> łà?té-à
 children-sp. die-3m.sg.PC
 The children died.

However, if the subject in such a clause is suffixed with a plural PGN morpheme, the third person plural PC is used:<sup>31</sup>

(42) <sup>n</sup> ||ŏ:kô:sö łà?téâ?
 <sup>n</sup> ||ŏ:kô:š-sò łà?té-à?
 children-sp.-3a.pl. die-3pl.PC
 The children died.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>30</sup> The object of 'put' is plural (the cut millet), but once the millet is in the mortar it is treated as singular ('pound it and winnow it').

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>31</sup> The same pattern can be observed in verbs in which the plurality of the subject is indicated by the multiple morpheme /-wà/, rather than by a suppletive stem.

#### 5.6.2 Multiple

The multiple morpheme /-wà/ may attach to a verb stem. Its function is to indicate multiple occurrences of the action of the verb. The precise meaning of the morpheme depends on the context of its occurrence, as the following examples illustrate. In example (43), the presence of /-wà/ shows that the subject of the clause is plural:

(43) dềrų !'úā: l'è:kàwầ dèrù !'û-á: l'ě:kâ-wà [chin hair]<sub>GEN</sub>-SF be.heavy-mult. The whiskers are heavy.

If the /-wa/ morpheme is omitted, the clause is understood as having a singular subject.

The /-wà/ morpheme may also indicate that a singular subject has completed the action of the verb more than once:

(44)	hísâ	l <sup>h</sup> ĭ:ẫ:s <sup>w</sup> ā:	hǎ:ŋgā̃:	hìk'ĩ:?
	hí-sà	l <sup>h</sup> ĭ:à-: ̄ -sù़-á:	hǎ:ngà-ŕ	hík'ì̥-ì?
	when-3f.sg.PC	dik.dik-sp3f.sgSF	get.up-&	go-sub.cl.
	When Dik-dik g	ot up and went,		

pầxį	t <sup>h</sup> ék <sup>h</sup> élễ:	l <sup>h</sup> ĭ:â	k <sup>h</sup> ò:nầ:		hík'â:
páxì	t <sup>h</sup> ek <sup>h</sup> élé:-:	l <sup>h</sup> ĭ:à	k <sup>h</sup> ŏ:-nà	-à	hík'ì̥-à
RC(3m.sg.)	hyena-sp.	[dik.dik	house] <sub>G</sub>	<sub>EN</sub> -to-3m.sg.PC	go-conn.
then Hyena	went to Dik-di	k's house	and		
<sup>n</sup> ∥úméwâ:	m	à?é	l <sup>h</sup> ĭ:â	<sup>n</sup> ∥ò:kòxề̃mè:ầ	

"lúméwâ:	mà?é	l"i:â	<sup>n</sup> lo:kòxēmè:â	
<sup>n</sup> ∥úmé-wà-à	mà?é	l <sup>h</sup> ĭ:à	<sup>n</sup> ∥ŏ:kó-xé:-:̇̀ -kì̥mé:-à	
stand-mult3m.sg.PC	go.around	[dik.dik	children-plspbec.] <sub>GEN</sub> -3m.sg.PC	
stood around, because of Dik-dik's children.				

In other examples of a singular subject occurring with a verb marked with the multiple morpheme, the context can suggest a habitual or repetitive interpretation:

(45)	hóbê jà?àbồi	jà?b	èwầ	
	hóbè já?ábò-ì	jà?b	é-wà	
	[what work] <sub>GEN</sub> -2 What work do you	0	ork-mult	-
(46)	hót∫ómì: hót∫ò-kìmé:-ì what-bec2sg.PC Why do you keep g	there-to	?íé-ŕ́ stay-&	hík'wầ hík'ì़-wà go-mult.

The text corpus contains no example of two instances of the /-wà/ morpheme suffixed to the same verb, but this is theoretically possible, as the following elicited example shows:

(47) hùmbù báló:wáwài
hùmbù báló:-wà-wà-ì
cow graze-mult.-mult.-irr.(-3m.sg.)
The cows will habitually graze.

This example can be interpreted as containing one /-wà/ morpheme to show that the subject is plural and a second /-wà/ morpheme to convey habitualness.

The multiple morpheme may occur with the durative morpheme /-jó:/:

(48)?à: ně:wájō: ?á: ně:-wà-jó: NC(3pl.) live-mult.-dur. And then they lived <sup>n</sup>|ûm̀sũs<sup>w</sup>à: sà: tł'àbísó:sâ !'ò:wè <sup>n</sup>|úmì sú-i -sù-á: sá: tł'àbísó:-sà !'ŏ:-é NC(3f.sg.) wife-sp.-3f.sg.-SF stomach-3f.sg.PC get-3m.sg.obj. and then the wife became pregnant.

In this example, the function of the multiple morpheme is to intensify the durative meaning associated with the /-jo:/ morpheme.

The multiple morpheme may be found in combination with the reciprocal morpheme and the benefactive morpheme:

(49)				?útā:	?à:	kòŋgòmàwầ:kì
	wékế:	nĩ	∥'àkásù	?ûtá:	?á:	kóngómà-wà-ríkí
	wind	and	sun	long.ago	NC(3pl.)	argue-multrecip.
	Long ag	go the	wind and	the sun arg	ued.	
(50)	mêlì-tà	já?	àbồ <sup>n</sup> lòn ábò <sup>n</sup> lòn ork peop		-sp3a.pl.	
	?à: ?á: NC(3pl	?í ?í .) th	xâ? xì-à? us-3pl.PC	bòk <sup>w</sup> ầːkí bô-kù-w say-ben		

In the following example, the multiple morpheme occurs before the suffix /-si/ which derives a verb from a noun:

(51) híâ? ts'ò:ts'ìwàsì:? hí-à? ts'ò:ts'í-wà-sì-ì? when-3pl.PC hunger-mult.-verb.-sub.cl. When they were hungry,

?à:	?ísó:nâ?	nì?
?á:	?ìsá-ó:-nà-à?	ní?
NC(3pl.)	steal-nmlto-3pl.PC	go
they went	to steal.	

In the following example, the multiple morpheme precedes the reflexive morpheme /-ts'í/:

(52)	k <sup>w</sup> à:	t <sup>h</sup> â:	ts'átànầ:	t <sup>h</sup> ò:
	k <sup>w</sup> á:	t <sup>h</sup> â-à	ts'â-tà-nà-à	t <sup>h</sup> ŏ:
	( U)		water-in-to-3m.sg.PC ped into the water	jump
	nī: 4â:	k <sup>1</sup>	'òŋgò:ràwàts'ì	
	nī: łá:-à	k <sup>h</sup> òngŏ:rà-wà-ts'í		
	and well-31 and scrubbed	•	rub-multreflex. ll	
	k <sup>w</sup> à:	t∫ <sup>h</sup> ú?wầ	ráŋgĩ:	
	k <sup>w</sup> á:	t∫ <sup>h</sup> ú?ॢ-wà	rângì-:	
	NC(3m.sg.) and the color		_	

If the order of these two morphemes is reversed, the sentence is interpreted as meaning 'the colours scrubbed themselves well and went away'. The multiple morpheme is understood to mark a plural subject, rather than the habitual action of a singular subject. The following example suggests that there is an association between this morpheme order and the plural subject interpretation:

(53) mák'ố: hấ:géxế:sī? tł'ě:ts'íwâ: màk'é-ó:-: hấ:gé-xé:-i -sí? tł'ě:-ts'í-wà-à be.troubled-nml.-sp. some-pl.-sp.-loc. diminish-reflex.-mult.-3m.sg.PC As for some troubles, they have diminished
pà: hấ:géxé:á: lâ:ts'íwâ pá: hấ:gé-xé:-á: lâ:ts'ì-wà NC(3m.sg.) some-pl.-SF see-reflex.-mult.

but others have become apparent.

The iterative suffix /-mé/ may also be used to express the multiple action of a verb, as shown in the following elicited examples:

(54)	búrā:	gìtł'ễ:	
	búrì-á:	gìtł'é-:̇̀	l <sup>h</sup> òrŏ̃:-sé-é
		• •	have.hole-caus3m.sg.obj. in a piece of clothing.
			1 0
(55)	búrā:	gìtł'ề:	
	búrì-á:	gìtł'é-:̇̀	l <sup>h</sup> òrŏ̃:-mé-sé-é
		01	have.hole-itercaus3m.sg.obj.
	A mouse ha	is made holes	in a piece of clothing.
(56)	búrā:	gìt4'ễ:	l <sup>h</sup> òròṁsìmà:
	búrì-á:	gìtł'é-:̇̀	l <sup>h</sup> òrð̆:-mé-sé-mé-wá:
	mouse-SF	clothing-sp.	have.hole-itercausiter3i.pl.obj.
	A mouse ha	as made holes	in pieces of clothing.

In the following example, the verb /bùrù?sé/ 'winnow' has a singular object:

(57)	à:	t <sup>h</sup> ámét∫ <sup>h</sup> ā:	bùrù?sè:	là?sě:?îgầ?			
	á:	t <sup>h</sup> ámét∫ <sup>h</sup> ū-á:	bùrù?sé-é	là?sě:-?i̇̀:-à?			
	NC(3pl.)	woman-SF	winnow-3m.sg.obj.	winnowing.trough-with-3pl.PC			
	Then the women winnow it with the winnowing trough.						

The plural form of this verb is /bùrù?séwá:/ 'winnow them'. This is an example of a verb which does not include the iterative /-mé/ morpheme when it has a plural object. Instead, the verb /bùrù?sé/ 'winnow' can be analysed as having an inherently iterative meaning. (The causative suffix /-sé/ can be identified in this form, but there is no corresponding non-causative form \*/buru?/.)

In the following example, the reduplicated verb form /t‡'àp<sup>h</sup>àt‡'àp<sup>h</sup>àsé/ 'pound' has a singular object, but expresses multiple action:

(58)	s <sup>w</sup> ê	k <sup>w</sup> à:	dórố:		
	s <sup>w</sup> ê	k <sup>w</sup> á:	dóró-ř		
	now	NC(3m.sg.)	zebra-sp.		
		tł' <sup>w</sup> é:séầ tł' <sup>w</sup> é:-sí-è-à		ìt∫ <sup>h</sup> àwầֿ: ít∫ <sup>h</sup> à-wà-:	nĩ:gầ: nĩ:-à
			3m.sg3m.sg.PC	5	

tł'àp <sup>h</sup> àtł'àp <sup>h</sup> àsḗ́:	dī̃:nâ:	4â:	nò:wè			
tł'àp <sup>h</sup> àtł'àp <sup>h</sup> àsé-é-:Ź	dĭ̃:-nà-à	łá:-à	nó:wé			
pound-3m.sg.obj&	stone-to-3m.sg.PC	well-3m.sg.PC	grind(3m.sg.obj.)			
Now Zebra looked for a bitter tree and he pounded it on a stone and ground it well.						

There are no verb forms  $*/t\frac{1}{a}p^{h}ase/$  or  $*/t\frac{1}{a}p^{h}a/$  in Sandawe, but the form in the example above can be analysed as being related to the verb  $/t\frac{1}{a}p^{h}e/$  'beat, thresh'.

# 5.7 Verbal extensions

The following suffixes all either attach to existing verb stems or are found in verbs for which no suffixless form has been attested. Suffixes which derive verbs from other grammatical categories are discussed in section 9.2. Six suffix types will be discussed in the following sections: causative, reflexive/stative, reciprocal, comitative, durative, and desiderative.

#### 5.7.1 Causative

The following table gives examples of some causative verbs which are derived from other verbs by means of the suffixes  $/-k\dot{u}/$ ,  $/-si-k\dot{u}/$  or /-se/:

kê	climb	kêkù	raise
mánt∫ <sup>h</sup> à	eat	mánt∫ <sup>h</sup> àkù	feed
t <sup>h</sup> ŏ:	move (intrans.)	t <sup>h</sup> ókỳ	move (trans.)
tû	come out, go away	tûkù	remove
<sup>n</sup> ∥úmé	stand (sg.subj.)	<sup>n</sup> ∥ữ̂:kỳ	make stand (sg.obj.)
dàrà	wait	dàràsúkù	make wait
fógógố:	be clear	fógógố:súkù	make clear
xâ	be bad	xâsúkỳ	make bad
∥'àkí	descend	∥'àkísúkù	make descend
∥'ék'à	bleed	∥'ék'àsúkù	make bleed
bé:bà	be near	bè:bàsé	move near
k <sup>w</sup> élế:	enter quickly	k <sup>w</sup> èlề:sé	make enter quickly
k'á <sup>n</sup> !á	be lost	k'à <sup>n</sup> !àsé	lose
ná?	burn, be alight	nà?sé	light fire
l <sup>h</sup> òrỗ:	have holes	l <sup>h</sup> òròṁsé	make holes

Table 5.11 Causative

The following example from the text corpus contains an example of the causative suffix /-kù/:

(59) !èkŏ: !<sup>h</sup>ùmè rìŋgìsò: <sup>n</sup>llŏ: mánt∫<sup>h</sup>ákusò
!èkŏ: !<sup>h</sup>ùmé rìngísó: <sup>n</sup>llŏ: mánt∫<sup>h</sup>à-kù-ì-sò
[[millet flour]<sub>GEN</sub> porridge]<sub>GEN</sub> child eat-caus.-irr.-3a.pl. They would feed the child millet flour porridge. This suffix is described by Elderkin (GS:M35) as an 'old suffix'. It is commonly found in verbs which have no non-causative equivalent:

(60)	hèwé	bà?ò:	gìtł'ề:gầ	tètèk <sup>w</sup> à:
	hèwé	bà?é-ó:	gìtł'é-`:-à	tétékù-wá
	[[he	be.big-nml.] <sub>GEN</sub>	clothes] <sub>GEN</sub> -sp3m.sg.PC	take.off-3i.pl.obj.
	He tool	k off his clothes of	f greatness.	

Other verbs without non-causative equivalents include /ádúkù/ 'help', /tl'ákù/ 'pull out' and /llékù/ 'put on a fire'.

The /-kù/ suffix commonly occurs with a preceding [-sú]. Elderkin follows Dempwolff (1916:37) in analysing [-sú] as the 'nominalising' suffix /-sí/ (glossed below as possessive), whose vowel is affected by the following /-kù/ (GS:M36):

(61)	dó:lókī ?àŋkʰá			∥'ǎ:nt∫ <sup>h</sup> ímásūkề:sòts'è				
	dó:lò-	kí ?ànk	há ∥'ă	á ∥'ǎ:nt∫ <sup>h</sup> ímà-sí-kù-é:-ì-sò-ts'é be.sweet-posscaus3m.sg.obj. <sup>32</sup> -irr3a.plneg.				
	a.little	-add. even	be					
	They would not make			it even a little sweet,				
	kà?	k <sup>w</sup> à:	mě:	tł'àbísó:á:	kûmųk <sup>w</sup> ē:			
	ká? k <sup>w</sup> á:		mě:	tł'àbísó:-á:	kûmù-kù-é:			
	hear.	SC(3m.sg.)	neg.	stomach-SF	hurt-ben3m.sg.obj.			
	appare	apparently so that the stomach would not hurt.						

The combination of the /-sí/ and /-k $\dot{u}$ / suffixes is the most productive of the three types of causatives shown in the preceding table. This suffix combination is also used to derive causative verbs from nouns (see section 9.2.3).

When attached to the verb /dlòmó/ 'buy', the /-sí/ and /-kỳ/ suffixes result in a form which means 'sell':

(62)	?ùs <sup>w</sup> ê	wàrè	hùmbù	?ùsấ?wàĩ	kèsô?
	?ùs <sup>w</sup> ê	wàré	hùmbù	?ùsấ́:-?͡ wà-ì	kèsé-ò?
	now	friend	cow	we-3i.plpro.	drive-1pl.Subj.PC
	dlòmós	súkusàn	à	mnádána	
	dlòmó-	-sú-kù-s	à-nà	mnáda-n	à
	21		-nmlto	market-to ur cows to the n	narket in order to sell them.
	,	,			

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>32</sup> The third person masculine object morpheme, which follows causative /-k $\dot{u}$ /, is always the long /-é:/, rather than the alternative short form /-é/ (see section 5.1).

The causative suffix /-sé/ is commonly found in verbs for which no suffixless form exists:

(63)	à:	t <sup>h</sup> ámét∫ <sup>h</sup> ā:	bùrù?sè:	là?sĕ:?îgầ?
	áː	t <sup>h</sup> ámét∫ <sup>h</sup> ū-á:	bùrù?sé-é	là?sĕ:-?ī̃:-à?
	NC(3pl.)	woman-SF	winnow-3m.sg.obj.	winnowing.trough-with-3pl.PC
	Then the v	women winnow	it with the winnowing	g trough.

All the tones in the verb stem preceding /-sé/ are low. The following example contains the verb  $/k^{h}\hat{u}$ ?sé/ 'throw away (pl.obj.)', which is derived from  $/k^{h}\hat{u}$ ?/ 'scatter, spill':

[tree top-to]<sub>GEN</sub>-1sg.PC climb climbed to the top of a tree.

The plural object /-wá:/ morpheme can occur after a causative morpheme, as in the following example:

(65) pò: k<sup>h</sup>ŏ:nô: <sup>n</sup>∥è:sùk<sup>w</sup>à: pó: k<sup>h</sup>ŏ:-nà-ò <sup>n</sup>∥ě:-sí-kù-wá: NC(1pl.) house-to-1pl.PC enter-poss.-caus.-3i.pl.obj. Then we bring them in the house

pò:	tóngétánö:	tfókywá:
pó:	tóngé-tà-nà-ò	tłôkù-wá:
NC(1pl.)	container-in-to-1pl.PC	put-3i.pl.obj.
and put the	em in the container.	

The combination of the singular object morpheme /-é/ preceded by the /-sé/ causative morpheme is realised as [-sé:]:

<sup>n</sup>!ê̂:gā: t∫<sup>h</sup>è:kì:? hèwéxé: (66) híâ <sup>n</sup>!ê-ř-á: hèwéxé: t∫<sup>h</sup>ě:-kí-ì? hí-à when-3m.sg.PC dem.(ref.pl.) day-sp.-SF absent-verb.-sub.cl. When these days have finished, k<sup>hw</sup>àsé:t∫<sup>h</sup>ôi? p<sup>h</sup>álâ? ?à: k<sup>hw</sup>à-sé-é-t∫<sup>h</sup>ì-só-ì? p<sup>h</sup>álâ-à? ?á: NC(3pl.) marriage.gift-3pl.PC return-caus.-3m.sg.obj.- neg.-3a.pl. -sub.cl. if they have not returned the marriage gift,

?à:	mànă:	ká?				
?á:	mànă:	ká?				
NC(3pl.)	know	hear.				
then they	know tha	t				
0	ī-ž̃-sù p3f.sg.	dògồ:sồ dògó-: <sup></sup> -sò relative-sp3a.pl.] <sub>GEN</sub> ves agree	mě:nâ:? mě:nà-à? agree-3pl.PC			
<sup>n</sup> ∥ŏ:kỗ:sồ hètèkàwằ:kĩ̃:						
<sup>n</sup> ∥ŏ:kó-:̇̀ -sò		hétékà-wà-: kí-:				
[children-sp3a.pl. marry-multrecip.] <sub>GEN</sub> -sp.						
to the mar	riage of t	he children.				

The plural equivalent of  $/k^{hw}a-se-e/is/k^{hw}amsei/, /k^{hw}amsimai/, or /k^{hw}amsewai/ 'return them'. The first of these was considered to be the most common by our main consultant. The three forms can be analysed as deriving from /k^{hw}a-me-se-(me)-wai/ 'return-iter.-caus. (-iter.)-3i.pl.obj.$ 

#### 5.7.2 Reflexive/stative

Verbs with a reflexive or stative meaning can be derived by the suffix /-ts'i/ or /-ts'i/.<sup>33</sup> The choice between the two suffixes does not seem to be predictable, as the examples in the following table illustrate:

?àmé	keep, raise	?ắ:ts'í	keep/raise oneself, be kept/raised
t <sup>h</sup> ímé	cook	t <sup>h</sup> ī́:ts'í	be cooked
t <sup>h</sup> ìné	sew	t <sup>h</sup> ĩ:ts'í	be sewn
n∥ókhò	wash	<sup>n</sup> ∥ók <sup>h</sup> òts'í	wash oneself, be washed
?ámé	split	?ấ:ts'ì	be split
hí <sup>n</sup> !í́:	straighten	<sup>n</sup> !î:ts'ì	be straightened
hó!ố:	fill	!ố:ts'ì	be filled
màłé	choose	màłéts'ì	choose oneself, be chosen

Table 5.12 Reflexive/stative

Note, also, how for the first three verbs in the table, the second syllable of the verb stem is not found in the reflexive/stative forms. Those verbs which behave in this way belong to the group of verbs which have a lengthened first (root) vowel when a third person object morpheme is attached (see section 5.1.1).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>33</sup> The use of this suffix is comparable to that of a *middle* suffix (Sander Steeman, personal communication, 2006).

The precise meaning of verbs ending in the /-ts'i/ or /-ts'i/ morpheme is dependent on the meaning of the stem verb and on the context. In the following example, a reflexive meaning is understood:

(67) sì: t∫á: kìtóŋgétâsi l<sup>w</sup>àts'i
sí: t∫í-á: kìtóngé-tà-si l<sup>w</sup>á-ts'ì
NC(1sg.) I-SF barrel-in-1sg.PC hide-reflex. Then I hid myself in the barrel.

In contrast, in the following example, a stative interpretation is appropriate:

(68)	mák'ố:				tł'ě:ts'íwâ:	
	màk'é-ó:-: be.troubled-nmlsp.		hī̃:gé-xé:-i̇̀ -sí?		tł'ě:-ts'í-wà-à	
			some-plsploc.		diminish(caus.)-reflexmult3m.sg.PC	
	As for some	troubles, t	they l	nave diminish	ned	
	pà: pá: NC(3m.sg.) but others ha	hí:gé-xé some-pl.	:-á: -SF		nult.	

The meaning of a verb plus the /-ts'i/ suffix is not always transparent, as in the following example:

(69)	· · · ·	sà: ?árá: hè		esú sàndàwě:-sú-ž-sù m.(ref.3f.sg.) Sandawe-3f.sgsp2		hík'i hík'ì go
	sà: sà: NC(3f.sg.) and then sho	[children	kísòxì two	pàsà:?ì:sầ pàsă:-?ì:-sà twins] <sub>GEN</sub> -with-3f.sg.PC th twins.		mě:nà-ts'í-:

When suffixed with the /-ts'i/ suffix, the verb /mě:nà/ 'like' may mean either 'like oneself' or 'be liked' if the context is appropriate, but it is most commonly understood with the meaning 'be happy'.

Other non-transparent examples include /tłíné/ 'build' and /tłí:ts'í/ 'build oneself up, be steadfast', and /ìsá/ 'steal' and /ìsáts'ì/ 'steal oneself away'.

#### 5.7.3 Reciprocal

The distribution of the reciprocal morpheme is shown in the following table:

húk'wà	kill	húk'wàkí	kill each other
!'ŏ:	meet, get	!'ŏ:kí	meet each other
bìk <sup>h</sup> é	leave	bìk <sup>h</sup> ékí	leave each other
k <sup>h</sup> é?é	hear	k <sup>h</sup> é?éwâ̂:kí	hear each other
mě:nà	like	mě:nàwâ̂:kí	like each other
mànà	know	mànàwẵ:kí	know each other
k <sup>h</sup> é?é	hear	k <sup>h</sup> é?ék <sup>w</sup> â̂:kí	listen to each other
mě:nà	like	mě:ná:k <sup>w</sup> ấ:kí	agree with each other <sup>a</sup>
∥ <sup>h</sup> èmé	pay	∥ <sup>h</sup> ễ̃:k <sup>w</sup> ẫ̂:kí	pay for each other
dùbé	smash	dùbìmě̃:kí	smash into each other
màłé	choose	màłìmě̃:kí	choose each other
wèré	walk, visit	wèrìmě̃:kí	visit each other

Table 5.13 Reciprocal

<sup>a</sup> The reciprocal form also includes a singular object morpheme /é/.

In the first three rows, the reciprocal morpheme takes the form /-kí/ and is attached to the verb root, whereas, in the remaining examples, it takes the form /- $\frac{2}{5}$ kí/ and follows either the multiple morpheme /-wà/, the combination of this morpheme with the benefactive morpheme /-kù/, or the iterative morpheme /-mé/.<sup>34</sup>

The following three text examples illustrate some of these uses of the reciprocal morpheme:

(70)	t∫íkí v	wàrè ma	áxáè:sì	t∫ <sup>h</sup> íásū̃:	hùk'wàkìsữ:
	t∫í-kí v	wàré mà	áxà-é:-sì	t∫ <sup>h</sup> íà-sū́:	húk'wà-kí-ì-sữ̀:
			00	-	kill-recipirr1pl.
	I also, fri	iend, am a	male, we both	will kill eac	h other.
(71)		nī̀: ∥'àk	0	?à:	k <sup>h</sup> òŋgòmàwầ̄:kì
	wékẽ: 1	nĩ: l'àk	tásỳ ?ûtá:	?á:	k <sup>h</sup> óngómà-wà-: kí
			00	· · ·	argue-multrecip.
	Long ago	o the wind	l and the sun arg	gued with ea	ich other.
(72)	mêlì-tà	já?ábò	<sup>n</sup> lòmòsồ̀:sồ <sup>n</sup> lòmósò-: ̃-sò people] <sub>GEN</sub> ] <sub>GEN</sub>	<sub>i</sub> -sp3a.pl.	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>34</sup> Alternatively, the form /- $\frac{f}{k}$  kí / could be analysed as two morphemes: the connective morpheme /- $\frac{f}{k}$  / and the reciprocal morpheme /- $\frac{k}{k}$ .

?à:	?íxâ?	bòk <sup>w</sup> ầ:kí			
?á:	?íxì̥-à?	bô-kù-wà-rÉkí			
NC(3pl.)	thus-3pl.PC	say-benmultrecip.			
Then the boat workers said to each other thus.					

## 5.7.4 Comitative

The comitative morpheme /-ká/ expresses 'accompaniment or instrument' (Elderkin GS:M33):

Table 5.14 Comitative

k <sup>h</sup> ă:	hit	k <sup>h</sup> ǎ:ká	hit with
! <sup>h</sup> ó:	fall (pl.subj.)	! <sup>h</sup> ó:ká	fall with (pl.subj., sg.obj.)
<sup>n</sup> !á?á	cling	<sup>n</sup> !á?áká	wrap around
lí	come (sg.subj.)	líká	bring (sg.subj., sg.obj.)
		líwàká	bring (sg.subj., pl.obj.)
'ě:	look	l'ě:ká	attend to

It is usually found with a following singular object morpheme and therefore a long vowel:

(73)	pà:	híâ	úrī́:gà	wèk <sup>h</sup>	ề:gĩ?	
	pá:	hí-à	úrii-à	wék <sup>h</sup>	ế:-1?	
	NC(3m.sg.) But when he	when-3m.sg.PC blew a lot,	very-3m.sg.PC	blow-	-sub.cl.	
	pà:	mǐ:ndʒó <sup>n</sup> lèmè			e	
	pá:	mĭ:ndzó <sup>n</sup>  èmé	ésé:-ř -kí-á:	hèwé	k <sup>h</sup> ôt <sup>h</sup> ì-r̀ -à	
	NC(3m.sg.)	[journey man]	<sub>GEN</sub> -spaddSF	[he	coat] <sub>GEN</sub> -sp3m.sg.PC	
	téłâ:	<sup>n</sup> !à?àk	à:			
	téłà-à	<sup>n</sup> !á?á-]	ká-é			
		y-3m.sg.PC cling-com3m.sg.obj.				
	then the trav	eller wrapped his o	coat more tightly	around	him.	
(74)	k'àrě: hík	í hèwé tùrutà	l'è:wàkàìnầ			
	k'àrě: hík	í hèwé túrùtà	l'ě:-wà-ká-ì-n	ià		
	•	[he life] <sub>GEN</sub>		nirr.(-	3m.sg.)-qu.	
How will a youth attend to his life,						
	pà:	łàùwầi				
	pá:	łáúwà-ì				
SC(3m.sg.) be.good(pl.subj.)-irr.(-3m.sg.) so that it is good?						

The following example contains a benefactive object as well as the comitative object:

(75) t∫ě: k<sup>h</sup>à:kà:xipòsi t∫ě: k<sup>h</sup>ă:-ká-é-xì-pó-ì-sì head hit-com.-3m.sg.obj.-ben.-2sg.obj.-irr.-1sg. I will hit your head (against something).

### 5.7.5 Durative

Elderkin refers to the durative morpheme /-jó:/ as an 'anomalous clitic' (GS:M6) because it behaves tonally like a word rather than a suffix. We have also found that this morpheme exhibits unusual tonal behaviour in that its high tone does not necessarily undergo downstep when it occurs in the downstep environment. Thus, in the following example, the tone of the durative morpheme may be high or mid (a downstepped high):

(76)	?à:	ně:wájō: / ně:wàjó	I			
	?á:	ně:-wà-jó:				
	( <b>1</b> /	NC(3pl.) live-multdur. And then they lived				
	sà:	<sup>n</sup>  ûmsū̃s <sup>w</sup> à:	tł'àbísó:sâ	!'ò:wè		
	sá:	<sup>n</sup>  úm` sú-r` -sù-á:	tł'àbísó:-sà	!'ŏ:wé		

NC(3f.sg.) wife-sp.-3f.sg.-SF stomach-3f.sg.PC get

and then the wife became pregnant. As in the preceding example, the durative morpheme commonly occurs following the multiple morpheme /-wà/, which can be associated with a repetitive or habitual meaning. In the following example, the durative morpheme alone provides the durative interpretation:

(77)	sì: sí:	łébérâsi łébérà-sì	sìè sí-é	sì: sí:	łèbèrímé: łèbèrímé-é
	· · · ·	stirrer-1sg.PC e the stirrer, and	take-3m.sg.obj. I stir it,	NC(1sg.)	stir-sg.obj
	sì:	bìk <sup>h</sup> é:	pà:	tī́:ts'ijō:	
	sí:	bìk <sup>h</sup> é-é	pá:	tī́:ts'ì-jó:	
		leave-3m.sg.ob re it, and then it b	, <b>, ,</b>	boil-dur.	
	sì:	<sup>n</sup> ∥ <sup>w</sup> á:			
	sí:	<sup>n</sup> ∥ <sup>w</sup> á-é			
	( U )	take.off.heat-3r take it off the he	•••		

In the following example, the durative morpheme corresponds to the conjunction 'until' in the English translation:

!'ò:k<sup>h</sup>ài? ∥ấ: (78) hîsi ∥â-é-ŕ́  $!'\check{o}:k^{h}\check{a}-i?$ hí-sì when-1sg.PC plant-3m.sg.obj.-& stop-sub.cl. After I have finished planting, l'<sup>w</sup>ắ:gā: sì: íâ: dàràjó: pà: tù l'<sup>w</sup>ắ:-r̀́-á: sí: íé-à dàrà-jó: pá: tû NC(1sg.) stay-conn. wait-dur. NC(3m.sg.) millet-sp.-SF sprout I wait until the millet sprouts.

Some occurrences of the durative morpheme are not interpreted as indicators of duration, but rather as emphatic or exclamatory markers:

- (79)<sup>35</sup> hùmàséàjó: hùmà-sé-à-jó: defeat-1sg.obj.-3m.sg.PC-dur. He has defeated me!
- (80) dă:t∫<sup>h</sup>ē:jó: dă:-t∫<sup>h</sup>ì-sé-jo: be.able-neg.-1sg.-dur. I couldn't!

Note also that the durative morpheme follows the subject marking morphemes in these examples.

### 5.7.6 Desiderative

When the desiderative morpheme  $/-m_{0} \pm e/m_{0} \pm e/m$ 

- (81) k<sup>hw</sup>àmsési k<sup>h</sup>wà-mì sé-sì return-des.-1sg.PC I want to return/ I'm about to return.
- (82) sàndàwě:kí?î:si sàjòmsè sàndàwě:kí?î:sì sàjò-m`sé Sandawe-with-1sg.PC speak-des. I want to speak Sandawe.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>35</sup> Note the exceptional surface tone pattern that occurs when the durative morpheme is attached.

When this morpheme is attached to a noun phrase X, the meaning 'I thought it was a X' or 'I said X' results (see section 9.2.4).

## **6** Modifiers

## 6.1 Adjectives

The following forms are among those which have been identified as adjectives in Sandawe:

Adjective	Gloss
bútl'ì	red
dʒǎ:ngà	green/grey, wet
hàmbě:	where?
k <sup>h</sup> ùrùk <sup>h</sup> úrú:	round
k'ánk'árà	black
k'ùnk'ú	blunt
łá:ù	good
mé:	big
nâ	far off
nê	nearby
p <sup>h</sup> ó:	white, light
ts'ĭ:nt <sup>h</sup> è	infant
ts'ð:?, tó	small
t∫ <sup>h</sup> ě:	absent
tłà:kîé:	empty
l'á:mù	old, used
làě:	new

Table 6.1 Adjectives (in third person masculine form)

An adjective can be defined by the fact that it forms a NP when it occurs after a noun and with a lowered tone pattern, as illustrated by the following elicited example:<sup>36</sup>

When the tone of the adjective is not lowered, the resulting construction is a copular one:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>36</sup> Note that the adjective /tlà:kîé:/ 'empty' does not have a lowered tone pattern in a NP. This adjective is already tonally exceptional as it is has a low tone on a long vowel.

In contrast, placing a noun with a lowered tone pattern after a noun results in a genitive clause:

(3) ∥<sup>h</sup>àt∫<sup>h</sup>ú ts'<sup>w</sup>à:
 ∥<sup>h</sup>àt∫<sup>h</sup>ú ts'<sup>w</sup>ă:
 [lion tail]<sub>GEN</sub>
 A lion's tail.

When the tone of the second noun is not lowered, the resulting construction is a copular one:

Placing a verb with a lowered tone pattern after a noun results in a genitive NP, with the verb interpreted as a nominalisation:

(5) <sup>∥h</sup>àt∫<sup>h</sup>ú bà?è
<sup>∥h</sup>àt∫<sup>h</sup>ú bà?é
[lion be.big]<sub>GEN</sub>
Bigness of the lion.

When the tone of the verb is not lowered, the resulting construction is an exclamatory clause (see section 11.2):

When a noun is marked with the specificity morpheme  $/-\hat{i}/$ , the adjective retains its basic tone pattern in both a NP construction and a copular clause. In the former, the adjective is also suffixed with the specificity morpheme:

(7) <sup>∥h</sup>àt∫<sup>h</sup>û: k'ánk'árầ:
 <sup>∥h</sup>àt∫<sup>h</sup>ú-: k'ánk'árà-:
 lion-sp. black-sp.
 The black lion.

#### 6.1.1 Person, gender, and number marking

The following table shows three of the different person, number, and gender forms for Sandawe adjectives:

3m.sg.	PGN	<i>3f.sg</i> .	PGN	<i>3i.pl</i> .	PGN	Gloss
łá:ù	ù	łá:sù	sù	٩á:? wà	? wà	good
nâ	Ø	nâsù	sù	ná? wà	? wà	far off
nê	Ø	nêsù	sù	né? wà	? wà	nearby
ts'ð:? tó	Ø	ts'ŏ:?ॄ tósù	sù	ts'ŏ:ts'ò?	Ø	small
l'á:mù	mù	l'ấ́:sỳ	sù	∣'ấ́:?ॢ̂ wà	? wà	old, used
hàmbě:	Ø	hambě:sú	sú	hàmbě:?wá:	?wá:	where?
k <sup>h</sup> ùrùk <sup>h</sup> úrú:	Ø	k <sup>h</sup> ùrùk <sup>h</sup> úrú:sú	sú	k <sup>h</sup> ùrùk <sup>h</sup> úrú?wá:	?wá:	round
k'ùnk'ú	Ø	k'ùnk'úsú	sú	k'ùnk'ú?wá:	?wá:	blunt
mé:	Ø	mé:sú	sú	mé?wá:	?wá:	big
p <sup>h</sup> ó:	Ø	p <sup>h</sup> ó:sú	sú	p <sup>h</sup> ó?wá:	?wá:	white, light
ts'ĭ:nt <sup>h</sup> è	Ø	ts'ĭ:nt <sup>h</sup> èsú	sú	ts'ĭ:nt <sup>h</sup> è?wá:	?wá:	infant
t∫ <sup>h</sup> ě:	Ø	t∫ <sup>h</sup> ě:sú	sú	t∫ <sup>h</sup> ě:?wá:	?wá:	absent
tłà:kîé:	é:	tlà:kîsú	sú	tlà:kî?wá:	?wá:	<i>empty</i> <sup>37</sup>
llàě:	Ø	∥àĕ:sú	sú	∥àě:? ̂ wá:	? wá:	new <sup>38</sup>
bútl'ì	Ø	bútl'ì̥sú	sú	bútl'ì	Ø	red
dʒǎ:ngà	Ø	dʒǎ:ngàsú	sú	dʒǎ:ngà	Ø	green, grey, wet
k'ánk'árà	Ø	k'ánk'áràsú	sú	k'ánk'árà	Ø	black

Table 6.2 PGN marking in adjectives

The following example from the text corpus illustrate two of these forms:

(9)	k <sup>w</sup> à:	bà?ésê:	wàròŋgề̃:gà:	bàhárĩ:tànầ:
	k <sup>w</sup> á:	bà?é-sí-è-:	wàròngě:-: -á:	bàhârì-: tà-nà-à
	NC(3m.sg.)	be.big-poss3m.sgsp.	god-spSF	sea-spin-to-3m.sg.PC

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>37</sup> The stem /tłà:kî/ is also used to express the meaning of not having something; thus, /t<sup>h</sup>érè tłà:kîé:/ 'pot empty-3m.sg.' means both 'empty pot' and 'he has no pot'. In the former interpretation, the PGN morpheme refers to the pot and in the second to the one who does not possess it.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>38</sup> This example differs from the others in the same group as the tone of vowel in the plural suffix is downstepped.

wék<sup>h</sup>ế:mé:âk<sup>h</sup>ìnsè:ts'ĩ:wék<sup>h</sup>ế:mé:-àk<sup>h</sup>ìnsé-é-ts'è-?ĩ:windbig-3m.sg.PCsend-3m.sg.obj.-appl.-3a.pl.obj.Then the Lord sent a big wind to the sea for themk<sup>w</sup>à:gèréká:mé:?wá:n|wén|wéts'ĩk<sup>w</sup>á:gèréká:mé:-?wá:-á:n|wén|wè-ts'íNC(3m.sg.)ridgebig-3i.pl.-SFmake-reflex.

and then big ridges (waves) were made.

The three sections in table 6.2 represent three subgroups of the set of adjectives with respect to person, gender, and number marking. The adjectives belonging to the first subgroup are suffixed with PGN morphemes from the low-toned series, whereas the remaining adjectives are suffixed with morphemes from the high-toned series. The choice between low- and high-toned PGN agreement is not predictable, but a tendency can be seen for adjective stems ending in a low tone to take low-toned PGN morphemes and those ending in a high tone to take high-toned ones. Within the set of adjectives suffixed with the high-toned morphemes, a distinction can be made between those which have the plural morpheme /-?wá:/ and those which are zero marked for plural.

The person, gender, and number forms not given in table 6.2 follow the pattern evident in the third person feminine singular column. That is, the first five adjectives are suffixed with the relevant low-toned PGN morpheme and the remaining adjectives are suffixed with the corresponding high toned PGN morpheme. The choice of third person plural morpheme is as shown in the table for inanimate nouns, but for animate nouns, either the high- or low-toned PGN morpheme may be used, according to the pattern seen in other person forms.

The three adjectives listed in the third subgroup in the table, which do not allow the plural morpheme /-?wá:/, also do not allow the suffixation of the animate plural morpheme /-só/. These three adjectives, together with /p<sup>h</sup>ó:/, meaning 'white', behave differently from the other adjectives in a further way as they can be suffixed with the morpheme /-sì/, resulting in a verb and are thus like nouns (see section 9.2.2).<sup>39</sup>

#### 6.1.2 Intensifying and weakening

There are two ways in which the meaning of an adjective may be intensified. One involves the use of one of two adverbs, /?úrī́:/ or /?úrì̯/, which both mean 'very':

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>39</sup> It is possible that these words may once have been nouns and have come to be partially grammaticalised as adjectives. This possibility is suggested by our consultant's comment that older Sandawe speakers use the construction /t<sup>h</sup>érè p<sup>h</sup>ó:-sí-è-sí-è/ (pot white-poss.-3m.sg.) to mean 'he has a white pot', whereas younger Sandawe would say /t<sup>h</sup>érè p<sup>h</sup>ó:-s1-è/ (pot white-poss.-3m.sg.) for the same meaning. The structure of the first variant treats /p<sup>h</sup>ó:/ as a noun, whereas that of the second treats this word as an adjective.

(10) k<sup>h</sup>ìmbà bà?ésê: wàròŋgề: k<sup>h</sup>ímbá bà?ésí-è-i wàròŋgề: wàròŋgề: interj.(surprise) be.big-poss.-3m.sg.-sp. god-sp.
sómbá ?úrí: mè:à k<sup>h</sup>ìnsè: sómbá ?úrí: mé:-à k<sup>h</sup>ìnsé-é fish very big-3m.sg.PC send-3m.sg.obj. But<sup>40</sup> the Lord sent a very big fish.

The adverb may either precede or follow the adjective, but it more commonly precedes it.

The second way in which the meaning of an adjective may be intensified requires forming a verb from the adjective by means of the suffix /-ts'í/ and then adding the adjectiviser suffix /- $^{\circ}_{\circ}$ thé:/ (see section 9.3.1). As well as intensifying the meaning expressed, this suffix changes the verb back into an adjective. An example of this has not been attested in the text corpus, but the following elicited example is grammatical:

(11) t<sup>h</sup>érê |'â:muts'ìt<sup>h</sup>è: t<sup>h</sup>érè |'á:-muts'î-t<sup>h</sup>é: pot used-3m.sg.-verb.-adj. A very used pot.

In order to weaken the meaning of an adjective, the adjective, together with any PGN morphemes, may be reduplicated, as shown in the following elicited examples:

- (12) t<sup>h</sup>ě: mé:mē:
   t<sup>h</sup>ě: mé:-mé:
   tree big-big
   A quite big tree.
- (13) <sup>n</sup>∥ŏ:kó łá:?wàłà:?wà
   <sup>n</sup>∥ŏ:kó łá:-? wà-łá:-? wà
   children good-3i.pl.-good-3i.pl.
   Quite good children.

Alternatively, an adjective may be preceded by the adverb /dó:lô/, 'a little'.

#### 6.1.3 Comparatives

A comparative construction can be formed using an adjective together with the word /?ôntje/ 'compared to',<sup>41</sup> as in the following elicited example:<sup>42</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>40</sup> The meaning 'but' is conveyed by the use of an interjection expressing surprise.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>41</sup> This form may be multimorphemic. It can be analysed as including the postposition /-tje/ 'from'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>42</sup> All the examples in this section and the following one are elicited.

(14) gáwâ: ts'ŏ:?tō gélê: ?önt∫è gáwà-i ts'ŏ:? tó gélé-i ?ônt∫è Gawa-sp. be.small-adj. Gele-sp. compared.to Gawa is smaller than Gele.

A superlative meaning can be expressed by using the comparative construction in the following way:

(15) gáwâ: ts'ŏ:?tō t∫<sup>h</sup>íásồ:sò ?ònt∫è gáwà-i ts'ŏ:? tó t∫<sup>h</sup>íà-só-i -sò ?ônt∫è Gawa-sp. be.small-adj. all-3a.pl.-sp.-3a.pl. compared.to Gawa is smaller than everyone.

In order to compare two like things, the postpositional morpheme  $/-xe^{2}/$  'like' can be suffixed to the standard in the comparison:

(16) hùmbữ: mé:sú lĕuxé? hùmbù-: mé:-sú lèú-xé? cow-sp. big-3f.sg. buffalo-like The cow is big like a buffalo.

An alternative means to express such a comparison is to use the comparative suffix  $/-m_{\tilde{k}}\dot{k}/$  followed by a high-toned PGN morpheme:

(17)  $t \int i k^h \delta k^h \delta$ 

#### 6.1.4 Negative and future

An adjective can be negated by the suffixation of the negative morpheme /-ts'é/:

(18) k<sup>h</sup>ŏ: mé:ts'é
 k<sup>h</sup>ŏ: mé:-ts'é
 house big-neg.
 Not a big house.

In order to express future time reference with respect to adjectives, the adjective must first be made into a verb. Then future time can be expressed in the normal way:

(19)  $k^{h} \check{\tilde{o}}_{\cdot}$  mé:ts'î:  $k^{h} \check{o}_{\cdot}$  mé:-ts'í-ì house-sp. big-verb.-irr.(-3m.sg.) The house will be big.  (20) k<sup>h</sup>ŏ̃: mé:ts'î:ts'è k<sup>h</sup>ŏ:-i̇̃ mé:-ts'í-ì-ts'é house-sp. big-verb.-irr.(-3m.sg.)-neg. The house won't be big.

## 6.2 Non-numeral quantifiers

The non-numeral quantifiers discussed in this section behave like adjectives in following the noun they qualify and forming a NP with that noun. Their meaning may also be intensified or weakened by the addition of adverbs, as described above for adjectives. However, in terms of tonal and agreement properties, quantifiers differ from adjectives in not having their tone lowered when they are used attributively and not occurring with the plural suffixes /-? wà/, or /-?wá:/.

The following example contains the quantifier  $/t \int^{h} i \hat{a} / \cdot all$ , both':

(21) mánt $\int^{h}$ ákòjồ: nìkồ: mánt $\int^{h}$ à-kò-jó-: nĩ:-kò eat-2sg.Imp.PC-dur.-& and-2sg.Imp.PC hě:x<sup>w</sup>é: t $\int^{h}$ íâ tò?wà:rè hě:x<sup>w</sup>é: t $\int^{h}$ íâ tô-wá:-ré dem.(prox.pl.) all finish-3i.pl.obj.-3pers.obj. Eat and finish all these!

If the tone pattern of the quantifier  $/t \int^{h} \hat{i} \hat{a}/$  is lowered, its meaning changes:

If the basic tone pattern is retained, this example means 'all/both people'.

If  $/t {}^{f} \hat{a}/$  modifies an animate noun, it may be suffixed with the high-toned PGN morpheme /-só/.

The verb /dě:/ 'to be many', is made into a quantifier by the addition of the adjectiviser suffix /- t<sup>h</sup>é:/:

łéká? táxi (23)l'ề:i? hîsi hî-sì |'ě:-ì? łéká? táxì when-1sg.PC see-sub.cl. like just It feels just like !'òròrŏ: dě:t<sup>h</sup>ē:sā: ně: !'<sup>w</sup>ă:tâ? pùndùsè !'òròrŏ: dě:- t<sup>h</sup>é:-sò-á: ně:-ŕ !'<sup>w</sup>ă:-tà-à? pùndùsé be.many-adj.-3a.pl.-SF stay-& puddle-in-3pl.PC swim frog many frogs are swimming in a puddle.

In contrast to  $/t \int^{h} \hat{a} / \hat{a} l/both'$ , but like other non-numeral quantifiers, such as  $/m\hat{a}$ :  $\hat{c} / \hat{f} ew'$ , an optional third person plural low-toned PGN morpheme can be suffixed to it when the noun being modified is animate.

The inanimate plural form for /hī́:gé/ 'other, some' may be suffixed with the plural morpheme /-xé:/, as in the following example:

mák'ố: hī́:géxē̂:sī? tł'ě:ts'íwâ: (24)hí:gé-xé:-: -sí? màk'é-ó:-ř tł'ě:-ts'í-wà-à be.troubled-nml.-sp. some-pl.-sp.-loc. diminish-reflex.-mult.-3m.sg.PC As for some troubles, they have diminished hĩ:géxé:á: lấ:ts'ìwâ pà: hĩ:gé-xé:-á: lấ:-ts'í-wà pá: some-pl.-SF see-reflex.-mult. NC(3m.sg.)

but others have become apparent.

The animate plural form of this quantifier takes the high toned PGN suffix /-só/. The singular equivalent of /hī́:gé/ is /té/, which takes low-toned PGN agreement morphemes:

(25)	mǎːkâ	tê?	sàndàwě:sú	tésûsi	lầ:
	mǎ:kà	té-è-ts'ì	sàndàwě:-sú	té-sù-sì	lấ:
	year	other-3m.sgat	Sandawe-3f.sg.	other-3f.sg1sg.PC	see
	The oth	er year, I saw and	other Sandawe wo	man,	
	tł'àbís	ósúsų			
	tł'àbís	ó-sí-sù			
	stomacl	n-poss3f.sg.			

she was pregnant.

## 6.3 Numerals

Like the non-numeral quantifiers, numerals in Sandawe are like adjectives in that they follow the noun which they qualify and form a NP with that noun, but are unlike adjectives in not allowing a lowered tone option and not occurring with the plural suffixes /-2 wa/ or /-2wá:/.

## 6.3.1 Cardinal

The Sandawe numerical system is quintenary:

Gloss	Singular		Inanimate plural	Optional animate plural
one	ts'éxì-è	(masc.)		
	ts'éxì-sú	(fem.)		
two			kísò-xì	kísò-só
three			s <sup>w</sup> ámkí-xì	s <sup>w</sup> ámkí-sò
four			hàká-xì	hàká-sò
five			k <sup>w</sup> à?àná	k <sup>w</sup> à?àná-sò
six			k <sup>w</sup> à?àná dá:ndà ts'éxè	k <sup>w</sup> à?àná dá:ndà ts'éxè-só
seven			k <sup>w</sup> à?àná dá:ndà kísò-xì	k <sup>w</sup> à?àná dá:ndà kísò-só
eight			k <sup>w</sup> à?àná dá:ndà swámkí-xì	k <sup>w</sup> à?àná dá:ndà swámkí-sò
nine			k <sup>w</sup> à?àná dá:ndà hàká-xì	k <sup>w</sup> à?àná dá:ndà hàká-sò
ten <sup>43</sup>			kóm	kómsò

Table 6.3 Cardinal numbers

As can be seen in table 6.3, the inanimate plural suffix /-xi/ occurs in the words for 'two', 'three', and 'four'. The animate plural suffix is high toned for 'one' and 'two', but low toned for 'three', 'four', 'five', and 'ten'. The compound numbers include the word /dá:ndà/, which means 'at the side'. The numerals following this word often have lowered tone patterns, but it is unclear what determines this.

The following example illustrates the use of a numeral in a NP, where, like an adjective, it follows the noun:

(26)	núá	kísòxist	x <sup>w</sup> àntìmà:rè
	núá	kísòxì-sà	x <sup>w</sup> ànté-mé-wá:-ré
	maize.porridge	two-3f.sg.PC	cook-iter3i.pl.obj3pers.obj.
	She cooked two	(amounts of) m	naize porridge.

## 6.3.2 Ordinal

Table 6.4 gives the masculine and feminine forms for the ordinal numbers in Sandawe; alternative forms exist for some forms:

 $<sup>^{43}</sup>$  We can assume that /kóm/ is a Swahili loanword (from *kumi* 'ten').

*Table 6.4 Ordinal numbers*<sup>44</sup>

Gloss	Masculine	Feminine
first	[bă:rsàts'ìē:] [bă:rsà?ìē:]	[bǎ:rsàts'i̥sū̀:su̯] [bǎ:rsàʔsū̀:su̯]
	bă:rà-sà-ts'ì-ì-é:-:	bă:rà-sà-ts'ì़-sú-: ̆-sù
	start-nmlat-pro3m.sgsp.	start-nmlat-3f.sgsp3f.sg.
	[táːŋɡìēː]	[tá:ŋgi̥sū̃:su̥]
	tá:ŋgì-ì-é:-:	táːŋɡì̥-sú-ː̄¯-sù្
	at.front-pro3m.sgsp.	at.front-3f.sgsp3f.sg.
second	[kísóxìĩē:] [kísóx <sup>w</sup> ề:] [kísóê:]	[kísóxi̥sṻ̀ːsu̥]
	kísòxì-ì-é:-:	kísòxì-sú-: -sù
	two-pro3m.sgsp.	two-3f.sgsp3f.sg.
third	[s <sup>w</sup> ámkíxîẽ:] [s <sup>w</sup> ámkîẽ:]	[sʷámkíxi̥sũːsu̥] [sʷámkísũːsu̥]
	s <sup>w</sup> ámkíxì-ì-é:-:	s <sup>w</sup> ámkíxì-sú-: -sù
	three-pro3m.sgsp.	three-3f.sgsp3f.sg.
fourth	[hàkáxîē:] [hàkájễ:]	[hàkáxįsữ̃:sų] [hàkásữ̂:sų]
	hàkáxì-ì-é:-:	hàkáxì-sú-r̃-sù
	four-pro3m.sgsp.	four-3f.sgsp3f.sg.
fifth	[k <sup>w</sup> à?anájê:]	[k <sup>w</sup> à?ànásṻ̀:su̯]
	k <sup>w</sup> à?àná-ì-é:-:̇̀	k <sup>w</sup> à?àná-sú-r̄ -sù
	five-pro3m.sgsp.	five-3f.sgsp3f.sg.
sixth	[k <sup>w</sup> à?àná dá:ndâ ts'èxề:] [ts'èxèie:]	[k <sup>w</sup> à?àná dá:ndâ ts'èxèsù:su़]
	k <sup>w</sup> à?àná dá:ndà ts'éxè-ì-é:-:	k <sup>w</sup> à?àná dá:ndà ts'éxè-sú-:ें-sù
	five-and-one-pro3m.sgsp.	five-and-one-3f.sgsp3f.sg.
tenth	[kômìẽ:] [kômuề:]	[kômsṻ̀:su]
	kóm-ì-é:-:	kóm̀-sú-r̃-sù
	ten-pro3m.sgsp. [!'ǒ:k <sup>h</sup> ásàts'ề:] [!'ǒ:k <sup>h</sup> áts'ề:]	ten-3f.sgsp3f.sg.
last		[!'ŏ:k <sup>h</sup> ásàts'įsū̃:sų] [!'ŏ:k <sup>h</sup> áts'èsū̃:sų]
	!'ŏ:k <sup>h</sup> à-sà-ts'ì̥-é:-:̈́	!'ŏ:k <sup>h</sup> à-sà-ts'ì̥-sú-: ̆-sù̯
	stop-nmlat-3m.sgsp.	stop-nmlat-3f.sgsp3f.sg.
	[!'ǒ:k <sup>h</sup> áts'ề:]	[!'ŏ:k <sup>h</sup> áts'èsū̃:su̯]
	!'ŏ:k <sup>h</sup> à-ts'è-é:-:	!'ŏ:k <sup>h</sup> à-ts'è-sú-: ̆-sù
	stop-appl3m.sgsp.	stop-appl3f.sgsp3f.sg.

The following two examples from the text corpus contain ordinal numbers:

(27)	hèwé?gầ:	bà?ésê:	wàròŋgề:	bồ	kósâ:
	hèwé? gâ-à	bà?é-sí-è-:	wàròngě:-:	bô-r	kósì-à
	and.so-3m.sg.	[be.big-poss3m.sgsp.	god-sp.	word] <sub>GEN</sub> -sp.	again-3m.sg.PC

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>44</sup> The compound ordinal numbers for 'seventh', 'eighth', and 'ninth' are formed in the expected way, according to the patterns seen in 'second', 'third', and 'fourth' respectively.

kísóxìẽ:ts'ầ:jónầ:!'ò:wèkísòxì-ì-é:-ĩ-ts'ì-àjônà-à!'ò:-étwo-pro.-3m.sg.-sp.-at-3m.sg.PCJonah-3m.sg.PCget-3m.sg.obj.And so the word of the Lord got Jonah again for the second time.

(28)	nĩ	tł'àbísố:	hèwé	k <sup>w</sup> à?anájễ:
	nī́:	tł'àbísó-È	hèwé	k <sup>w</sup> à?àná-ì-é:-r
	and	stomach-sp.	dem.(ref.3m.sg.)	five-pro3m.sgsp.
	And	this pregnancy	was the fifth.	

Ordinal numbers in Sandawe are derived using the pronominal genitive construction (see section 2.6.2). However, as can be seen in the table, the pronominal morpheme /-i/ is not used in the feminine forms. If the pronominal morpheme is attached to a number stem before feminine agreement morphemes, the resulting form is grammatical, but is no longer an ordinal number:

 (29) k<sup>w</sup>à?ànâisù:su k<sup>w</sup>à?àná-ì-sú-i -sù five-pro.-3f.sg.-sp.-3f.sg. Five's one.

In this elicited example, 'Five' is understood to be the name of a person and 'one' refers to someone or something which is feminine and belongs to 'Five'.

Some of the cardinal numbers have alternative forms. For example, there are two ways in which to express the meaning 'first'. One can be glossed 'the one at the start' and the other 'the one in front':

(30)	<sup>n</sup> !ê b day s	oă:rsàts''ìēts'i oă:rà-sà-ts'ì-ì- tart-nmlat-pr first day		
		k <sup>w</sup> á:	ḿjìtànầ: m̂jì-tà-nà-à town-in-to-3m.sg.P	<sup>n</sup> ∥è: <sup>n</sup> ∥ě: C enter
(31)	tá:ŋgìi		sầxi	t∫ <sup>h</sup> índówá:

(31) tá:ŋgìē:kì sàxi tʃ<sup>n</sup>índówá: tá:ŋgì-ì-é:- $\dot{\tilde{\cdot}}$ -kí sáxì tʃ<sup>h</sup>índówá: at.front-pro.-3m.sg-sp.-add. RC(3f.sg.) bury(3m.sg.obj.) She buried the first one hầxị s<sup>w</sup>êiề:kì nê háxì s<sup>w</sup>ê-ì-é:-<sup>x</sup>-kí nê again now-pro.-3.m.sg.-sp.-add. dem.adj.(prox.3m.sg.) kŏ:náwàts'ìsề kŏ:nà-wà-ts'í-sí-è spoil-mult.-reflex.-poss.-3m.sg. and again this current one here is handicapped.

We can also see in the table how the words for 'second', 'third', and 'fourth' (with the exception of the feminine form of 'second') may include the plural suffix /-xi/ or may omit it. In addition, there is an alternative pronunciation for 'second' which ends in the sequence  $/-w\tilde{e}!/$ . It should also be noted that the pronominal morpheme /-i/ surfaces as the glide [j] in the masculine forms for 'fourth' and 'fifth', the stems for which both end in the vowel /a/.

Inanimate and animate plural forms for the modifiers 'first' and 'last' are constructed in the following way:

Gloss	Inanimate plural	Animate plural
first	[bǎ:rsàts'i̯xề̃:] [bǎ:rsà?xề̃:]	[bǎ:rsàts'i̥sồ̃:sồ] [bǎ:rsà?sồ̃:sồ]
	bă:rà-sà-ts'ì-xé:-:	bă:rà-sà-ts'ì-só-: -sò
	start-nmlat-plsp.	start-nmlat-3a.plsp3a.pl.
last	[!'ŏ:k <sup>h</sup> áwàts'èxề̃:]	[!'ǒ:kʰáwàts'èsồ̃:sồ]
	!'ŏ:k <sup>h</sup> à-wà-ts'è-xé:-:̇́	!'ŏ:kʰà-wà-ts'è-só-:̇̃-sò
	stop-multapplplsp.	stop-multappl3a.plsp3a.pl.

Table 6.5 Inanimate and animate plural forms for 'first' and 'last'

## 6.4 Demonstratives

#### 6.4.1 Demonstrative pronouns

The following table summarises the parameters of variation in the Sandawe demonstrative pronoun system:

Table 6.6 Demonstrative pronouns

	Masculine	Feminine	Plural, animate	Plural, inanimate
Proximal	hě:ù	hěːsù	hě:sò	hě:x <sup>w</sup> é:
(near to hearer and speaker)	[hě: ]		hě:x <sup>w</sup> é:	
Referential	hèwé	hèsú	hèsó	hèwéxé:
(near to hearer only)		hùsú	hèwéxé:	hèwéxè
			hèwéxè	
Distal	hă:ù	hă:sù	hă:sò	hă:x <sup>w</sup> é:
(far from hearer and speaker)	[hǎ: ]		hă:x <sup>w</sup> é:	
	hĩ:gò	hĩ̃:sỳ	hĩ̃:sò	hĩ̃:x <sup>w</sup> è

The Sandawe demonstrative pronoun system recognises two degrees of distance: near and far. The root vowel in the stem of a demonstrative indicates the degree of distance with respect to the hearer: /e/ is found in proximal and referential demonstratives and /a/ or  $/\tilde{1}$ :/ in distal demonstratives. Sandawe demonstrative pronouns also differentiate between referents which are near to both the hearer and the speaker (proximal) and referents that are near to the hearer but far from the speaker (referential).

The second set of distal demonstratives given in table 6.6 are not as common as the first set and are mainly used by speakers of the Eastern dialect of Sandawe. The forms shown in square brackets are alternative realisations of the full forms.

The forms in table 6.6 are referred to here as demonstrative *pronouns*, in order to distinguish them from a different set of forms, which are here termed demonstrative *adjectives* and are discussed in the next section. However, it is important to note that the demonstrative pronouns considered in this section function both as nouns and as modifiers of nouns.

The following first example contains a proximal inanimate plural demonstrative:

(32) mánt∫<sup>h</sup>ákòjồ: nìkồ: mánt∫<sup>h</sup>à-kò-jó-í nĩ:-kò eat-2sg.Imp.PC-dur.-& and-2sg.Imp.PC
hě:x<sup>w</sup>é: t∫<sup>h</sup>íâ tò?wà:rè hě:x<sup>w</sup>é: t∫<sup>h</sup>íâ tô-wá:-ré dem.(prox.pl.) all finish-3i.pl.obj.-3pers.obj. Eat and finish all these!

The inanimate demonstratives may also be used with animate nouns, if the nouns are not marked with PGN morphemes. (This is a similar phenomenon to the distribution of third person plural object morphemes, as seen in examples (5) and (6) in section 5.1.1).

The following example contains a referential feminine demonstrative:

(33) hèsú dámâ:sụ hàsú dámâ:sụ dámà-ĩ -sù dem.(ref.3f.sg.) calf-sp.-3f.sg.
hàpú mà:mè tùkề:i hàpú má:mè tû-kù-é:-ì [you maternal.uncle]<sub>GEN</sub> come.out-caus.-3m.sg.obj.-irr.(-3m.sg.) This calf, your maternal uncle will contribute.

The following example contains a distal masculine demonstrative:

hă:ŋgákỗ: (34) hīk'į nìnéwìnà hă:ngà-kò-ŕ hík'ì nìnêwì-nà get.up-2sg.Imp.PC-& go Nineveh-to mjir mễ:tànầ hǎ:û m̂jì-r mé:-ř-tà-nà hă:ù big-sp.-in-to city-sp. dem.(dist.3m.sg.) Get up and go to Nineveh, into that big town

The pronominal function of the demonstrative is illustrated by the following example:

 (35) hě:sô kísósồsô k<sup>w</sup>ámế: hàbà?sế: <sup>n</sup>làtìsô hě:sô kísô-sô-i sô k<sup>w</sup>ámé-i hàbà?sé-i <sup>n</sup>làtí-sô dem.(prox.3pl.) two-3a.pl.-sp.-3a.pl. drive.out-& make.noise-& come-3a.pl. These two were to come, driving out and making noise.

Here the animate form of the demonstrative is used in agreement with the PGN marking of the numeral.

The alternative distal demonstrative associated with the Eastern dialect of Sandawe is illustrated in the following example:

iľ:gó	hùmbù-:	aàndà-sí-è-r	∥'ò∥'á-ì
		gunuu 51 C I	1 01 a-1
Č Č	1	be.thin-poss3m.sgsp.	baboon-pro.
and cow-sp. be.fat-poss3			
ni ni ni	hat thin cow is B i: hùmbù: t i: hùmbù-: t nd cow-sp. l	ڗٝ؞ hùmbù-: ّ tʃʰâ̂:kؠۢ-sí-è-:	hat thin cow is Baboon's, Ĩ: hùmbữ: t∫ <sup>h</sup> ấ:kisề: <sup>n w</sup> ă:î Ĩ: hùmbù-ĩ t∫ <sup>h</sup> ấ:kì-sí-è-ĩ <sup>n w</sup> ă:-ì nd cow-sp. be.fat-poss3m.sgsp. elephant-pro.

The default order is for a demonstrative to precede the noun which it modifies. However, if the referent of the noun has been alluded to in the preceding discourse, the reverse order is often used, as in the following example:

lầ: (37)mǎ:kâ tê? sàndàwě:sú tésûsi lấ: mă:kà té-è-ts'ì sàndàwě:-sú té-sù-sì other-3m.sg.-at Sandawe-3f.sg. other-3f.sg.-1sg.PC vear see The other year, I saw another Sandawe woman, tł'àbísósúsų tł'àbísó-sí-sù stomach-poss.-3f.sg. she was pregnant. t∮'àbísố: nĩ k<sup>w</sup>à?anájễ: hèwé ní t∮'àbísó-: hèwé k<sup>w</sup>à?àná-ì-é:-: and stomach-sp. dem.(ref.3m.sg.) five-pro.-3m.sg.-sp. And this pregnancy was the fifth.

Example (34) also illustrates this phenomenon.

#### 6.4.2 Demonstrative adjectives

As well as the demonstrative pronouns described in the previous section, Sandawe has a set of demonstrative adjectives, which behave formally like adjectives, taking the low toned agreement forms and following the noun which they modify:

	Masculine	Feminine	Plural,	Plural,
			animate	inanimate
Proximal	nê	nêsù	nêsò	nê?wà
			nê?wà	
Distal	nâ	nâsù	nâsò	nâ?wà
			nâ?wà	

Table 6.7 Demonstrative adjectives

The following example contains a demonstrative adjective which modifies the pronominal form it follows:

(38)	tá:ŋgìẽ:kì	sầxị	t∫ <sup>h</sup> índówá:
	tá:ngì-ì-é-r -kí	sáxì	t∫ <sup>h</sup> índówá:
	at.front-pro3m.sg-spadd.	RC(3f.sg.)	bury(3m.sg.obj.)
	She buried the first one		

hầxị s<sup>w</sup>êìĩe:kì nê háxì s<sup>w</sup>ê-ì-é-ĩ-kí nê again now-pro.-3.m.sg.-sp.-add. dem.adj.(prox.3m.sg.) kǒ:náwàts'ìsề kǒ:nà-wà-ts'í-sí-è spoil-mult.-reflex.-poss.-3m.sg. and again this current one here is handicapped. (*Lit. and again the here one of now is handicapped.*)

The following two examples are commonly heard in conversation:

(39) nêsi nê-sì

në-si dem.adj.(prox.)-1sg. I am here. (For example, in response to a register of names being called.)

(40) gélé nâ

gélé nâ Gele dem.adj.(dist.3m.sg.) That Gele. (For example, as the Gele previously discussed appears in the distance.)

## 6.5 Adverbs

Adverbs in Sandawe may not be recognised by any formal properties such as suffixes or tonal characteristics. Rather, an adverb can be characterised as a word which is not the argument of a verb, but may be added to a clause without being suffixed with any postpositional morphemes. This is illustrated in the following examples:

(41)	hàpú	xáî		mànt∫ <sup>h</sup> ầ	t∫í	łá:sį	mànt∫ <sup>h</sup> ầ	
	hàpú	xâ-ì		mánt∫ <sup>h</sup> à	t∫í	łá:-sì	mánt∫ <sup>h</sup> à	
	2	2	0		Ι	well-1sg.PC	eat	
	You ea	t badl	y, I eat w	ell.				
(42)	dó:lók dó:lò-k a.little- They w	xí ∙add.	?àŋk <sup>h</sup> á even	<ul> <li>l'à:nt∫<sup>h</sup>ímásūkề:sòts'è</li> <li>l'à:nt∫<sup>h</sup>ímà-sí-kù-é:-ì-sò-ts'é</li> <li>be.sweet-posscaus3m.sg.objirr3a.plne</li> <li>e it even a little sweet.</li> </ul>				

As well as manner adverbs, such as those in the previous two examples, Sandawe has adverbs expressing time:

(43)	p <sup>h</sup> ékồ p <sup>h</sup> ê-kò tomorrow-2sg.In Come tomorrow	-	
		$p^{h}$	'ìsè:xipò 'ìsé-é-xì-pó nge-3m.sg.objben2sg.obj. ance for you.
(44)	hǐ:sì hàpú-á: then you-SF	t <sup>h</sup> ákàts'ề: t <sup>h</sup> âkà-ts'è-: want-applsp. et what you wan	!'ŏ:-é-ì-pò get-3m.sg.objirr2sg.

Time adverbs typically occur clause-initially and tend not to be marked with a PC, although they may be, as in (43). Other kinds of adverbs do tend to be marked with a PC, as in (41) and (45).

Sandawe also has adverbs expressing location, such as the following:

k<sup>h</sup>òk<sup>h</sup>òrósi !'ò:wè (45) wá?âsi k<sup>h</sup>òk<sup>h</sup>òró-sì !'ŏ:-é wá?-sì there(dist.)-1sg.PC old.cow-1sg.PC get-3m.sg.obj. I got there an old cow nĩ tìmuwà: nìsĩ: **∥'àntà** nī́: nī́:-sì tímù-wá: l'àntá and swallow-3i.pl.obj. and-1sg.PC be.satisfied

and I swallowed them and was satisfied.

The following table contains six locative adverbs grouped according to their parameters of use:

	Specific location	Approximate location
Proximal	ô	ôntè
(near to hearer and speaker)		
Referential	ó?	∥ó?
(near to hearer only)		
Distal	wá?	hétł'ì
(far from hearer and speaker)		

Table 6.8 Parameters of locative adverbs

The parameters of distance with respect to the hearer and speaker correspond to those for demonstratives (see section 6.4.1). The distinction made in the table between 'specific' and 'approximate' location is based on how the Sandawe adverbs are translated into Swahili by Sandawe speakers, but it is not clear that this is the best way to capture the difference between the two sets of adverbs.

All the directional adverbials found in text corpus are PPs rather than adverbs (see sections 4.3 and 4.4 for examples).

Some adverbs in Sandawe also function as other grammatical categories in their basic forms. For example,  $/p^{h}$ útl'úmà/ is both an adverb meaning 'safely' and a noun meaning 'safety'. A further example is /xâ/, which is both an adverb meaning 'badly' and a verb meaning 'to be bad'.

Two further words which show similarities with adverbs may be mentioned here. The following example contains the form /híà?/, which means 'usually':

(46)	híâ?	sầxį	l <sup>h</sup> ĭ:ầ:sų	<sup>n</sup> ∥ŏ:kỗ:sòsầ			
	híà?	sáxì	l <sup>h</sup> ĭ:à-r̄ -sù	<sup>n</sup> ∥ŏ:kò-ṙ̃-sò-sà			
	usually	RC(3f.sg.)	dik.dik-sp3f.sg	g. children-sp3a.pl3fsg.PC			
	k <sup>h</sup> ŏ:tánàsầ		?íłímē:ts'ĩ:gầ				
	k <sup>h</sup> ŏː-tà-r	nà-sà	?íłímē:-ts'è-?ī́	?íłímē:-ts'è-?ī́:-à			
		•	shut(3m.sg.obj.)-appl3a.pl.objconn.				
	Usually	Dik-dik shut	the children in th	ie house			
	mánt∫ <sup>h</sup> â	ìt∫ <sup>h</sup> àsànàs	sà	hìk'į			
	mánt∫ <sup>h</sup> à	ít∫ <sup>h</sup> à-sà-n	à-sà	hík'ì			
	food	look.for-n	mlto-3f.sg.PC	go			

and went to look for food.

This word appears to be clausal in origin (see section 11.3). A possibility which is supported by the fact that it cannot be marked with a PC in agreement with the subject of the clause in which it occurs.

Another word which cannot be marked with PC in agreement with the subject of a clause is /dìmè/, meaning 'perhaps':

 (47) dìmề wàràŋgế: gā: t∫íts'â: ∥'wè: dìmè wàràngě:-:č-á: t∫í-ts'ì-à ∥'wě: perhaps god-sp.-SF I-at-3m.sg.PC test Perhaps God is testing me. This word can, therefore, be categorised as extra-clausal and termed a *disjunct*. This is further suggested by the fact that its meaning has scope over the clause as a whole. The word /?ámáná/ also meaning 'perhaps', is another example of a disjunct in Sandawe.

# 7 Conjunctions

# 7.1 /nīź, /hīź/ 'and'

The coordinating conjunction  $/n\tilde{i}$ :/ (Western dialect) or  $/h\tilde{i}$ :/ (Eastern dialect) is used to conjoin NPs, as in the following example:<sup>45</sup>

(1)	wékế:	nĩ	∥'àkásų	?útā:	?à:	k <sup>h</sup> òŋgòmàwầֿ:kì		
	wékế:	nĩ́:	∥'àkásù	?ûtá:	?á:	k <sup>h</sup> óngómà-wà-rÉkí		
	wind	and	sun	long.ago	NC(3pl.)	argue-multrecip.		
	Long ago the wind and the sun argued with each other.							

The same conjunction also conjoins clauses, as illustrated in the following two examples:

(2)	?útá: ló:lô? ?útá: ló:lò? very.long.ago Very long ago	∣ <sup>h</sup> ĭ:à dik.dik	hèsú [she	k <sup>h</sup> ŏ:-sí- house] <sub>G</sub>	∙sù	ss3f.sg.
	ni: <sup>n</sup> llŏ:kó ní: <sup>n</sup> llŏ:kó and children and had three c	s <sup>w</sup> ámkí three-m	xì-wà-	sí-sù		
(3)	$ \begin{array}{l} \ \check{o}:ts'i \ ^n \widehat{i}:si \\ \ \check{o}:-ts'i \ ^n \widehat{i}:-si \\ path-at \ meat-On \ the \ way, I \\ \end{array} $	1sg.PC	•	.sg.obj.		<sup>n</sup>  îŋgè: <sup>n</sup>  ínì-é: eat(meat)-3m.sg.obj.

The conjunction  $/n\tilde{1}$  is commonly used to conjoin clauses containing verbs when there is no change of subject, as in (3). If there is a change of subject, a narrative or repetitive conjunction is usually used (see section 7.3).

When realis or imperative/subjunctive clauses are conjoined by means of  $/n\tilde{i}$ , it is common for the conjunction to be followed by the relevant PC:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>45</sup> Note that all the conjunctions discussed in sections 7.1–7.3 are realised with lowered tone patterns.

iónằ:gầ? hèwé?gầ? <sup>n</sup>!ấ: (4) jônà-rì-à? <sup>n</sup>!á-é-ŕ hèwé? gâ-à? and.so-3pl.PC Jonah-sp.-3pl.PC catch-3m.sg.obj.-& And so they caught Jonah nì?ằ: bàhárĩ:tànà:? lè: nī́:-à? bàhârì-: -tà-nà-à? ∥ê-é and-3pl.PC sea-sp.-in-to-3pl.PC throw-3m.sg.obj. and threw him into the sea.

In the preceding example, the connective morpheme  $/-\hat{z}/$ , glossed here as '&', is attached to the verb which precedes the conjunction. This morpheme can be analysed as a reduced form of the conjunction /níz/ or /híz/. The connective morpheme may be omitted before the conjunction (as in example (3)), but it is more common for it to occur. Both nouns and verbs (with the exception of irrealis verbs) which precede the conjunction /níz/ are usually suffixed with the connective morpheme /- $\hat{z}/$ .<sup>46</sup>

It is also common for the conjunction to be omitted and the connective morpheme alone to conjoin two phrases or clauses. This is particularly common when two conjoined verbs have the same subject and describe closely connected simultaneous events (as in the remaining examples in this section), rather than successive events (as in example (3)).

A common function of conjoining verbs with the connective morpheme is to express progressive or completive aspect, as shown in the following two examples:

(5) hàpú híkâ: ìè hàpú híkí-à íé how-3m.sg.PC vou stay You, how is it, k<sup>w</sup>ì: íế: <sup>n</sup>∥ínế́: **∥'**ồ íé-ŕ k<sup>w</sup>í: <sup>n</sup>∥íné-:́ ∥'ô NC(2sg.) stay-& lie.down-& sleep you are lying down and sleeping? (Lit. You stay and lie down and sleep.)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>46</sup> The connective morpheme is not normally suffixed to the stem of a possessive construction that precedes the conjunction  $/n\tilde{i}$ , such as in example (2).

(6) híâ íxâ: bố: tłèmsèi?
hí-à íxì-à bô-: tłèmsé-ì?
when-3m.sg.PC thus-3m.sg.PC say-& finish-sub.cl.
When he had finished saying thus,
(Lit. When he said thus and finished,)
pà: múréā: <sup>n</sup>!à?ì:

pá: múrè-á: <sup>n</sup>!á-?í: NC(3m.sg.) shame-SF seize-3a.pl.obj. shame seized them.

A further use of the connective morpheme can be observed in motion verbs. Not all motion verbs in Sandawe include the meaning component of *locomotion*, or movement to a place. The verb /hénté/ 'limp', is one of these non-locomotive verbs, as can be seen by the following elicited example, which is ungrammatical:

(7) \* t<sup>h</sup>ě:tánầ: hèntè t<sup>h</sup>ě:-tà-nà-à hénté tree-in-to-3m.sg.PC limp
\* He limped to the tree.

In order to express the meaning 'he limped to the tree', a locomotive verb, such as /hik'i/ 'go' is conjoined to /hénté/ 'limp':

(8) t<sup>h</sup>ě:tánầ: héntế: hík'ị t<sup>h</sup>ě:-tà-nà-à hénté: hík'ỳ tree-in-to-3m.sg.PC limp-& go He limped to the tree. (*Lit. ...he limped and went.*)

As can be seen in the preceding examples, it is common for the verb that is suffixed with the connective morpheme to precede the verb with which it is conjoined. However, the reverse order is grammatical if the events described by the two verbs occur simultaneously (Elderkin, 1989:140). This is illustrated in the following two examples:

(9)	ts'éxénàsầ:ts'ị ts'éxè-nà-sà-: -ts'ì one-to-nmlspat Suddenly a traveller p k <sup>h</sup> ót <sup>h</sup> ìầ híl'ả k <sup>h</sup> ôt <sup>h</sup> ì-à híl'ả coat-3m.sg.PC wea wearing a coat.		NC(3m.sg.)	mĭ:ndʒó	<sup>n</sup> lèmèsè:à: <sup>n</sup> lèmésé:-á: man] <sub>GEN</sub> -SF	l'úsúkù
			à-Ĩ			

(10)t<sup>h</sup>ék<sup>h</sup>élé:a: lì t<sup>h</sup>ék<sup>h</sup>élé:-á: lí hvena-SF come Hyena came, k<sup>h</sup>ǒ: qõ pò: <sup>n</sup>!ò:wèk<sup>w</sup>ề hàpûmsē: khŏ:-:<sup>°</sup>-ò pó: <sup>n</sup>!ŏ:-é-kù-è hàpú-mì sé-rí NC(1pl.) house-sp.-1pl.PC open-3m.sg.obj.-ben.-3m.sg.obj. you-des.-& and we opened the house for him, thinking it was you.

In the following example, the connective morpheme is suffixed to /bǎ:rà/ 'start' and used to mean the first one to do the action of the verb with which it is conjoined:

k<sup>h</sup>é?ék<sup>w</sup>â:kì?à kà?
 k<sup>h</sup>é?é-kù-wà-źkí-à? ká?
 hear-ben.-mult.-recip.-3pl.PC hear.
 They agreed that

bă:rā̃:	mĭ:ndzó	<sup>n</sup> lèmèsề̃: <b>l</b> 'ầ?
bă:rà-é-ź	mĭ:nd3ó	<sup>n</sup> lèmésé:-: -l'à?
start-3m.sg.obj&	[journey	man] <sub>GEN</sub> -spbelong

 $k^{h} \delta t^{h} i$  tù  $k^{w} \dot{e}:ts' \dot{e}s \ddot{\ddot{e}}:$   $k^{h} \delta t^{h} i$  tû- $k \dot{u} - \dot{e}:-ts' \dot{e}-si-\dot{e}:$ coat come.out-caus.-3m.sg.obj.-appl.-poss.-3m.sg.-sp. the first one to remove the coat from the traveller, (*Lit.* ... the one who started it and removed the coat...)

hèwé sàmbòsễ: hèwé sàmbò-sí-è-: he strength-poss.-3m.sg.-sp. he was the one with strength.

## 7.2 /hái/, /hấi/ 'nor', 'even', 'but' and /hâxì/ 'again'

The meaning of the conjunction /há:/ or /hấ:/ depends on the context of its occurrence. If it is found between two negative constructions, it can be translated as 'nor':

(12) hě: $\hat{u}$  bố: số: t<sup>h</sup>àntò:?ĩ:ts'è hě: $\hat{u}$  bô- $\tilde{z}$  số: t<sup>h</sup>ántó:-?ĩ:-ts'é dem.(prox.3m.sg.) word-sp. [you(pl.) effort]<sub>GEN</sub>-with-neg. This word was not by your (pl.) effort,

hě:û tàxi wàràngě: zàwàdì hě:ù wàràngě: zàwâdì táxì this(prox.3m.sg.) just [god gift]<sub>GEN</sub> this is just a gift of God, hà: sĩ: łá:?wầ <sup>n</sup>|wè:?i lítſ<sup>h</sup>ē: há: sī: <sup>n</sup>|<sup>w</sup>é:-ì: lí-tſ<sup>h</sup>ì-é: łá:-? wà nor [you(pl.) good-3i.pl. do]<sub>GEN</sub>-with come-neg.-3m.sg. nor did it come by your (pl.) doing good things. (13) tſŭ: <sup>n</sup>|òts'ìt∫<sup>h</sup>è: tàxi <sup>n</sup>|ó-ts'í-t∫<sup>h</sup>ì-é: tſŭ: táxì animal fear-reflex.-neg.-3m.sg. very It was a very frightening animal hà: t<sup>h</sup>áts'ố:kì dă:ts'íké:t∫<sup>h</sup>ē: dă:-ts'í-ké:-tſ<sup>h</sup>ì-é: há:  $t^{h}$ àts'é-ó:- $\tilde{\cdot}$ -kí nor shoot-nml.-sp.-add. be.able-reflex.-decl.-neg.-3m.sg. and to shoot was not even possible.

When /há:/ is used to conjoin two affirmative constructions, it can be translated as 'even' or 'but':

(14) téłâ mŏ:k<sup>h</sup>ô
 téłà mŏ:k<sup>h</sup>ò
 completely taboo
 It is completely taboo,

$$\label{eq:product} \begin{split} & \mbox{$^n$}|\mbox{emsession} h\mbox{a}: t\mbox{$_1^h$}\mbox{$_2^h$}\mbox{$_2^h$}\mbox{$_1^h$}\mbox{$_2^h$}\mbox{$_1^h$}\mbox{$_1^h$}\mbox{$_2^h$}\mbox{$_1^h$}\mbo$$

(15) sà:  ${}^{n}\|\check{e}: h\check{a}: {}^{n}\|\check{o}:k\hat{o}: t \int^{h}\check{e}:?w\acute{a}:$ sá:  ${}^{n}\|\check{e}: h\acute{a}: {}^{n}\|\check{o}:k\acute{o}: t \int^{h}\check{e}:?w\acute{a}:$ NC(3f.sg.) enter but children-sp. absent-3i.pl. Then she entered, but the children were not there.

The occurrence of the conjunction /há:/ between two affirmative constructions containing verbs has not been attested. In such cases, the third person masculine singular narrative conjunction /pá:/ is instead used as a contrasting conjunction (see the following section). The conjunction /há:/ can, therefore, be described the functional equivalent of /pá:/ for the introduction of clauses which do not contain a verb (such as copulars or possessives).

Similarly, the conjunction /haxi/ 'again' is the functional equivalent of the repetitive conjunction (as illustrated in the next section) for the introduction of clauses which do not contain a verb:

hík'ī̃: l<sup>h</sup>ĭ:â ts'à:k<sup>w</sup>ầ <sup>n</sup> lè:i? (16)híâ hík'ì-í l<sup>h</sup>ĭ:à hí-à ts'ǎ:-kù-à <sup>n</sup>∥ě:-ì? when-3m.sg.PC go-& [dik.dik home]<sub>GEN</sub>-at-3m.sg.PC arrive-sub.cl. When he went and arrived at Dik-dik's home, rố: hầxi mé: rố: hâxì mé: again voice big again the voice was big.

## 7.3 Narrative, repetitive and subjunctive conjunctions

There are three types of conjunctions in Sandawe which are marked in agreement with the subject of the clause they introduce.<sup>47</sup> They are referred to here as the narrative conjunction (NC, following Elderkin, 1989:109, from ten Raa), the repetitive conjunction (RC) and the subjunctive conjunctive (SC):

(17)	?à: ?á:	<sup>n</sup>  òmósô <sup>n</sup>  òmósò	?úrâ? ?úrìู-à?	<sup>n</sup> !è: <sup>n</sup> !ě:	?à: ?á:	kà? ká?
	× 1 /	1 1	very-3pl.PC red a lot and	0	NC(3pl.)	hear.
(18)		ì mǐ:r (3pl.) jour	ndʒô̂:gầʔ ndʒó-テ̀ -àʔ ney-sp3pl.P ourney again	•	-à?	bà:rà: bă:rà-é start-3m.sg.obj.
(19)	∥àkíkô ∥àkí-kò get.down-2 Get down,	2sg.Imp.PC let's go!	?ó:	nì? ní? go		

The NC may be translated as '(and) then'. However, its frequent occurrence in narratives suggests that it does not necessarily have the same force as English 'then'. The NC tends to link clauses within sections of a narrative, rather than across sections. It does not often occur at the beginning of main narrative sections.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>47</sup> These conjunctions, therefore, only introduce clauses containing a verb and as such cannot introduce possessive or copular clauses.

The RC has a similar function to that of the narrative conjunction, but also shows that the action expressed by the following clause is happening again. As in example (18), the clause may also contain a further indication of the repetition, such as the adverb /kósì/ 'again'.

The SC differs from the other two conjunction types in that it introduces a subjunctive clause and not an indicative one.

Table 7.1 gives the different forms of the three conjunctions:

	Narrative	Repetitive	Subjunctive
lsg.	sí:	sîxì	?é:
2sg.	pí:	pîxì	kó:
	k <sup>w</sup> í:		(k <sup>w</sup> í:)
3m.sg.	pá:	pâxì	k <sup>w</sup> á:
	k <sup>w</sup> á:	k <sup>w</sup> âxì	(pá:)
<i>3f.sg.</i>	sá:	sâxì	sá:
1pl.	pó:	pôxì	?ó:
	kó:		
2 <i>pl</i> .	pé:	pêxì	k <sup>w</sup> é:
<i>3pl.</i>	?á:	?âxì	k <sup>w</sup> á?á:
			(?á:)

Table 7.1 Narrative, repetitive, and subjunctive conjunctions

As shown in table 7.1, some of the conjunctions have alternative forms. In the case of the NCs, all the forms given occur with similar levels of frequency in the text corpus. In contrast, the alternative subjunctive conjunctions (shown in parentheses) occur less commonly.

Where NCs and SCs have identical forms, disambiguation is often achieved by the presence of other morphemes in the clause or by means of the preceding clause. For example, the presence of realis pronominal clitics in the clause introduced by a conjunction indicates that the conjunction is a narrative one, as in (17), and SCs frequently follow imperative clauses, as in (19).

In the absence of these contextual clues, it is still possible to distinguish between a NC and a SC one by means of the tone of the following verb. A realis verb following a NC retains its lexical tone pattern when the clause does not contain any realis pronominal clitics or the SF marker, whereas following a SC, the tone pattern of a verb is realised as low toned. The following two elicited examples illustrate this contrast:

(20) pà:  $t^{h}$ ímé pá:  $t^{h}$ ímé NC(3m.sg.) cook And then he cooked. (21) pà:  $t^{h}$ ìmè pá:  $t^{h}$ ímé SC(3m.sg.) cook And then he should cook.

Following a NC, subjects may be marked with the SF marker, and non-subjects, with the exception of the verb, may be marked with realis pronominal clitics and thus marked as focused. As noted by Elderkin (1989:112), the verb is instead marked as focused by being fronted to a position immediately after the conjunction (and any temporal adverbs) and occurring with its non-lowered tone pattern.<sup>48</sup> Furthermore, if no other constituent following the NC is followed by a realis PC or the SF marker, the verb must occur with its non-lowered tone pattern.

It is usual for a clause following a SC to contain no imperative or subjunctive PCs. It may, however, contain an irrealis verb:

- (22) ?à: bòbò:rítànà kǎ:sò
  ?á: bòbò:rì-tà-nà kǎ:-ì-sò
  NC(3pl.) gourd-in-to put-irr.-3a.pl.
  And then they would put it in a gourd
  - kwà:dó:lôhònkỏ:îkwá:dó:lòhònkỏ:-ìSC(3m.sg.)a.little.become sour-irr.(-3m.sg.)so that it would become a little sour.

A SF marker may also follow a SC:

(23) k<sup>w</sup>à: mě: tł'àbísóá: kûmk<sup>w</sup>è: k<sup>w</sup>á: mě: tł'àbísó-á: kúmù-kù-é: SC(3m.sg.) neg. stomach-SF hurt-ben.-3m.sg.obj. So that the stomach wouldn't hurt him.

The third person masculine singular NCs /pá:/ and /k<sup>w</sup>á:/ are usually interchangeable, but the text corpus contains one example in which these conjunctions are used to distinguish and contrast two participants:

 (24) pà: l'òl'â: pá: l'òl'á-:
 NC(3m.sg.) baboon-sp.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>48</sup> What is referred to here as a non-lowered tone pattern corresponds to tone level 1 in Elderkin's analysis.

	t∫ <sup>h</sup> â̂:kisèà	màłè:
hùmbù	t∫ <sup>h</sup> â̂:kì̥-sí-è-à	màłé-é
cow	be.fat-poss3m.sg3m.sg.PC	choose-3m.sg.obj.
Then Bal	boon chose a fat cow,	
k <sup>w</sup> à:	n∣wă:≏	
k <sup>w</sup> á:	<sup>n</sup>  wă:-:	
NC(3m.s	eg.) elephant-sp.	
hùmbù	gàndàséầ	màłè:
hùmbù	gàndà-sí-è-à	màłé-é
cow whereas	be.thin-poss3m.sg3m.sg.PC Elephant chose a thin cow.	choose-3m.sg.obj.

This sentence is not acceptable if /k<sup>w</sup>á:/ is replaced by /pá:/.

The third person masculine singular NC /pá:/ can be used to introduce a clause of which the subject is not third person masculine singular. In such cases, the conjunction expresses a contrast and can be translated as 'but':<sup>49</sup>

(25)	?ó?sì?	?à:	hòsó	mélìtầ	jà?bèsìsồ̃:sồ	
	?ó? ॄ -sí?	?á:	hòsó	mêlì-tà	jà?bé-sí-sò-r̃-sò	
	there(ref.)-loc.	NC(3pl.)	they	boat-in	work-poss3a.plsp	3a.pl.
	mélĩ̃:sụts'ầ?		dûru	nầ?	!èmò:ầ?	<sup>n</sup> ∥ <sup>w</sup> è:
	mêlì-: sù-ts'ì-	à?	dúrù∙	-nà-à?	!èmé-ó:-à?	n∥™ě:
	boat-sp3f.sga	-		-to-3pl.PC	1	try
	It was then that	they who w	vorked	in the boat	tried to take the boat to	o shore,

 $\begin{array}{lll} p \grave{a} & d \check{a} : t \int^h \bar{o} \\ p \acute{a} & d \check{a} : - t \int^h i - s \acute{o} \\ NC(3pl.) & be.able.-neg.-3a.pl. \\ but they could not. \end{array}$ 

When the third person masculine NC is used in this way, the verb in the following clause may be followed by a realis PC, as in the following elicited example:

(26)	?ádúkụsēt∫ <sup>h</sup> ū	pà:	mě:násūsį		
	?ádúkù̥-sé-t∫ʰì-sú	pá:	mě:nà-sú-sì		
	help-1sg.objneg3f.sg.	NC(3m.sg.)	like-3f.sg.obj1sg.PC		
	She didn't help me, but I like her.				

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>49</sup> Elderkin (personal communication, 2005) analyses the NC in such examples as agreeing with the following clause as a whole.

Recall that a verb following a NC may not normally be followed by a realis PC.

The NC may be used to link two clauses by occurring at the end of the second clause. In such cases, it refers back to the subject of the first clause and expresses a causal relationship between the two clauses, as in the following example:

(27)	<sup>n</sup> !ê	ts'èxề	kêutồ	!'ìnò:nàồ	nì?	
	<sup>n</sup> !ê	ts'éxè	kéùtò	!'ìné-óː-nà-ò	ní?	
	day	one	pig	hunt-nmlto-1pl.P	C go	
	One	day we w	vent hunt	ing pigs,		
	wàré	é tê		mìndầ?	mànt∫ <sup>h</sup> à:	pò:
	wàré	é té-è		mìndà-à?	mánt∫ <sup>h</sup> à-é	pó:
	[frier	nd other	r-3m.sg.	field] <sub>GEN</sub> -3pl.PC	eat-3m.sg.obj.	NC(1pl.)
	beca	use they I	had eater	n the field of anothe	r friend.	

In a similar way, the SC may occur at the end of a clause, linking it to the previous clause. The causal relationship expressed may be translated in English by the word 'otherwise':

(28)	mà?ékō̃:		bó	bók <sup>w</sup> ē:			
	mà?é-kò-:		bô	-kù-é:			
	go.around-2sg.Imp.PC-& Go and tell him,			say-ben3m.sg.obj.			
	k <sup>w</sup> à:	tŵ:	hìk'i	húk'wầ:sị	kò:		
	k <sup>w</sup> á:	tû-ŕ	hík'ì	húk'wà-é-sì	kó:		
	NC(3m.sg.)	leave-&	go	kill-3m.sg.obj1sg.	SC(2sg.)		
	he should lea	we and go.	otherw	vise I will kill him.			

In this example, the final conjunction refers back to the subject of the verb 'say' and, therefore, the meaning of the sentence can be paraphrased as, 'If you do not go and tell him that he should leave and go into the bush, I will kill him'. If the final conjunction were third person masculine singular rather than second person singular, it would refer back to the subject of the verbs 'leave' and 'go'. The meaning of the sentence could then be paraphrased as, 'Tell him that he should leave and go into the bush, if he doesn't, I will kill him'.

A further example of this use of the SC can be seen in the next example:

(29)	?à?á	dó:lókồ	l'ìnkè	k <sup>h</sup> é?ésồ	kò:
	?à?á	dó:lò-kò	l'ìnké	k <sup>h</sup> é?é-ì-sò	kó:
	no	slowly-2sg.Imp.PC	chew	hear-irr3a.pl.	SC(2sg.)
	No, cl	new slowly, otherwise	e they wi	ll hear!	

It can also be noted here that the first person singular SC may be assimilated into the singular imperative form of the verb /dô/, 'wait':

!'ằ: dók'<sup>w</sup>ē: t∫í dềrụ ?ìè (30)t∫í dèrù !'û-ř ?í-é dô-kò-?é: wait-2sg.Imp.PC-SC(1sg.) [[I chin]<sub>GEN</sub> hair]<sub>GEN</sub>-sp. 3pers.-3m.sg.obj. Wait, let me give my whisker, lầ:gè: k<sup>w</sup>à: t∫í máxáē:siٍ?ồ: t∫í máxà-é:-sì-?ồ: lẫ:-é: k<sup>w</sup>á: male-3m.sg.-1sg.-nml. SC(3m.sg.) see-3m.sg.obj. I so that he shall see I am a male.

## 7.4 /-à/ narrative connective

If a clause contains a NC or a RC, its verb may be conjoined to a verb in a following clause by means of the suffix /-à/, abbreviated in the following examples as 'conn.':

(31)		-sì k <sup>h</sup> úʔ-s o1sg.PC spill-c		
	t <sup>h</sup> ě:  'ầ:nầsị t <sup>h</sup> ě:  'ấ:-nà-sì [tree top-to] <sub>GEN</sub> -1s climbed to the top o	-		
(32)	k <sup>h</sup> ŏ:tánàsầ	<sup>h</sup> ǐ:à-:̈́-sù dik.dik-sp3f.s ?íłímē:ts ʾī̃:gầ	g. children-sp3a.pl3fsg.PC	
	khỏ:-tà-nà-sà?íłímē:-ts'è-?í:-àhouse-in-to-3f.sg.PCshut(3m.sg.obj.)-appl3a.pl.objconn.Usually Dik-dik shut the children in the house			
	mánt∫ <sup>h</sup> â ìt∫ <sup>h</sup> àsàn mánt∫ <sup>h</sup> à ít∫ <sup>h</sup> à-sà- food look.for- and went to look fo	nà-sà nmlto-3f.sg.PC	hìk'ị hík'ì go	

The connective morpheme  $/-\hat{z}/$  may be used instead in examples such as the above, but it is more common for  $/-\hat{a}/$  to be used, unless the actions expressed by the conjoined verbs are to be interpreted as happening simultaneously or expressing different facets of the same event, in which case  $/-\hat{z}/$  is preferred. The text corpus contains the following exception to this tendency:

(33)	?à:	ně:wájō:	sà:	tł'èsé:â	tł'àbísósį
	?á:	ně:-wà-jó:	sá:	tł'èsé:-à	tł'àbísó-sì
	NC(3pl.)	live-multdur.	NC(3f.sg.)	repeat-conn.	stomach-verb.
	Then they	lived for a time,	and then she	became pregna	ant again.

The text corpus also contains one example of the narrative connective morpheme /-a/ in a clause which does not contain a NC or a RC:

(34)	hèwé?gầ:	kêutồ:	lâ:	tĥâ
	hèwé? gâ-à	kéùtò-r	lí-à	tĥâ
	and.so-3m.sg.PC	pig-sp.	come-conn.	run
	And so the pig car	ne running	<b>.</b>	

See the discussion following example (48) below for a further example of how the presence of the conjunction /hèwé? gà/ is associated with a narrative meaning. It is also grammatical for the narrative verb connective morpheme to occur in a clause which contains the form /híâ?/ 'usually' but neither a NC nor a RC, but this has not been attested in a text.

The text corpus contains one example of an ideophone being connected to a verb by means of the narrative connective morpheme /-a/:

(35)	sà:	l <sup>h</sup> ĭ:ẫ:sų	ts'óŋgórâ:	dlá?
	sá:	l <sup>h</sup> ĭ:à-: ̃-sỳ	ts'óngórì-à	dlá?
	NC(3f.sg.)	dik.dik-sp3f.sg.	jump.up.and.down-conn.	ideo.
	And then D	ik-dik jumped up aı	nd down, boing!	

The connective morpheme /-à/ could be replaced with /- $\frac{2}{5}$ / in this example, but this is not preferred.

The next example shows how /-à/ may also be used to conjoin a possessive construction and a verb:

(36)  $t \int i n | \hat{e}m \hat{e}s \hat{e}: k' \hat{e}:s \hat{e} \hat{a} n! \hat{e}$   $t \int i n | \hat{e}m \hat{e}s \hat{e}: k' \hat{e}:s \hat{i}-\hat{e}-\hat{a} n! \hat{e}$ [I person]<sub>GEN</sub> cry-poss.-3m.sg.-conn. dawn(verb) My person cries all day *Lit. My person has crying and dawns*  nì:k'é:séàtwè:ní:k'é:-sí-è-àtwě:andcry-poss.-3m.sg.-conn.be.nightand cries all night.and has crying and becomes night.

The connective morpheme /-à/ cannot be replaced with /- $\dot{z}$ / in this type of construction.

## 7.5 /-*ki*/ additive

The additive morpheme /-ki/ is attached to NPs and can be translated 'and', 'as well', 'and as for' or 'even', depending on the context of its occurrence. In the following elicited example, the additive morpheme conjoins the two NPs which form the subject of the clause:

(37)	<sup>n</sup> ∥ŏ:kó	kŏ:ŋgó:kí	mìríkâ?	<sup>n</sup>   <sup>w</sup> è:
	<sup>n</sup> ∥ŏ:kó	kŏ:ŋgó:-kí	mìríkì̥-à?	<sup>n</sup>   <sup>w</sup> é:
	children	Gkoongoo-add.	medicine-3pl.PC	make
	The child	ren and Gkoongo	o made medicine.	

The use of the additive morpheme in this way does not necessarily imply that the two referents of the subject NP acted together, although this implication is more likely to be understood when /-ki/ is used as opposed to the conjunction  $/n\tilde{i}$ ./. Thus, in the following example, it is more natural to use /-ki/ than  $/n\tilde{i}$ ./ because the two referents are understood as a couple:

(38)	?útá: ló:ló?ố:	<sup>n</sup> lèmésé:	<sup>n</sup>  ûmsùkì
	?útá: ló:ló?ồ:	<sup>n</sup>  èmésé:	<sup>n</sup>  úm̥`sú-kí
	very.long.ago	man	wife-add.
	Very long ago th	ere was a mai	n and (his) wife.

A subject NP which is followed by /-kí/ may also be followed by the SF marker, as in the following example:

(39)	pà:		mìk <sup>h</sup> é:		t	téłâ:		
	pá:		mìkh	mìk <sup>h</sup> é-é		téłà-à		
		0,	leave/stop-3m.s		obj. c	8m.sg.PC		
	Inen	ne stop	ped it c	completely.				
	łě:? hèsú	hèsúk	íá:	nè:wầ	pákâ	?à:	łà?té	
	łě:?	hèsú-l	cí-á:	ně:-wà	pákà	?á:	∮à?té	
	now Now			live-mult. ed until they		NC(3pl.)	die	

This example also shows how the additive morpheme can be used to conjoin a subject NP to the subject NP of the previous clause without an overt reference to the subject of the first clause in the second clause.

It is common to find the additive morpheme when a general topic has been introduced and specific information is then being added to this topic. The following example illustrates this:

(40) hâ? n|àtī:gî?hí-à? n|àtí:ː-ì?

when-3pl.PC come-&-sub.cl. It became apparent to them that

hà:	<sup>n</sup> ∥ỗ: kì	kŏ:náwàts'ìsề		
há:	<sup>n</sup> ∥ŏ:-:̇̀`-kí	kŏ:nà-wà-ts'í-sí-è		
	1	spoil-multreflexposs3m.sg.		
even (this) child was handicapped as well.				

l<sup>w</sup>ě̃∶ kì !á:wásề

l<sup>w</sup>ě:-ž -kí !á:-wà-sí-è

eye-sp.-add. go.in.different.directions-mult.-poss.-3m.sg. And the eyes were crossed.

I<sup>h</sup>àtâ:kì xòrǐ:wásề
I<sup>h</sup>àtá-i-kí xòrǐ:-wà-sí-è
leg-sp.-add. bend-mult.-poss.-3m.sg.
And the legs were bent.

Another common function of the additive morpheme is to indicate a change of subject:

(41)	nĩ	t∫íkí	bà?ésê:	wàràŋgề:	ts'à:kụ
	ní̈́ː	t∫í-kí	bà?é-sí-è-ř	wàràngĕ:-:̇̀	ts'ă:-kù
	and	I-add.	[be.big-poss3m.sgsp.	god-sp.	home] <sub>GEN</sub> -at
	?ìềsị		làbánầ		
	?íé-ì-sì		làbâ-nà		
		•	later-to me, I will live in the home	e of the Lord fo	orever.

This example follows a clause in which the subject is third person.

Objects which are topics are often marked with the additive morpheme and as such tend not to be also marked with a PC in realis clauses: $^{50}$ 

(42)  $\min \int^{h} dk \bar{i}$   $\hat{u}rs \bar{\bar{a}}$ :  $\min \partial_{\bar{i}} \delta \bar{i}$ :  $\min \partial_{\bar{i}} \delta \bar{i}$ :  $\min \partial_{\bar{i}} \delta \bar{i}$  $\min \int^{h} \partial_{\bar{i}} k \bar{i}$   $\hat{u}r \bar{i}$ :-s $\partial_{\bar{i}}$   $\min \partial_{\bar{i}} \delta \bar{i}$ :  $\min \partial_{\bar{i}} \delta \bar{i}$ food-add. very-3f.sg.PC choose-& eat And as for food, she only ate what she liked. (*Lit. And food, she chose very much and ate*)

However, it is grammatical for a realis PC to follow the additive morpheme:

In this example, and in the one below, /-kí/ functions as a marker of emphasis:

(44)	t∫á:	?útá:kí	mě:nā̃:	sìè
	t∫í-á:	?útá:-kí	mě:nà-é-:	sí-é
	I-SF	long.ago-add.	love-3m.sg&	take-3m.sg.obj.
	And e	ven long ago I lo	oved her and took	ther.

A further function of the additive morpheme is to mark two NPs which are objects of the same verb, as in the following example:

(45)	sàndàwě:sữ	híô	!èkŏ:	sòsòbề:i?
	sàndàwě:-sữ	: hí-ò	!èkŏ:	sòsòbé-é-ì?
	Sandawe-1pl	when-1pl.PC	millet	harvest-3m.sg.objsub.cl.
	When we San	dawe harvest mi	illet,	
	pò: ! <sup>h</sup>	âːnồː		k <sup>h</sup> ìk <sup>h</sup> ì?sè:ầ
	pó: ! <sup>h</sup>	âː-nà-ò		k <sup>h</sup> ìk <sup>h</sup> ì?sé-é-à
	· • /	reshing.place-to- gether to a thresl	-	bring.together-3m.sg.objconn. ce and sort
	màłé:	<b>∮á:</b> ûkì		dá:ndâ
	màłé-é	łá:-ù-ṙ̃-kí		dá:ndà
	sort-3m.sg.ob the good to o	j. good-3m.sg. ne side	-spadd	. one.side
	-			

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>50</sup> See section 12.3 for an analysis of the relationship between realis PCs and focus and topic marking.

nì: bǔ:dâ:kì dá:ndâ nĩ: bǔ:dà-: -kí dá:ndà and bad.millet-sp.-add. one.side and the bad to one side.

The additive morpheme is also commonly used in introducing speech in narratives (see section 12.5).

7.6 /hèwé? gâ/, /hèwé? gê/, /hèwé-ts'ì/, /hèwé-kìmé! 'and so, therefore'

The conjunction /hèwé?  $g\hat{a}$ / 'and so, therefore' can be used to introduce a clause:

(46)	hèwé?gầ: hèwé? gâ-à	∥ <sup>h</sup> àt∫ <sup>h</sup> ∥ <sup>h</sup> àt∫ <sup>h</sup>		k <sup>h</sup> ŏ:tát∫ē k <sup>h</sup> ŏ:-tà-t∫è-é	t <sup>w</sup> ě:â t <sup>w</sup> ě:-à	
	and.so-3m.sg.I	PC lion-s	p.	house-in-from-3m.sg.	at.night-3m.s	sg.PC
	tữ:	hík'ī̃:		étà:	?ìèwầ	s <sup>w</sup> énàkì
	tû:-	hík'ì̥-:ᠮᢆ	nlé	è-tà-à	?íé-wà	s <sup>w</sup> ê-nà-kí
	come.out-& And so Lion ca	go-& ame out of		ilderness-in-3m.sg.PC house at night and went	live-mult. and lived in the	now-to-add. he wilderness until
	now.					

/hèwé? gê/ is an alternative form of this conjunction. Both these forms can be analysed as deriving from the referential masculine demonstrative /hèwé/, which refers to what precedes the conjunction. The /-gâ/ and /-gê/ parts of these forms can be analysed as evidential morphemes (see section 12.6) and the [?] as coming from the postposition /-ts'ì/ 'at'.

The referential masculine demonstrative is also the basis for another conjunction with the same meaning:

(47)	k <sup>w</sup> à:	hèwéts'â:	
	k <sup>w</sup> á:	hèwé-ts'ì-à	
	NC(3m.sg.)	dem.(ref.3m.sg.)-at-3	3m.sg.PC
	jàjáxî:sòầ		tìmu?ì:
	jàjá-xì̥-r̄̀ -sò	-à	tímų̀-?ī́:
	brother-et.al.	-sp3a.pl3m.sg.PC	swallow-3a.pl.obj.
	And so then	he swallowed the brot	hers.

This conjunction is analysed as containing the postpositional suffix /-ts'ì/ 'at'.

A third conjunction with the meaning 'and so, therefore' is derived from the demonstrative /hèwé/ by means of the postpositional suffix /-kìmé:/ 'because':

 (48) hèwémē:si n∥ìnè hèwé-kìmé:-sì n∥íné dem.(ref.3m.sg.)-bec.-1sg.PC lie.down And so I lay down.

This conjunction differs slightly in its distribution and meaning from the other two conjunctions illustrated above. In the text corpus, /hèwé? gà/ and /hèwé-ts'ì/ occur in narrative clauses, whereas /hèwé-kìmé:/ occurs in speech, including in irrealis clauses with a future time reference. When (48), for example, is translated into Swahili, the verb form used is the perfect (-*me*-), whereas, if the conjunction is replaced with /hèwé? gà/, the Swahili verb form chosen is the narrative (-*ka*-). Recall how example (34) above also suggested that /hèwé? gà/ has a narrative function as its occurrence in a clause licenses the use of the narrative connective /-à/.

## 7.7 /-sí?/locative

The locative morpheme /-sí?/ is used in existential constructions like the following elicited example:

 (49) k<sup>h</sup>ŏ:tâ <sup>n</sup>∥ŏ:sí? k<sup>h</sup>ŏ:-tà <sup>n</sup>∥ŏ:-sí? house-in child-loc. There is a child inside.

This construction is comparable with a locative construction which uses the possessive morpheme /-sí/, as shown in the following elicited example:

(50)	<sup>n</sup> ∥ŏ:sų	k <sup>h</sup> ŏ:tâ	kó:súsų
	<sup>n</sup> ∥ŏ:-sù	k <sup>h</sup> ŏ:-tà	kóː-sí-sù
	child-3f.sg.	house-in	present-poss3f.sg.
	A child is in	side.	

One function of the locative morpheme /-sí?/ is to join two clauses which describe simultaneous events:

(51) <sup>n</sup>!<sup>w</sup>ánék<sup>w</sup>ê ?ádúku?ồ: lŏ:xi bé:bâ kó:sésì?
<sup>n</sup>!<sup>w</sup>áné-k<sup>w</sup>è ?ádúkù-?ồ: lŏ:xì bé:bà kó:-sí-è-sí?
pray-2pl.Imp.PC help-nml. still near present-poss.-3m.sg.-loc.
Pray for help, while he is still near.

(52) nówô:kì kòŋkórî: bă:rsàts'ìẽgà: ?ìmèsì?
nòwé-ó:-ič-kí kònkórì-ič bă:rà-sà-ts'ì-ì-é:-ič-á: ?ímé-sí?
grind-nml.-sp.-add. cockerel-sp. start-nml.-at-pro.-3m.sg.-sp.-SF cry-loc.
And the grinding, when the first cockerel crows,

hǎ:ŋgā: nòwèpògà? hǎ:ngà-: nówé-ì-pò-gá? get.up-& grind-irr.-2sg.-decl. you will get up and grind.

Thus, a construction using /-sí?/ differs in meaning from the subordinate clause construction, which tends to be used to express successive events (see section 11.3).

It is possible for a subordinate clause construction to contain the locative morpheme /-si?/, in which case the meaning 'if there is/are...' is understood:

(53)	tàtà 🛛	hàpú	jà?àbồ:	l'ímó:	łómó:	!'ámó:	
	tàtá 🛛	hàpú	já?ábò-:	ľìmé-ó:	łòmé-ó:	!'àmé-	·ó:
	father	[you	work] <sub>GEN</sub> -sp.	clear-nml.	cultivate-nml.	carve-r	ıml.
	Father, y	your w	ork is to clear,	cultivate, car	ve,		
	<b>n</b> • <i>c c</i>	1		1.72	1 \ 1 \ .	(0) 0	1 /1 /
	<sup>n</sup> !ámó:	h	ónó:	híâ	hùmbùsi	17117	báló:
	<sup>n</sup> !àmé-ó	ó: h	òná-o:	hí-à	hùmbù-s	sí? <b>-</b> ì?	báló:-ó:
	forge-nn	nl. ha	arvest.honey-nn	nl. when-31	n.sg. cow-loc	-sub.cl.	herd-nml.
	forge, harvest honey, if there are cows, to herd.						

As in example (49) above, the locative morpheme is not a conjunction in this type of construction.

A further function of the locative morpheme is to join two parts of a clause. When it is used in this way, it marks the constituent to which it is suffixed as prominent:

(54)		hĩ:g	é-xéː-ː ̃-sí? e-plsploc.	t <sup>‡</sup> 'ě:ts'íwâ: t <sup>‡</sup> 'ě:-ts'í-wà-à diminish(caus.)-reflexmult3m.sg.PC ned
	pà:	hī̇́:géxé:á:		
	pá:	hī́:gé-xé:-á:	lẫ:-ts'í-wà	
	NC(3m.sg.)	some-plSF	see-reflex1	mult.

but others have become apparent.

The following example provides an illustration of the locative morpheme's function as a marker of prominence in a copular:

(55) !<sup>hw</sup>àtáts'imē: ∥<sup>h</sup>èmò:sì? tłă:si
!<sup>hw</sup>àtáts'ì-kìmé: ∥<sup>h</sup>èmé-ó:-sí? tłă:sì
sin-bec. pay-nml.-loc. death
The payment for sin is indeed death.

If the morpheme is omitted in this example, the construction remains grammatical, but does not have any prominence marking.

The locative morpheme can also be attached to an adverb to give it prominence:

(56) ?ó?sī? mě:náts'ī?i: tùsi: ?ó?,-sí? mě:nà-ts'í-?i: tû-ì-si: there(ref.)-loc. like-reflex.-with come.out-irr.-2pl. It is then you (pl.) will come out with joy,
pútł'úmá?i: xèsi:gì pútł'úmà-?i: xé-sí:-ì peace-with lead-2pl.obj.-irr.(-3m.sg.) he will lead you (pl.) with peace.

The negative equivalent of the locative construction uses the negative morpheme /-ts'é/, as in the following elicited example:

(57) ká:kásì?ts'è dʒàk<sup>h</sup>á
 ká:kà-sí?-ts'é dʒàk<sup>h</sup>á
 dog-loc.-neg. outside
 There is no dog outside.

# 8 Word order

Word order in Sandawe is influenced by information structure, as will be seen in section 12.3. In the following sections, the most common word orders for different combinations of propositions, words, and phrases will be illustrated and the most frequently occurring of the marked orders will be mentioned.

## 8.1 Proposition level

As is to be expected with an OV language, Sandawe tends to order nuclear propositions after support propositions. This can be seen in the following example, where the comparison precedes the nucleus:

màrà?éwásề: (1) hèwéxê hèwéxè màrà?é-wà-sí-è-: dem.(ref.pl.) stripe-mult.-poss.-3m.sg.-sp. !ě̃:gá?  $k^{h}$ ò:xè?i̇:wàsề: s<sup>w</sup>és<sup>w</sup>é?mồ  $s^{w}és^{w}é?m\delta k^{h}\delta:-xé?i:-wa-si-e-i$ !ěː-gá? [wall.stick house]<sub>GEN</sub>-like-mult.-poss.-3m.sg.-sp. rib-decl. These stripey things, which are like a house of wall sticks, are ribs.

Similarly, in the following example, the command follows the assertion:

(2) hóbê <sup>n</sup>|èmèsù hóbè <sup>n</sup>|émèsú [what woman]<sub>GEN</sub> What a woman, k<sup>hw</sup>àsé:kỗ: hòsó ts'à:nà !ě:mésú k<sup>hw</sup>à-sé-é-kò-ź !ě:mésú hòsó ts'à:-nà return-caus.-3m.sg.obj.-2sg.Imp.PC-& send(3f.sg.obj.) [they home]<sub>GEN</sub>-to return her and send her to their home.

The following example illustrates how a positive statement tends to follow a negative one:

k<sup>h</sup>ð: mě:k<sup>w</sup>ê <sup>n</sup>!ò:wè (3) <sup>n</sup>!ŏ:-é k<sup>h</sup>ŏ:-ž mě:-k<sup>w</sup>è neg.-2pl.Imp.PC house-sp. open-3m.sg.obj. Don't open the door, ?àŋk<sup>h</sup>á hókē:ā: ĺĩ: ?ìmbồi? ?ànk<sup>h</sup>á hô-ké:-á: lí-ŕ ?ìmbô-ì? even who-decl.-SF come-& say-sub.cl. even if someone comes and speaks.

Unlike the expected pattern for OV language, Sandawe tends to order reason clauses after result clauses, as in the following example:

(4)	<sup>n</sup> !ê	ts'èxề	kêutồ	!'inò:nàồ		nì?	
	<sup>n</sup> !ê	ts'éxè	kéùtò	!'ìné-óː-nà	ı-ò	ní?	
	day	one	pig	hunt-nmlt	o-1pl.PC	go	
	One	day we w	vent hun	hunting pigs,			
	wàré	tê	mìnd	à?	mànt∫ <sup>h</sup> à:		pò:
		tê tê			mánt∫ <sup>h</sup> à-		pó:
				<sub>GEN</sub> -3pl.PC	U		1
because they had eaten the field of another				-	-	×1 /	

Another exceptional pattern seen in Sandawe is the tendency for purpose clauses to follow means clauses:

(5) !'"â t"à:si nĩ: hík'ī̃: !'ò:wềsi hík'ì-ź !'ŏ:-é-ì-sì !'"â t<sup>w</sup>á-é-ì-sì nī́: hole dig-3m.sg.obj.-irr.-1sg. and go-& get-3m.sg.obj.-irr.-1sg. I will dig a hole and go and get him, t<sup>h</sup>ò:t<sup>h</sup>ò:kìsū̃: ?ò: t<sup>h</sup>ŏ:t<sup>h</sup>ŏ:kí-ì-sù̃: ?ó: SC(1pl.) jump-irr.-1pl. so that we can jump.

#### 8.2 Clause level

The unmarked order of constituents in a clause can be summarised by the following schema:

Temporal (Adv or PP) /	Subject	Object /	Verb
Conjunction /		PP/	
Disjunct		Adverb	

The following example exhibits the order Temp(Adv)-S-PP-V:

(6)	s <sup>w</sup> ê	∥ <sup>h</sup> àt∫ <sup>h</sup> ữ̂:	gùràts'ầ:	<sup>n</sup> ∥ìnèwầ
	s <sup>w</sup> ê	∥ <sup>h</sup> àt∫ <sup>h</sup> ú-ṙ̃	gùrà-ts'ì-à	<sup>n</sup> ∥íné-wà
	now	lion-sp.	room-at-3m.sg.PC	lie.down-mult.
	Now	Lion lay do	wn in the room.	

The word order of the following example is Conj.-S-O-V:

(7)	hèwé?gầ:	dórố:	dàk' <sup>w</sup> ě̃:gầ	<sup>n</sup> ∥í?wā:jó:
	hèwé? gâ-à	dóró-r	dàk' <sup>w</sup> ě:-ř -à	<sup>n</sup> ∥î-wáː-jóː
	and.so-3m.sg.PC	zebra-sp.	donkey-sp3m.sg.PC	paint-3i.pl.objdur.
	And so Zebra pain			

And, in the following example, the word order is Disj.-S-O-V:

 (8) dìmề wàràŋgế: gā: t∫íts'â: ∥'wè: dìmè wàràngĕ:-i̇-á: t∫í-ts'ì-à ∥'wě: perhaps god-sp.-SF I-at-3m.sg.PC test Perhaps God is testing me.

The relative order of objects, PPs and adverbs is flexible, as the following examples illustrate:

#### Adv(location)-O-V:

(9) wá?âsi k<sup>h</sup>òk<sup>h</sup>òrósi !'ò:wè wá?-sì k<sup>h</sup>òk<sup>h</sup>òró-sì !'ŏ:-é there(dist.)-1sg.PC old.cow-1sg.PC get-3m.sg.obj. I got there an old cow.

NC-PP(source)-O-PP(goal)-V:

mìzígô:ts'ầ? (10)?à: mélìtàtsèà? mìzíqò-: ts'ì-à? ?á: mêlì-tà-t∫è-é-à? load-sp.-at-3pl.PC boat-in-from-3m.sg.-3pl.PC NC(3pl.) ts'átànầ:? kù?ùm̀sè ts'â-tà-nà-à? kù?ùm̀sé water-in-to-3pl.PC throw Then they threw the loads out of the boat into the water.

NC-S-PP(goal)-O-V:

?ó?sì? ?à: hòsó mélìtà jà?bèsìsõ:sõ (11)?ó? -sí? ?á: hòsó mêlì-tà jà?bé-sí-sò-: -sò there(ref.)-loc. NC(3pl.) boat-in work-poss.-3a.pl.-sp.-3a.pl. they méliisuts'a? <sup>n</sup>∥<sup>w</sup>è: dûrunầ? !èmò:ầ? mêlì-: -sù-ts'ì-à? dúrù-nà-à? !èmé-ó:-à? <sup>n</sup>∥<sup>w</sup>ě: boat-sp.-3f.sg.-at-3pl.PC shore-to-3pl.PC take-nml.-3pl.PC try It was then that they who worked in the boat tried to take the boat to shore.

Conj-Adv(manner)-O-Adv(manner)-PP(goal)-V:

(12)	hèwé?gềsį	téłâs	Sį	t∫í	kìmầ̃:si
	hèwé? gê-sì	téłà-	·sj	t∫í	kímấ:-: -sì
	and.so-1sg.PC	com	pletely-1sg.PC	[I	poisonous.arrow] <sub>GEN</sub> -sp1sg.PC
	łá:sį	làní	ts'è:ònầsį		pè:
	łá:-sì	làní	ts'é:ò-nà-sì		pě:
			string] <sub>GEN</sub> -to-1 conous arrow co		C put etely well on the bow string.

The position of the narrative conjunction (NC) relative to the subject is also flexible. As in (11), the subject may follow the NC, or it may precede it, as in the following example:

(13) <sup>n</sup>!ê bă:rsàts'ìēts'i
<sup>n</sup>!ê bă:rà-sà-ts'ì-ì-é:-ič-ts'ì
day start-nml.-at-pro.-3m.sg.-sp.-at
On the first day
jónà k<sup>w</sup>à: mjìtànà:
iênà li<sup>w</sup>ó: miìtànà:

jônà k<sup>w</sup>á: m̂jì-tà-nà-à <sup>n</sup> $\parallel$ ě: Jonah NC(3m.sg.) town-in-to-3m.sg.PC enter Jonah entered the town.

The discourse function of this variation is discussed in section 12.3.

As in (6) and (13), a temporal adverb is commonly initial in an utterance. It may also occur elsewhere in the clause, as in the following example:

<sup>n</sup>∥è:

(14)	<sup>n</sup> ∥ŏ:	ts'ŏ:?tō	?ùtà:	bìk <sup>h</sup> é:sòts'è
	<sup>n</sup> ∥ŏ:	ts'ŏ:-? ̥tó	?útá:	bìk <sup>h</sup> é-é-ì-sò-ts'é
	child	be.small-adj.	long.ago	leave-3m.sg.objirr3a.plneg.
	Long	ago they would	not leave a	a small child.

All six logically possible orders of subject, object and verb are grammatical in Sandawe, but SOV predominates. SOV, OSV, VS and VO are attested in the text corpus, but there are no examples of SVO, OVS, VSO or VOS.

The order OSV topicalises the object:

 (15) hèwéxé: <sup>n</sup>llŏ:kô <sup>n</sup>lìnsö hèwéxé: <sup>n</sup>llŏ:kò <sup>n</sup>línì,-ì-sò dem.(ref.pl.) children eat(meat)-irr.-3a.pl. These, the children would eat.

The demonstrative in this example refers to the various meats which are listed in the preceding sentence. Similarly, in the following example, the initial object NP is a topic which has been mentioned in the preceding sentence of the discourse:

(16) hèsú dámâ:sụ hàsú dámà-ĩ -sù dem.(ref.3f.sg.) calf-sp.-3f.sg.
hàpú mà:mè tùkề:i hàpú má:mè tû-kù-é:-ì [you maternal.uncle]<sub>GEN</sub> come.out-caus.-3m.sg.obj.-irr.(-3m.sg.) This calf, your maternal uncle will contribute.

The following two examples illustrate the order VS and VO respectively:

(17)	p <sup>h</sup> éìĩe:?		k <sup>w</sup> à:	lí	dàk' <sup>w</sup> ě́:
	p <sup>h</sup> ê-ì-é:-: ts'ì		k <sup>w</sup> á:	lí	dàk' <sup>w</sup> ě:-ř
	tomorrow-pro3m.sg	gspat	NC(3m.sg.)	come	donkey-sp.
	The next day along c	ame Dor	nkey.		
(18)	?á:réts'īkồ ?á:rêts'í-kò believe-2sg.Imp.PC Believe in the Lord J	U 1	Ź-è-Ĩ	jêsi	ù:ts'iٖ ù-:̄ -ts'i̯ us-spat

The discourse function of marked orders such as these is discussed in section 12.3.

## 8.3 Phrase level

The unmarked order of constituents in a NP can be summarised by the following schema:

Demonstrative	Genitive modifier	Noun	Adverb	Adjective /
				Numeral /
				Quantifier

The following example contains a NP with the default constituent order Dem-Gen.Mod-Noun:

(19)	hě:û	hàpú	hùmbằ:	?úrâ:	gàndầ
	hě:ù	hàpú	hùmbù-r	?úrì-à	gàndà
	dem.(prox.3m.sg.)	[you	cow] <sub>GEN</sub> -sp.	very-3m.sg.PC	be.thin
	This cow of yours i	s so thir	n!		

The order Gen.Mod-Noun is fixed, but the demonstrative may occur after the noun, as in the third clause of the following example:

(20)	year	té-è-ts'ì other-3m.sgat	sàndàwě:sú sàndàwě:-sú Sandawe-3f.sg. other Sandawe wo	other-3f.sg1sg.PC	lầ: lâ: see			
	tł'àbísósúsų tł'àbísó-sí-sù stomach-poss3f.sg. she was pregnant.							

ni: tł'àbísố: hèwé k<sup>w</sup>à?anájê: ni: tł'àbísó-: hèwé k<sup>w</sup>à?àná-é-: and stomach-sp. dem.(ref.3m.sg.) five-pro.-3m.sg.-sp. And this pregnancy was the fifth.

The order Noun-Dem. is used when the noun has previously been established in the discourse.

The second NP in the following example exhibits the unmarked order Noun-Adv-Adj:

(21) k<sup>h</sup>ìmbà bà?ésề: wàròŋgề: k<sup>h</sup>ímbá bà?ésí-è-ĩ wàròngě:-ĩ interj.(surprise) be.big-poss.-3m.sg.-sp. god-sp.
sómbá ?úrĨ: mè:à k<sup>h</sup>ìnsè: sómbá ?úrĨ: mé:-à k<sup>h</sup>ìnsé-é fish very big-3m.sg.PC send-3m.sg.obj. But the Lord sent a very large fish.

The order Noun-Adj-Adv is also acceptable.

The following example illustrates how it is possible for another constituent (in this case, a verb) to intervene between a quantifier and the NP which it modifies:

hèwé		dògồ:sồ dògó-: -sò relative] <sub>GEN</sub> -sp3a.pl.		nĩ́:	hàbâisồ:sồ hàbá-ì-sò-: -sò give.birth-agent3a.plsp3a.pl.
	hă:nâk sit-irr	í-ì-sò 3a.pl.	t∫ <sup>h</sup> íásō t∫ <sup>h</sup> íà-só all-3a.pl. nd parents will si	it dow	n, all of them.

Another marked order is illustrated by the following example:

(23) łá: nề:sồ ?úrĩ: łá: ně:-ì-sò ?úrĩ: well live-irr.-3a.pl. very They would live very well.

The unmarked order for this clause would have the verb in clause-final position and /?úrī́:/ 'very' preceding /łá:/ 'well'.

If two verbs are conjoined with the connective morpheme  $/-\tilde{z}/$ , the default order is for the verb which is suffixed with this morpheme to precede the other verb:

(24) l'"ă: ?íế: pàr lànájó: l'wă: ?íé-: làná-jó: pár NC(3m.sg.) millet stay-& grow-dur. Then the millet keeps growing, <sup>n</sup>∥ú?ú pà: pá: <sup>n</sup>∥ú?ú NC(3m.sg.) blossom then it blossoms.

However, if the actions described by the two verbs happen simultaneously, it is also possible for the verb suffixed with the connective morpheme to occur after the verb to which it is conjoined:

(25) pà: ∥'ò∥'â: ?íxâ: ?ìmbồ <sup>n</sup>!ě: kìàkà?
pá: ∥'ò∥'á-: ?íxì-à ?ìmbô <sup>n</sup>!ě:-: kí-à-ká?
NC(3m.sg.) baboon-sp. thus-3m.sg.PC say laugh-& add.-3m.sg.PC-hear. Then Baboon spoke thus laughing, saying...

## 9 Derivation

## 9.1 Deriving nouns

#### 9.1.1 Action nominalisation and PPs derived from nominalised VPs

A verb or VP may be nominalised by means of the suffixes /-?ồ:/, /-ó:/ or /-sà/:

(1)	xá?ồ: xâ-?ồ:	hě:û hě:ù	t∫ímē:ầ	à	!'ò:ts'ì !'ǎ: ta'í
	be.bad-nml.		n.sg.) I-bec3m		!'ŏ:-ts'í get-reflex.
(2)		-ó: g		n.sg.PC	tètèk <sup>w</sup> à: tétékù-wá take.off-3i.pl.obj.
(3)	-	∥'ék <sup>w</sup> â ∥'ék <sup>w</sup> à PC [dowry wry day arrive	<sup>n</sup> !ê-i -a: day] <sub>GEN</sub> -spSF	<sup>n</sup> ll`è:i? <sup>n</sup> ll`ě:-ì? arrive-s	ub.cl.

?à:hǎ:nákīl'ékwásànầ??á:hǎ:nàkíl'ékwà-sà-nà-à?NC(3pl.)sitgive.dowry-nml.-to-3pl.PCthey sit down in order to give the dowry.

A verb may also function as a NP without the addition of suffix, but this is unusual. The text corpus contains only one such example:

(4) s<sup>w</sup>ê híô lá?wā: tłèmsèi? lấ:-wá:-: s<sup>w</sup>ê tłèmsé-ì? hí-ò now when-1pl.PC see-3i.pl.obj.-& finish-sub.cl. Now after we had seen them, kò: pàŋqáts'íô bà:rầ kó: pàngá-ts'í-ò bă:rà NC(1pl.) arrange-reflex-1pl.PC start then we started to arrange ourselves.

The suffixes /-?ồ:/ and /-sà/ are unrestricted in the choice of verbs to which they attach. The suffix /-ó:/ replaces the final vowel in the verb to which it attaches and the resulting form has all high tones. The distribution of this suffix is restricted to certain verbs. These verbs tend to be transitive and have a final /é/ vowel which is not part of the verb root, as in /t<sup>h</sup>ímé/ 'cook' and /łòmé/ 'cultivate'. However, other verbs which allow the /-ó:/ suffix, such as /hìbà/ 'weed' and /ll'àkí/ 'descend', do not fall into this category. Monosyllabic verbs and other multisyllabic verbs, such as /hùmà/ 'defeat' and /t<sup>w</sup>árà/ 'carry', cannot be nominalised with /-ó:/.

In texts, the nominalising suffix /-sà/ is only found with a following postpositional suffix, as in (3). It is marginally acceptable to use this suffix without a following postpositional suffix:

(5)	?	t <sup>h</sup> ímésásầ	bà:rà:
		t <sup>h</sup> ímé-sà-sà	bă:rà-é
		cook-nml3f.sg.PC	start-3m.sg.obj.
	?	She started to cook.	

The three suffixes  $/-?\tilde{o}:/, /-o:/$  and /-sa/ can nominalise a verb together with its object, as in (6) (see also examples (11) and (14)):

(6) <sup>n</sup>létànầsi hìk'i kêutô !'ìnèsànầsi n<sup>i</sup>lê-tà-nà-sì hík'ì kéùtô !'ìné-sà-nà-sì bush-in-to-1sg.PC go pig hunt-nml.-to-1sg.PC I went into the bush in order to hunt pigs.

In a nominalisation that contains one or more constituents besides the verb, the tone pattern of the verb is lowered unless it follows a L melody word (example (7)), or is suffixed with a PGN morpheme for the subject (example (16)), or is a HL melody word following a final H tone.

A verb and its object can be nominalised without one of the three suffixes, providing the phrase is suffixed with the specificity morpheme. This is not attested in the text corpus, but is illustrated by the following elicited example:

(7) mìndà łòmê:gà? dà: mìndà łòmé-ì-à? dă: field cultivate-sp.-3pl.PC be.able They can cultivate the field.

The two suffixes  $/-?\tilde{o}:/$  and /-o:/ are functionally equivalent. However, there is a tendency for /-o:/ to be preferred where it is allowed, particularly when there is no object in the nominalised VP:

- (8) llántó:kí !'č:wési
  llàntá-ó:-kí !'č:-é-si
  be.satisfied-nml.-add. get-3m.sg.obj.-1sg.PC
  I have got satisfaction.
- (9) fáró: lò: ts'ò:?tō fàré-ó: lŏ: ts'ò:-? tó [lie-nml. path]<sub>GEN</sub> be.short/small-adj. The path of lying is short.
- (10) hèwé?gầ: !'ínô:kīā: tłè: hèwé?gâ-à !'ìné-ó:-<sup>\*</sup>:-kí-á: tłé: and.so-3m.sg.PC hunt-nml.-sp.-add.-SF be.finished And so the hunting was finished.

If the VP contains an object,  $/-?\tilde{o}:/$  is often preferred, even when  $/-\acute{o}:/$  is allowed, as in the following example:

(11)	íô	hàpúkí	nówó:	łómó:	
	íò	hàpú-kí	nòwé-ó:	łòmé-ó:	
	mother	you-add.	grind-nml.	cultivate-	nml.
	nânį	t <sup>w</sup> è		mánt∫ <sup>h</sup> â	t <sup>h</sup> ìmè?ồ:
	nánì	t <sup>w</sup> ěz	-?ồ:	mánt∫ <sup>h</sup> à	t <sup>h</sup> ímé-?ồ:
	vegetabl	les gatł	er-nml.	food	cook-nml.
	Mother,	as for you	, (your job	is) grinding,	, cultivating, gathering vegetables, cooking
	food.				

The /-ó:/ morpheme can be suffixed with the specificity morpheme, as in (10). The function of this is illustrated by the following two elicited examples:

- (12) t<sup>h</sup>ímó:á: hùmàsè
  t<sup>h</sup>ímé-ó:-á: hùmà-sé
  cook-nml.-SF defeat-1sg.obj.
  I couldn't cook.
  Lit. Cooking defeated me
- (13) t<sup>h</sup>ímô:gā: hùmàsè t<sup>h</sup>ímé-ó:-ř-á: hùmà-sé cook-nml.-sp.-SF defeat-1sg.obj. I couldn't do the cooking. (*Lit. The specific instance of cooking defeated me.*)

A nominalised verb or VP can be followed by a realis PC under the same conditions as other NPs or PPs:

?ó?sì? ?à: hòsó mélìtà jà?bèsìsồ:sồ (14)hòsó mêlì-tà jà?bé-sí-sò-: -sò ?ó? -sí? ?á: there(ref.)-loc. NC(3pl.) work-poss.-3a.pl.-sp.-3a.pl. they boat-in méli:suts'à? <sup>n</sup>∥wè: dûrunà? !èmò:ầ? mêlì-: -sù-ts'ì-à? <sup>n</sup>∥<sup>w</sup>ě: dúrù-nà-à? !èmé-ó:-à? boat-sp.-3f.sg.-at-3pl.PC shore-to-3pl.PC take-nml.-3pl.PC try It was then that they who worked in the boat tried to take the boat to shore,

 $\begin{array}{lll} p \grave{a} & d \check{a} : t \int^h \bar{o} \\ p \acute{a} & d \check{a} : t \int^h i \text{-} s \acute{o} \\ NC(3pl.) & be.able.-neg.-3a.pl. \\ but they could not. \end{array}$ 

híô nówésámē:ồi?
hí-ò nówé-sà-kìmé:-ò-ì?
when-1pl.PC grind-nml.-bec.-1pl.-sub.cl.
When we want to grind,

pò:là?sě:nô:tł'à:pó:là?sě:-nà-òtł'ǎ:NC(1pl.)winnowing.trough-to-1pl.PCtakethen we take (them) to the winnowing trough

pò:	sòkórā:	kùnùts'ồ:			
pó:	sòkórà-é	kùnù-ts'ì-ò			
NC(1pl.)	grind-3m.sg.obj.	mortar-at-1pl.PC			
and we grind it in the mortar.					

Note how in (15), the PP derived from a nominalised verb acts as the verb in the subordinate clause.

The suffix /-?ồ:/ can nominalise a VP and its subject:

(16)	hóā: hô-á: who-SF	mànă:	?àmànà ?ámáná maybe	50	hèwé	k'itł'e	
	bìk <sup>h</sup> ê:i?ð bìk <sup>h</sup> é-é-				?ò: ?ó:		t∫ <sup>h</sup> è:kì t∫ <sup>h</sup> ě:-kí
	leave-3m.sg.objirr(-3m.sg.)-nml. Who knows whether God will abandor						absent-verb we will not be destroyed.

The object of the 'know' verb is 'maybe God will abandon his anger'. If the /-? $\tilde{o}$ :/ suffix is omitted, the example remains grammatical, but is composed of three clauses rather than two and means 'who knows, maybe God will abandon his anger, so that we will not be destroyed'. /-? $\tilde{o}$ :/ can be replaced with the /-sa/ nominalising morpheme together with the specificity morpheme /- $\tilde{c}$ / without changing the meaning, but this option is not attested in any texts.

/-?ồ:/ can also nominalise adjectives and copular constructions. Example (17) is elicited and (18) comes from a text:

(17)	kòlỗ: mé kòlỗ: mé [hoe big] The bigness	:-?ô:   <sub>GEN</sub> -nml.				
(18)	•	p.PC-SC(1sg.) give my whisk	[[I	dềrụ dèrù chin] <sub>GEN</sub>		?ìè ?í-é 3pers3m.sg.obj.
	( U)	lầ:gè: lâ:-é: see-3m.sg.obj all see I am a m	t∫í . I	í máxáē: í máxà-é male-3n	:-sì-?ồ:	

Adding a postpositional suffix to a verb or VP which has been nominalised by the /-?ồ:/, /-ó:/ or /-sà/ suffixes results in a PP. Examples of three postpositional suffixes, /-kìmé:/ 'because', /-nà/ 'to' and /-ts'ì/ 'at', are found in the text corpus.

#### 9.1.1.1 /-kìmé:/ 'because'

The function of the /-kìmé:/ suffix depends on whether the NP to which it attaches has been nominalised by the /-?oi/ or /-oi/ morphemes, or by the /-sà/ morpheme. In the former case, the action of the verb from which the NP is derived has usually been completed:

?ók<sup>h</sup>ô wàròngè:pồ bà?ésípô:pồ <sup>n</sup>!<sup>w</sup>ánépô: (19)?ók<sup>h</sup>ò wàròngě:-pò bà?é-sí-pò-<sup>\*</sup>:-pò <sup>n</sup>!<sup>w</sup>áné-pó-ò god-2sg. be.big.-poss.-2sg.-sp.-2sg. beg-2sg.obj.-1pl. 0 O Lord, we beg you, mě: tósū: kò: mě: tô-sú: kó: SC(2sg.) neg. finish-1pl.obj. do not make us perish, <sup>n</sup>|èmésế: mồ tùk<sup>w</sup>è:?ồ:mè: hě:û <sup>n</sup>|èmésé:- <sup>•</sup>. mô-<sup>\*</sup>. tû-kù-é:-?ồ:-kìmé: hě:ù spirit]<sub>GEN</sub>-sp. come.out-caus.-3m.sg.obj.-nml.-bec. [dem.(prox.3m.sg.) man-sp. because of taking the life of this man !<sup>hw</sup>àtáts'i tè:xisù: nĩ mě:kô té:-xì-sū: mě:-kò !<sup>hw</sup>àtáts'ì nĩ: and neg.-2sg.Imp.PC sin count-ben.-1pl.obj. and don't count it as sin against us <sup>n</sup>|èmésê: kù?sè:?ồ:mè: ∥'èk'á hě:û <sup>n</sup>|èmésé:-<sup>\*</sup>: ∥'èk'á kú?-sé-é-?ồ:-kìmé: hě:ù [dem.(prox.3m.sg.) man-sp. spill-caus.-3m.sg.obj.-nml.-bec. blood]<sub>GEN</sub> because of spilling the blood of this man, !<sup>hw</sup>àtáts'isèts'ề: !<sup>hw</sup>àtáts'ì-sí-è-ts'è-: sin-poss.-3m.sg.-neg.-sp.

who does not have sin.

In this example, the 'taking the life of this man' and the 'spilling the blood of this man' are treated as if they have already taken place. In contrast, the /-sà/ nominaliser, when followed by the postpositional suffix /-kìmé:/, is used when the action expressed is yet to happen:

(20) mélìà !'ò:wè t<sup>h</sup>àr∫í∫inà hà:ŋgàsàmè:sà
 mêlì-à !'ò:-é t<sup>h</sup>àr∫í∫i-nà hă:ŋgà-sà-kìmé:-sà
 boat-3m.sg.PC get-3m.sg.obj. Tarshish-to leave-nml.-bec.-3f.sg.PC
 He got a boat, which was about to leave for Tarshish.

The precise meaning of the /-sà/ and /-kìmé:/ combination depends on the context. In (20), it expresses something which is about to happen. In the following example, volition on the part of the subject can be understood:

(21) hě: kû:?ì: ká:kâ hìl'àsàmè:si hě:ù kû-: -?ì: ká:kà híl'á-sà-kìmé:-sì dem.(prox.3m.sg.) rope-sp.-with dog tie-nml.-bec.-1sg.PC I want to tie the dog with this rope./ I am about to tie the dog with this rope.

Example (21) also shows how a NP formed in this way has a realis PC, but no verb. Note also how the tone pattern of the nominalised verb is lowered.

#### 9.1.1.2 /-nà/ 'to'

When the  $/-?\tilde{o}:/$  or  $/-\acute{o}:/$  nominalising suffixes are combined with the 'to' postpositional suffix /-na/, the resulting PP usually occurs before a verb of locomotion as its complement:

(22) híâ? ts'ò:ts'ìwàsì:? hí-à? ts'ò:ts'í-wà-sì-ì? when-3pl.PC hunger-mult.-verb.-sub.cl. When they were hungry,

?à:	?ísó:nâ?	nì?
?á:	?ìsá-ó:-nà-à?	ní?
NC(3pl.)	steal-nmlto-3pl.PC	go
they went	to steal.	

(23) <sup>n</sup>!ê ts'èxề kêutồ !'ìnò:nàồ nì?
 <sup>n</sup>!ê ts'éxè kéùtò !'ìné-ó:-nà-ò ní?
 day one pig hunt-nml.-to-1pl.PC go
 One day we went hunting pigs,

wàré	tê	mìndầ?	mànt∫ <sup>h</sup> à:	pò:		
wàré	tê	mìndà-à?	mánt∫ <sup>h</sup> à-é	pó:		
[friend	other	field] <sub>GEN</sub> -3pl.PC	eat-3m.sg.obj.	NC(1pl.)		
because they had eaten the field of another friend.						

When /-sà/ and /-nà/ are combined, the resulting PP is usually an adjunct expressing purpose and often occurs after the verb:

(24)	?ùs <sup>w</sup> ê	wàrè	hùmbù	?ùsấ?wàì	kèsô?
	?ùs <sup>w</sup> ê	wàré	hùmbù	?ùsấ́:-?͡ wà-ì	kèsé-ò?
	now	friend	cow	we-3i.plpro.	drive-1pl.Subj.PC
	dlòmós	súkusàn	à	mnádána	
		0			
	dlòmo-sú-kù-sà-nà			mnáda-na	1
	buy-pos	sscaus.	-nmlto	market-to	
	Now, fr	riend, let	's drive o	ur cows to the m	narket in order to sell them.

The differences between /-?ồ:/ plus /-kìmé:/, /-sà/ plus /- kìmé:/ and /-sà/ plus /-nà/ can be very slight, as illustrated by the following elicited examples:

(25)	ts'ǎ:násị	hìk'į	t <sup>h</sup> ímé?ồːmēːsi̯
	ts'ă:-nà-sì	hík'ì	t <sup>h</sup> ímé-?ồ̀:-kì̥mé:-sì̥
	home-to-1sg.PC	go	cook-nmlbec1sg.PC
	I went home beca	use of t	he cooking.
(26)	ts'ă:násị	hìk'į	t <sup>h</sup> ímésámē:si
	ts'ă:-nà-sì	hík'ì	t <sup>h</sup> ímé-sà-kìmé:-sì
	home-to-1sg.PC	go	cook-nmlbec1sg.PC
	I went home beca	use of v	vanting to cook.
(27)	ts'ǎ:násị	hìk'į	t <sup>h</sup> ímésánầsi
	ts'ă:-nà-sì	hík'ì	t <sup>h</sup> ímé-sà-nà-sì
	home-to-1sg.PC	go	cook-nmlto-1sg.PC
	I went home in or	der to c	ook.

The combination /-?ồ:/ plus /-nà/ is also used in temporal expressions:

(28) p<sup>h</sup>ákâ t∫<sup>h</sup>ě:kí?ố:nà wékề: pà: ∥'á?
p<sup>h</sup>ákà t∫<sup>h</sup>ě:-kí-?ồ:-nà wékề:- i̇ pá: ∥'á?
until absent-verb.-nml.-to wind-sp. NC(3m.sg.) be.stuck
Until in the end, the wind was stuck.
(Lit. Until the being absent/finished, the wind was stuck.)

#### 9.1.1.3 /-ts'ì/ 'at'

The combination of the nominaliser /- $?\delta$ :/ or /- $\delta$ :/ plus postposition suffix /-ts'i/ 'at' can be interpreted as meaning 'at the time of...', as in the following example:

(29) s<sup>w</sup>ê ts'ă:nâ k<sup>hw</sup>àsò:ts'ị
 s<sup>w</sup>ê ts'ă:-nà k<sup>hw</sup>à-só:-ts'ì
 now home-to return-nml.-at
 Now at the time of returning home

lá:? $\hat{e}$ :su|<sup>h</sup>ímés $\bar{a}$ :||<sup>h</sup>wèŋkế:hík'imě:náts'ī:lá:? $\hat{e}$ - $\hat{\cdot}$ -sù|<sup>h</sup>ímé-sà- $\hat{\cdot}$ :||<sup>h</sup>wènké- $\hat{\cdot}$ :hík'ìmě:nàts'í- $\hat{\cdot}$ :hare-sp.-3f.sg.sing-3f.sg.-& whistle-& golike-reflex.-&Hare went happily singing and whistling.

 $/k^{hw}asó:/$  is an irregular nominalisation of the verb  $/k^{hw}a/$  'return'. The meaning of /-sa/ plus /-ts'i/ is similar to the meaning of /-? $\delta:/$  or /- $\delta:/$  plus /-ts'i/:

(30)	?árá:	nìnéwì	mdzī:	?úrâ:	bà?è
	?árá:	nìnêwì	mdzì- <sup>°</sup> :	?úrì-à	bà?é
	truly	[Nineveh	town] <sub>GEN</sub> -sp.	very-3m.sg.PC	be.big
	Truly	y the town of	Nineveh is very	y big,	
	<sup>n</sup> !ê	s <sup>w</sup> ámkíxį	tł'ầ:i	ríŋgó:sâts'į	
	<sup>n</sup> !ê	s <sup>w</sup> ámkíxį	tł'ǎ:-ì	ríngó:-sà-ts	'ì
	day	three	take-irr.(-3m.sg	g.) go.around-ni	nlat
	it wil	ll take three	days to go aroun	d.	

A difference between the use of /-?ồ:/ or /-ó:/ plus /-ts' $\hat{}$ / versus /-s $\hat{}$ / plus /-ts' $\hat{}$ / can be seen in the elicited examples (31) and (32):

(31) hík'isàts'isi mà?
hík'ì-sà-ts'ì-sì má?
go-nml.-at-1sg.PC be.tired
I was tired at the time of going.

(32) hík'i?ö:ts'ìsi mà?
hík'ì-?ö:-ts'ì-sì má?
go-nml.-at-1sg.PC be.tired
I was tired of going./
I was tired at the time of going.

Example (31) is not ambiguous, but example (32) is. The /-sà/ plus /-ts' $\hat{j}$ / combination is interpreted as a temporal adjunct, whereas the /-? $\hat{o}$ :/ plus /-ts' $\hat{j}$ / combination can either be interpreted as a temporal adjunct or as a complement to the verb 'be tired'.

If a temporal adjunct derived by /-sà/ plus /-ts'ì/ is further modified by the addition of the specificity morpheme /- $\dot{\cdot}$ /, the meaning changes slightly:

hík'isä:ts'isi mà?
hík'ì-sà-i-ts'ì-sì má?
go-nml.-sp.-at-1sg.PC be.tired
I was tired after going.

The placement in the word order of a nominalised phrase depends on its function and on information structure. Thus, temporals tend to occur initially (examples (28) and (29)), complements usually occur before the verb (examples (22) and (23)) and adjuncts usually occur after the verb (example (24)).

#### 9.1.1.4 Other postpositions

Other combinations of nominalising suffixes and postpositional suffixes are possible, but no examples have been found in any text. The following elicited examples illustrate some of the possibilities:

(34)	łómó:tàsầ łòmé-ó:-tà-sà cultivate-nmlin-3f.sg.PC She is at the cultivating.	ìè íé stay		
(35)	<ul> <li>łòmésátàsi</li> <li>łòmé-sà-tà-sì</li> <li>cultivate-3f.sgin-1sg.PC</li> <li>I found it where the cultiv</li> </ul>		3m.sg	
(36)	łómó:tánầsị łòmé-ó:-tà-nà-sì cultivate-nmlin-to-1sg.P I went and arrived where	hík'į C go-&		<sup>n</sup> llě: arrive
(37)	mánt∫ <sup>h</sup> ásàtànầsi mánt∫ <sup>h</sup> à-sà-tà-nà-sì eat-nmlin-to-1sg.PC I went and arrived while t	go-&	<sup>n</sup> ∥ĕ: arriv	

(38)	łómó:tát∫ <sup>hw</sup> ā:	ì
	łòmé-ó:-tà-t∫è-sú-á:	lí
	cultivate-nmlin-from-3f.sgSF	come
	I came from cultivating.	

#### 9.1.2 Agentive nominalisation

Table 9.1 gives examples of the use of /-i/ in deriving agentive nouns from verbs:

	Stem	Gloss	Derived form(s)	Gloss
1	báló:	herd	báló:ì	shepherd, pastor
2	fàré	lie	fàréì	liar
3	<sup>h</sup> ímé	sing	<sup>h</sup> íméì	singer (masc.)
4	l <sup>h</sup> ímé	sing	l <sup>h</sup> íméìsù	singer (fem.)
5	l <sup>h</sup> ímé	sing	l <sup>h</sup> ímé? wà	singers
			l <sup>h</sup> ímé? wàì	

Table 9.1 Deverbal nominalisations with /-ì/

This process is productive. Note the alternative plural forms. The following example contains an agentive noun derived using /-i/:

(39) hèwé dògồ:sồ nề: hàbâisồ:sồ hèwé dògó-ề-sò nế: hàbá-ì-sò-ề-sò [he relative]<sub>GEN</sub>-sp.-3a.pl. and give.birth-agent.-3a.pl.-sp.-3a.pl.
hà:nàkìsồ t∫<sup>h</sup>íásō hǎ:nâkí-ì-sò t∫<sup>h</sup>íà-só sit-irr.-3a.pl. all-3a.pl. His relatives and parents will sit down, all of them.

#### 9.1.3 Instrumental nominalisation

Nouns with an instrumental meaning can be derived from verbs by means of the suffix /-?i:/:

	Stem	Gloss	Derived form	Gloss
1	kê	climb	kê?ĩ:	something to climb with, e.g. ladder
2	tă:	open, untie	tă:tá?ĩ:	something to open with, e.g. key
3	!'àmé	hew	!'àmé?ĩ:	something to hew with, e.g. axe

Table 9.2 Deverbal nominalisations with /- ?Ĩt/

This process is also productive. Note the reduplication of the verb root in row (2), which expresses multiple action and is required so that the derived noun refers to an object which is regularly used for opening something.

The suffixes  $/-\dot{a}/$  and  $/-\dot{a}/$  may also derive nouns with an instrumental meaning from verbs, but these suffixes are limited in their productivity. Only the following examples have been attested:

	Stem	Gloss	Derived form(s)	Gloss
1	łèbèré	stir (porridge)	łébérá?	stirrer (for porridge)
			łébérà	
2	l <sup>h</sup> èmé	sweep	l <sup>h</sup> èmá?	broom
3	xòrònts'ìmé	hollow out	xòrònts'ìmà	tool for hollowing out

Table 9.3 Deverbal nominalisations with /-á?/ , /-à/

## 9.2 Deriving verbs

For verbs which are derived from other verbs, see section 5.7 on verbal extensions. This section considers the ways in which verbs are derived from nouns, adjectives, and adverbs.

## 9.2.1 /-ts'í/

The suffix /-ts'í/ derives verbs from adjectives and adverbs:

Table 9.4 Verbs derived with /-ts'i/

	Stem	Gloss	Derived form	Gloss
1	bà?ể t <sup>h</sup> é:	big (adj.)	bà?ê dîtê:ts'í	become big
2	dě; t <sup>h</sup> é:	many (adj.)	dě; t <sup>h</sup> é:ts'í	become many
3	dʒàkʰá	outside (adv.)	dzàk <sup>h</sup> áts'í	be/become an outsider
4	k'ánk'árà	black (adj.)	k'ánk'áràts'í	be/become black

This derivational process is productive. As in row 1 and 2 in table 9.4, the suffix /-ts'í/ can be used to derive verbs from adjectives which themselves have been derived from verbs. The use of verbs derived in this way is often associated with an emphasis on the process that has occurred, in order for something to be described in the way indicated by the verb. Thus, for example, /bà?ê t<sup>h</sup>é:ts'í/ 'become big' differs in meaning from /bà?é/ 'be big'.

/-ts'í/ can also be used to derive verbs from possessive constructions (as will be seen in section 11.4) and from NPs, as in the following example:

(40)	nĩ	tě:téràwàsề:	síľ	mánt∫ <sup>h</sup> áts'īwầi	
	nī́:	tě:érà-wà-sí-è-ř	sī́t	mánt∫ <sup>h</sup> à-ts'í-wà-ì	
	and	seed-multposs3m.sgsp.	[you(pl.)	food] <sub>GEN</sub> -verbmultirr.(-3m.sg.)	
And the ones with seeds will be your (pl.) food.					

### 9.2.2 /-sì/

The suffix /-si/ can be suffixed to nouns to derive verbs:

	Stem	Gloss	Derived form	Gloss
1	bé:bà	nearby	bé:bàsì	draw near
2	hémbé	clear area	hémbésì	be clear
3	máró:	cleverness	máró:sì	be clever
4	t <sup>h</sup> ŭ:	darkness	t <sup>h</sup> ằ:sì	be dark
5	ts'ŏ:ts'í	hunger	ts'ŏ:ts'ísì	be hungry
6	l' <sup>w</sup> á:	sore (n.)	l' <sup>w</sup> áːsì	break out in sores

Table 9.5 Denominal verbs with /-si/

The following example from the text corpus illustrates the use of /-sì/:

(41)	s <sup>w</sup> ê	dàk' <sup>w</sup> ě́r	k <sup>w</sup> à:	n î:	t∫ <sup>h</sup> íā:	l' <sup>w</sup> à:si̥k <sup>w</sup> è
	s <sup>w</sup> ê	dàk' <sup>w</sup> ě:-:	k <sup>w</sup> á:	<sup>n</sup>  î:	t∫ <sup>h</sup> íà-á:	l' <sup>w</sup> áː-sì̥-kù-è
	now	donkey-sp.	NC(3m.sg.)	body	all-SF	sore-verbben3m.sg.obj.
	Now as for Donkey, his whole body broke out in sores. (Lit. Now Donkey, the whole body broke out in sores to him.)					

## 9.2.3 /-sí-kù/

When the causative suffix  $/-k\dot{u}/$  is used with a preceding possessive morpheme /-si/, it can be found attached to verb stems (see section 5.7.1) or to noun stems,<sup>51</sup> as in table 9.6:

	Stem	Gloss	Derived form	Gloss
1	pĥê	tomorrow	p <sup>h</sup> êsúkù	put off until tomorrow
2	máró:	cleverness	máró:súkù	make someone clever
3	t <sup>w</sup> ě:	night	t <sup>w</sup> ě:súkù	stay the night
4	ts'éxè	one	ts'éxèsúkù	unify
5	<sup>n</sup> !ê	day	<sup>n</sup> !êsúkù	stay awake all night

Table 9.6 Denominal verbs with /-sí-kù/

As the examples in table 9.6 show, the meaning of verbs derived by  $/-si-k\dot{y}/$  is not always transparent. The example below from the text corpus illustrates one such use:

(42)	n∥ŏ:	ts'ŏ:?tō	?ùtà:	bìk <sup>h</sup> é:sòts'è
	n∥ŏ:	ts'ŏ:-? ٍtó	?útá:	bìk <sup>h</sup> é-é-ì-sò-ts'é
	child	be.small-adj.	long.ago	leave-3m.sg.objirr3a.plneg.
	Long	ago they would	not leave a	a small child,

 $<sup>^{51}</sup>$  /twei/ 'night' and /"!ê/ 'day' are both noun stems and verb stems.

k <sup>w</sup> à:	wá?má	k <sup>h</sup> ò:?	t <sup>w</sup> ě:súkî:ts'è		
k <sup>w</sup> á:	wá?má	k <sup>h</sup> ŏ:-ts'į̀	t <sup>w</sup> ě:-sí-kù̥-ì-ts'é		
SC(3m.sg.)	[companions	house] <sub>GEN</sub> -at	night-posscausirr.(-3m.sg.)-neg.		
so that he stayed the night at his companions' house,					

jà?méî jà?mé-ì get.used.to-irr(-3m.sg.) he would get used to it.

#### 9.2.4 /-msé/

The desiderative suffix /-m sé/, which may be attached to verbs (see section 5.7.6), may also be attached to nouns and adjectives. The resulting form is a verb with two possible meanings, depending on the context:

	Stem	Gloss	Derived form	Gloss
1	íó:	mother	íó:ṁsé	think it is a mother; say 'mother'
2	k <sup>h</sup> ŏ:	house	k <sup>h</sup> ŏ:ṁsé	think it is a house; say 'house'
3	ts'ámâsú	giraffe	ts'ámâsúm̀sé	think it is a giraffe; say 'giraffe'
4	<sup>n</sup> lèmèsé:	man	<sup>n</sup>  èmèsé:ṁsé	think it is a man; say 'man'
5	łá:ù	good-3m.sg.	łáûṁsé	think it is good; say 'good'
6	bà?ể t <sup>h</sup> é:	big	bà?ê dî thé:msé	think it is big; say 'big'

Table 9.7 Denominal verbs with /-m`sé/

Thus, the following elicited example has two possible meanings:

(43) ts'ámásūmīsèsi ts'ámâsú-mìsé-sì giraffe-des.-1sg. I thought it was a giraffe./ I said 'giraffe'.

The following example illustrates one of the meanings in context:

(44)	?à:	l <sup>h</sup> ĭ:â	<sup>n</sup> ∥ò:kồ̃:sồ	hèsó	ìò:ṁsèầ
	?à:	l <sup>h</sup> ĭ:à	<sup>n</sup> ∥ŏ:kó-:̇̀ -sò	hèsó	íóː-m͡ sé-à
	NC(3pl.)	[dik.dik	children] <sub>GEN</sub> -sp3a.pl.	[they	mother] <sub>GEN</sub> -desconn.
	Then Dik-	dik's child	dren thought it was their	mother,	, and

k <sup>h</sup> ỗ:gầ?	<sup>n</sup> !ò:wè
k <sup>h</sup> ŏ:-ž̃-à?	<sup>n</sup> !ŏ:-é
house-sp3pl.PC	open-3m.sg.obj.
opened the house.	

## 9.2.5 /-: kí/, /-kí/, /-kì/

Table 9.8 gives some examples which appear to show the suffixes  $-\frac{2}{5}k_{1/2}/-k$ 

	Stem	Gloss	Derived form	Gloss
1	mǎːmáː	friend (n.)	mă:mấ:kí	be friends
2	t∫ <sup>h</sup> ấ:	oil, fat (n.)	t∫ <sup>h</sup> ấ̂:kầ	be fat
3	t∫ <sup>h</sup> ě:	absent (adj.)	t∫ <sup>h</sup> ě:kí	be finished, destroyed
4	ít <sup>h</sup> à	far (adv.)	ít <sup>h</sup> ấ:kí	be long

Table 9.8 Verbs derived with  $/-f k_1/$ ,  $/-k_1/$ ,  $/-k_1/$ 

These are the only examples of this kind that have been found. Two of these examples are illustrated in the following examples from the text corpus:

(45) ?à: ?úrâ? mà:mầ:kì
?á: ?úrì-à? mă:má:-: kí
NC(3pl.) very-3pl.PC friend-verb.
And they were very good friends.

(46) ?àmànà hèwésí? mònà k<sup>hw</sup>ă:xisū:gì
?ámáná hèwé-sí? mò-nà k<sup>hw</sup>à-é-xì-sū:-ì
perhaps he-loc. spirit-to return-3m.sg.obj.-ben.-1pl.obj.-irr.(-3m.sg.)
Perhaps he will have mercy on us
(Lit. Perhaps he will return for us the spirit.)

nĩ	pònàsữ̃:gĩ	?ò:	mě:	t∫ <sup>h</sup> è:kì	
nī́:	póná-sū́-ì	?ó:	mě:	t∫ <sup>h</sup> ě:-kí	
and	heal-1pl.objirr.(-3m.sg.)	SC(1pl.)	neg.	absent-verb.	
and heal us so that we are not destroyed.					

## 9.3 Deriving adjectives

(Also see section 11.4 for examples of how the possessive construction can be used in the same way as an adjective.)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>52</sup> Note that /-:  $\hat{k}$  kí/ and /-kí/ are also reciprocal suffixes (see section 5.7.3).

### 9.3.1 /- t<sup>h</sup>éː/

The suffix  $/\frac{1}{2}$  t<sup>h</sup>é:/ is a productive means of deriving adjectives (and quantifiers) from intransitive verbs:<sup>53</sup>

	Stem	Gloss	Derived form	Gloss
1	bà?é	be big	bà?ể t <sup>h</sup> é:	big, very big
2	dě:	be many	dě; t <sup>h</sup> é:	many, very many
3	łáwé	be good,	łáwê thé:	good, very good
4	ts'ŏ:	be small	ts'ời thé:	small, very small

Table 9.9 Deverbal adjectives with /-, t<sup>h</sup>é:/

On some occasions, the translation of this suffix has indicated that it may also have an intensifying function, as indicated by the glosses given in the table.

The following example shows the use of the suffix / $\frac{1}{2}$  t<sup>h</sup>é:/ in deriving a quantifier:

(47)	hîsį	l'ề:i?	łéká?	táxį		
	hî-sì	'ě:-ì?	łéká?	táxì		
	when-1sg.	.PC see-sub.	el. like	just		
	It feels jus	st like				
	!'òròrỗ: frog	0		ně:-: ź stay-&	!' <sup>w</sup> ă:tâ? !' <sup>w</sup> ă:-tà-à? puddle-in-3pl.PC	pùndùsè pùndùsé swim

## 9.3.2 /-? tó/

The suffix has  $/-?_{o}$  tó/ has a similar function to /= t<sup>h</sup>é:/ in that it derives adjectives from intransitive verbs. However, it is not associated with an intensifying function and it is restricted in its productivity. The three examples in table 9.10 are the only ones containing the  $/-?_{o}$  tó/ suffix which have been attested so far:

Table 9.10 Deverbal adjectives with /- ? tó/

	Stem	Gloss	Derived form	Gloss
1	l' <sup>w</sup> ě:	be narrow	l'™ě:?₀ tó	narrow
2	má:	be few	má:? tó	few
3	ts'ŏ:	be small	ts'ð:? ٍtó	small

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>53</sup> The tone of the / $\frac{1}{2}$  t<sup>h</sup>é:/ suffix may instead be analysed as a rising tone on the /e:/. Both these underlying tone patterns result in the same surface tone patterns after suffixation.

Adjectives may also be derived from these three verbs by means of the / $\frac{1}{2}$  t<sup>h</sup>é:/ suffix, in which case an intensified meaning is expressed. See (42) in section 9.2.3 for an example of the suffix /-? tó/ from the text corpus.

## 9.3.3 /-tò/

The suffix /-tò/ derives an adjective from a verb containing object marking:<sup>54</sup>

	Stem	Gloss	Derived form	Gloss
1	húk' <sup>w</sup> ā:	kill (3m.sg.obj.)	húk' <sup>w</sup> ā:tò	killed (for sg. noun)
2	tl'ìt <sup>h</sup> é:	slaughter (3m.sg.obj.)	tl'ìt <sup>h</sup> é:tò	slaughtered (for sg. noun)
3	<sup>n</sup>  ě:	cut (3m.sg.obj.)	<sup>n</sup>  ě:tò	cut (for sg. noun)
4	<sup>n</sup>  è?wá:	cut (3i.pl.obj.)	<sup>n</sup>  è?wá:tò	cut (for pl. noun)

Table 9.11 Deverbal adjectives with /- tò/

This process is productive and applies to verbs with either singular or plural objects.

# 10 Mood, reality, and aspect

# 10.1 Declaratives

There are four types of declarative in Sandawe, as illustrated by the following elicited examples:

- (1) t<sup>h</sup>ímésâ t<sup>h</sup>ímé-sà cook-3f.sg.PC She cooks./ She cooked.
- (2)  $t^{h}$ ímét $\int^{h}$ ū  $t^{h}$ ímé-t $\int^{h}$ i-sú cook-neg.-3f.sg. She did not cook.
- (3) t<sup>h</sup>ímêsụ t<sup>h</sup>ímé-ì-sù cook-irr.-3f.sg. She will cook./ She used to cook./ She would cook./ She would have cooked.

## (4) $t^{h}$ ímésuts'ē

t<sup>h</sup>ímé-ì-sù-ts'é cook-irr.-3f.sg.-neg. She does not cook./ She will not cook./ She used not to cook./ She would not cook./ She would not have cooked.

 $<sup>^{54}</sup>$  Van de Kimmenade (1936:26) refers to the form derived by /-tò/ as a past participle.

In the analysis adopted here, the clause type represented by (1) and (2) is referred to as the *realis* and the clause type represented by the remaining two examples as the *irrealis*. The *realis/irrealis* distinction is one of mood. It has been defined as 'the grammaticalised expression of the location of an event or state in either the real world or in some hypothesised, but not real, world' (Elliott, 2000:81). In Sandawe, the realis clause type is used to describe events which are happening now, habitually happen, or have already happened. The irrealis clause type is used to describe possible future events, events which once happened habitually but no longer do so and hypothetical or counterfactual events.

The realis and irrealis clause types will now be discussed in greater detail.

## **10.1.1 Realis affirmative**

As example (1) indicates, the realis affirmative is used for clauses with a present or past time reference. The distinction between present and past time reference is usually made clear by context, the use of time adverbs or by aspectual marking (see section 10.4).

## 10.1.1.1 Pronominal clitics (PCs)

In realis clauses, constituents may be followed by a morpheme indicating the person, gender and number of the subject. This morpheme is referred to here as a realis *pronominal clitic* (PC).<sup>55</sup> The PC is attached to non-subject clause constituents, such as objects and adverbs, as well as, or instead of, to the verb. The following table lists the set of realis PCs:

Table 10.1 Realis pronominal clitics

	Realis pronominal clitic
1sg.	Sì
2sg.	ì
3m.sg.	à
3f.sg.	sà
<i>1pl</i> .	ò
2 <i>pl</i> .	è
<i>3pl.</i>	à?

The choice of which constituents are marked with a realis PC depends on the information structure of the clause (see section 12.3). It is common for objects and not verbs to be marked:

 (5) pà: <sup>n</sup>|<sup>w</sup>ă: kútú:mbî mé:â síế: pá: <sup>n</sup>|<sup>w</sup>ă:-ĩ kútú:mbì mé:-à sí-é-ĩ
 NC(3m.sg.) elephant-sp. tree.trunk big-3m.sg.PC take-3m.sg.obj.-& Then Elephant took a big tree trunk and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>55</sup> This set of morphemes is termed the 'suffixed subject PGN' series by Elderkin (1989:25).

kòŋkò?sế:	∥'ò∥'ẫ:ts'ầ:	tł'àp <sup>h</sup> è
kòŋkò?sé-é-: ˜	∥'ò∥'á-: ̃-ts'ì̥-à	tł'àp <sup>h</sup> é
raise-3m.sg.obj&	baboon-spat-3m.sg.PC	hit
raised it up to hit Ba	boon.	

Note also how the PC is attached to the end of the NP 'big tree trunk'.

When a realis verb is not marked with a PC, its tone pattern is lowered, as seen in /tł'àp<sup>h</sup>é/ 'hit' in the preceding example. However, this lowering process does not take place if the verb is suffixed with a connective morpheme (either /- $\frac{c}{2}$ / or /-à/) or the durative morpheme /-jó!/. An example of this can be seen in the tone pattern of /síé/ 'take' in the first clause of the example. Two further exceptions are that the verb tone pattern is not lowered if the verb is a HL melody word which follows a H tone, or if the verb follows a word which does not contain any H tone. These exceptions also hold for the tone lowering process seen in genitives (see section 2.6.1). A final exception for verbs is that a realis verb following a NC retains its lexical tone pattern when the clause does not contain any realis pronominal clitics or the SF marker (see section 7.3).

Adverbs (with the exception of those referring to time)<sup>56</sup> are also commonly marked with the relevant PC in realis clauses and thus it is possible for one clause to contain many marked constituents, as the following example illustrates:

(6)	hèwé?gềsị	téłâs	5į	t∫í	kìmằːsi
	hèwé? gê-sì	téłà-	-sì	t∫í	kímấ:-デ-sì
	and.so-1sg.PC	com	pletely-1sg.PC	[I	poisonous.arrow] <sub>GEN</sub> -sp1sg.PC
	łá:sį	làní	ts'è:ònầsį		pè:
	łá:-sì	làní	ts'é:ò-nà-sì		pě:
			string] <sub>GEN</sub> -to-1 onous arrow cor		C put tely well on the bow string.

It is rare for a verb to be marked with a PC if there are other constituents in the clause which could be marked instead. The third clause of the following example contains a marked verb:

(7)	k <sup>h</sup> ố:	<sup>n</sup> !ŏ:wék <sup>w</sup> ē:t∫ <sup>h</sup> ō	rõ:gā:	xầ
	k <sup>h</sup> ŏ:-ž	<sup>n</sup> !ŏ:-é-kù-é:-t∫ <sup>h</sup> ì-só	rố:-á:	xâ
		open-3m.sg.objben3m.sg.objneg3a.pl. 't open the house for him, the voice was bad,	voice-SF	be.bad
	1.202.	٩.		

bà?â:?úrâ:bà?é-à?úrì-àbe.big-3m.sg.PCvery-3m.sg.PCit was very big.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>56</sup> Time adverbs may be marked with a realis PC, but this is not the default option.

The distribution of realis PCs in a clause is not unrestricted. The following constituent order conditions must be met (see also Elderkin 1989:106 and Kagaya 1990:3–5):

- 1. A verb without a PC must not precede the first PC or SF marker of a clause.
- 2. A verb with a PC must not be preceded by another PC or a SF marker in the same clause.

(The SF (subject focus) marker will be discussed in the following section.)

The second restriction above does not apply if a verb which is followed by both a realis PC and the connective morpheme  $/-\hat{z}/$  is preceded by another verb followed by a realis PC. In such an example, the two verbs are thus considered as belonging to separate clauses.

The realis is mainly used for describing events which have a present or past time reference. However, it is possible to use the realis for describing future events, as in the following example:

(8)	<sup>n</sup> !ê	?àròbàínā:	híâ?	l'ùsùk <sup>w</sup> ì:?	nìnéwì	t∫ <sup>h</sup> ě:kíâ	
	<sup>n</sup> !ê	?àròbàînì-á:	hí-à?	ľúsúkỳ-ì?	nìnêwì	t∫ <sup>h</sup> ě:-kí-à	
	day	forty-SF	when-3pl.PC	pass-sub.cl.	Nineveh	absent-verb3m.sg.PC	
When forty days have passed, Nineveh will have been destroyed.							

Without the preceding context, this example could equally well be understood as describing past events and, thus, glossed 'When forty days had passed, Nineveh was destroyed'. Using the realis, rather than the irrealis, for this example, gives added weight to the proclamation that the event in question will happen.

#### 10.1.1.2 Subject focus (SF) marker

The subject in a realis clause is optionally followed by the *subject focus (SF) marker* /-á:/.<sup>57</sup> This morpheme is attached to the end of a subject NP, as shown in the following two examples:

> tree top-at-1sg.PC climb-& stay I had climbed and was staying up in the tree.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>57</sup> This morpheme is labelled as a *nominative morpheme* by Elderkin (1986:133) and as a *subject emphasis affix* by Kagaya (1990:4).

(10)  ${}^{n}|\hat{i}: t \int^{h} i \bar{a}: ?i \bar{e}: k \delta p \delta k \delta p \delta$  ${}^{n}|\hat{i}: t \int^{h} i \hat{a} \cdot \dot{a}: ?i \bar{e} \cdot \ddot{z} k \delta p \delta k \delta p \delta$ body all-SF stay-& shake The whole body was shaking.

Pronouns may also be followed by the SF marker:

If the subject of a realis clause is first person plural, the alternative form /-só:/ may be used instead of /-s $\tilde{u}$ :-á:/ '1pl.-SF':

(12)	pò:	<sup>n</sup> ∥ŏ:kóxį́sō:	mānt∫ <sup>h</sup> ầ
	pó:	<sup>n</sup> ∥ŏ:kó-xì̥-só:	mánt∫ <sup>h</sup> à
	NC(1pl.)	children-et.al1pl.SF	eat
	Then I/we	eat with the children.	

As with realis PCs, the choice to use a SF marker is influenced by discourse structure (see section 12.3). The SF marker can also be used in imperative and subjunctive constructions, as will be seen in section 10.3.1.

#### **10.1.2 Realis negative**

In the realis negative clause, the verb is marked with the negative morpheme /- $t\int^{h}i$ /, which is followed by a high toned PGN morpheme in agreement with the subject of the clause. Table 10.2 gives the assimilated forms which result from the combination of these two morphemes:

	Negative	PGN	Assimilated form
1sg.	t∫ <sup>h</sup> ì	sé	[t∫ <sup>h</sup> e]
2sg.	t∫ <sup>h</sup> ì	pó	[po]
3m.sg.	t∫ <sup>h</sup> ì	é:	[t∫ <sup>h</sup> e:]
3f.sg.	t∫ <sup>h</sup> ì	sú	[t∫ <sup>h</sup> u]
1pl.	t∫ <sup>h</sup> ì	sấ:	[t∫ <sup>h</sup> ũː]
2 <i>pl</i> .	t∫ <sup>h</sup> ì	sĩ́:	[t∫ <sup>h</sup> ĩː]
<i>3pl.</i>	t∫ <sup>h</sup> ì	só	[t∫ <sup>h</sup> o]

Table 10.2 Assimilation of negative morpheme and high toned PGN morphemes

The following example illustrates the realis negative clause:

(13) hà: sĩ: tá:?wầ  $^{n}|^{w}$ è:?ĩ: |ít $\int^{h}$ ē: há: sĩ: tá:?wà  $^{n}|^{w}$ é:-ĩ: |í-t $\int^{h}$ ì-é: nor [you(pl.) good-3i.pl. do]<sub>GEN</sub>-with come-neg.-3m.sg. Nor did it come by your (pl.) doing good things.

The underlying form of the negative morpheme is analysed as  $/-t\int^{h}i/because$  the following form from an older speaker of Sandawe has been attested:

 (14) mě:nā:t∫<sup>h</sup>ìpò mě:nà-é-t∫<sup>h</sup>ì-pó like-3m.sg.obj.-neg.-2sg. You didn't like it.

Two other attested forms from older speakers of Sandawe contain the segment /-t $\int^h e$ -/, in which the vowel of the negative morpheme has assimilated to the quality of the following PGN morpheme:

- (15) mě:nā:t∫<sup>h</sup>èt∫<sup>h</sup>è mě:nà-é-t∫<sup>h</sup>ì-sé like-3m.sg.obj.-neg.-1sg. I didn't like it.
- (16) mě:nā:tſ<sup>h</sup>èsè mě:nà-é-tſ<sup>h</sup>ì-sé like-3m.sg.obj.-neg.-1sg. I didn't like it.

Note also the repetition of the /-t $\int^h$ -/ segment in (15).

It is grammatical for constituents in a realis negative clause which are neither subjects nor verbs to be followed by a realis PC in agreement with the subject of the clause. It is also grammatical for the subject in such a clause to be followed by the SF marker. The following two elicited examples illustrate this:

- kòlŏ:sà dlòmó:t∫<sup>h</sup>ū
  kòlŏ:-ċ -sà dlòmó-é-t∫<sup>h</sup>ì-sú
  hoe-sp.-3f.sg.PC buy-3m.sg.obj.-neg.-3f.sg.
  She didn't buy the hoe (*she bought something else instead*).
- (18) t∫á: t<sup>h</sup>érề: ?á:mét∫<sup>h</sup>ē t∫í-á: t<sup>h</sup>érè-: ?á:mé-t∫<sup>h</sup>ì-sé I-SF pot-sp. break(3m.sg.obj.)-neg.-1sg. I didn't break the pot (someone else did).

This phenomenon has not been attested in the text corpus and it is unclear how common it is. On the basis of the way in which the elicited examples were translated into Swahili by a speaker of Sandawe, it seems likely that the distribution of realis PCs and the SF marker in the realis negative clause type corresponds in function to the distribution of these morphemes in realis affirmative clauses, in that it is influenced by information structure (see section 12.3).

When the adverb /táxì/ modifies an affirmative verb (either realis or irrealis), it can be glossed as 'just, only'. However, when this adverb modifies a realis negative verb, it instead has the function of changing the negative to an affirmative and adding the meaning 'very':

- (19)  $t\int \check{u}: \ \ ^{n}|\partial ts'itf^{h}\grave{e}: \ \ t\dot{a}x_{i}$  $t\int \check{u}: \ \ ^{n}|\partial ts'itf^{h}\grave{e}: \ \ t\dot{a}x_{i}$ animal fear-reflex.-neg.-3m.sg. very It was a very frightening animal.

This phenomenon is not evident with irrealis negatives, where the adverb /taxi/ cannot be used to modify the verb.

The following example appears to contain a noun which is suffixed with the same combination of the negative morpheme /-t $\int^h i$ / plus a high toned PGN morpheme that is used in the realis negative clause:

(21)	dlòmók <sup>w</sup> ê	ts'é:wáts'ề̃:ts'į	ị nĩ:	d3ík'ékí
	dlòmó-k <sup>w</sup> è	ts'é:-wà-ts'è-:ें	-ts'ì nī́:	dʒík'é-kí
	buy-2pl.Imp.PC	drink-multapp	lspat and	milk-add.
	Buy things to drin	k and also milk,		
	$h > \chi ch - \chi$	\1×1-		$(1)$ $(h^{2})$
	p <sup>h</sup> èsă:t∫ <sup>h</sup> ē:ts'į	mèhě̃:kī		tùkè:t∫ <sup>h</sup> ī̇̀:gà:
	p <sup>h</sup> èsă:-t∫ <sup>h</sup> ì-é:-ts'ì	mèhě́: -k	tí	tû-kù-é:-t∫è-sī́-a:
	money-neg3m.s without money, w	-	• • • •	come.out-caus3m.sg.objfrom-2plSF a thing.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>58</sup> The tone pattern of /mèhě́: / 'something' suggests that it contains the specificity morpheme /-: ', but it is not possible to separate this morpheme from the word.

#### **10.1.3 Irrealis affirmative**

In the irrealis affirmative clause, the verb is suffixed with a low toned PGN morpheme in agreement with the subject of the clause.<sup>59</sup> Table 10.3 lists the PGN morphemes which are used in this clause:

	Low toned PGN
lsg.	Sì
2sg.	pò
3m.sg.	Ø
Зf.sg.	sù
1pl.	sữ:, sà
2 <i>pl</i> .	sĩ
<i>3pl.</i>	sò

Table 10.3 Low-toned PGN morphemes

Note that the third person masculine PGN morpheme is zero, rather than any of the segmental forms of this morpheme as used in other constructions (see table 2.1 in section 2.2). It should also be noted that the first person plural PGN morpheme has two variants. The variant /-sull' is the more common of the two.

Following Elderkin (1986:132), the analysis is adopted here that the irrealis morpheme /-ì/ precedes the PGN morpheme in the clause under discussion. In all but the third person masculine form, the irrealis morpheme is reduced to a floating low tone.<sup>60</sup> This can be seen in the following example, where the falling tones in both /t<sup>w</sup>à:si/ 'Iwill dig it' and /!'ò:wềsi/ 'I will get him' are caused by the floating low tone of the irrealis morpheme.

(22)	hole c	t <sup>w</sup> á-é-ì-sì	nī́: and	hík'ì̥-ŕ́	!'ò:wềsị !'ŏ:-é-ì-sì get-3m.sg.objirr1sg.
		t <sup>h</sup> ò:t <sup>h</sup> ò:kìsṻ́: t <sup>h</sup> ŏ:t <sup>h</sup> ŏ:kí-ì-sū̀: .) jump-irr1pl.			

so that we can jump.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>59</sup> The tone pattern of the irrealis verb may undergo the tone lowering process. The application of this process is determined by discourse factors discussed in section 12.3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>60</sup> An exception to this statement can be seen in verbs which end in a glottal stop and are suffixed with either of the two low-toned PGN morphemes that contain a voiceless vowel (namely,  $/-s_{v}^{2}/(1sg.)$  and  $/-s_{v}^{2}/(3f.sg.)$ ). Under such circumstances, the vowel which surfaces after the glottal stop and before the PGN morpheme is either a copy of the vowel which precedes the glottal stop, or /i/, presumably as a consequence of the presence of the irrealis morpheme. Thus,  $/ná?-i-s_{v}^{2}/(s_{v}-s_{v})$  has two possible pronunciations:  $[ná?as_{v}]$  and  $[ná?is_{v}]$ .

In the third person masculine singular, the irrealis morpheme occurs in its full form (before the zero PGN morpheme). This is shown in the second clause of the following example:

(23) ?ó?sī? mě:náts'ī?i: tùsi: ?ó?,-sí? mě:nà-ts'í-?i: tû-ì-si: there(ref.)-loc. like-reflex.-with come.out-irr.-2pl. It is then you (pl.) will come out with joy,
pútł'úmá?i: xèsi:gi pútł'úmà-?i: xé-si:-i peace-with lead-2pl.obj.-irr.(-3m.sg.) he will lead you (pl.) with peace.

If two verbs in the irrealis affirmative clause are conjoined by the connective morpheme  $/-\hat{z}/$ , the verb which is not suffixed with this morpheme must be suffixed with the irrealis and PGN morphemes (as in example (22)). The relative order of the two verbs does not affect which must be suffixed with these morphemes.

The two examples given so far in the section use the irrealis clause in a way which corresponds to a future tense. As Elderkin (1989:28) noted, the clause type under discussion here 'frequently serves as a future tense', but has other functions as well. One of these functions is to describe events which habitually took place in the past, but no longer do so now. The following example comes from a text which describes how Sandawe children were raised in the past:

(24)	!èkð:	! <sup>h</sup> ùmè	rìŋgìsò:	n∥ŏ:	mánt∫ <sup>h</sup> ákụsồ
	!èkð:	! <sup>h</sup> ùmé	rìngísó:	<sup>n</sup> ∥ŏ:	mánt∫ <sup>h</sup> à-kù̥-ì-sò
	[[millet	flour] <sub>GEN</sub>	porridge] <sub>GEN</sub>	child	eat-causirr3a.pl.
	They wo	ould feed the	e child millet fl	our por	ridge.

If this example were taken out of its context, it could equally well be translated with the future tense as 'they will feed the child millet flour porridge'. The two possible translations have in common that they do not describe events which happen or are happening now. Such events would instead be described using a realis clause.

In some examples, the past habitual meaning of the irrealis clause is made clear by the addition of adverbs which refer to past time:

(25)	n∥ŏ:	ts'ŏ:?tō	?ùtà:	bìk <sup>h</sup> é:sòts'è			
	n∥ŏ:	ts'ðː-?͡ tó	?útá:	bìk <sup>h</sup> é-é-ì-sò-ts'é			
	child	small	long.ago	leave-3m.sg.objirr3a.plneg.			
	Long ago they would not leave a small child.						

The irrealis affirmative is also used to describe events which have already happened, but which are yet to come from a perspective within a narrative. The following example comes

from a narrative about a pig hunting trip, which is mainly described using the realis, but uses the irrealis for events which are described before their actual occurrence:

(26) kísósồ:sồ mòkóndố: l'à?wà:sồ kísò-sò-: -sò mòkóndó-: l'à:-wá:-ì-sò two-3a.pl.-sp.-3a.pl. track-sp. follow-3i.pl.obj.-irr.-3a.pl. Two were to follow the tracks.

Also see section 10.5 for examples of the use of the irrealis clause following a conditional clause.

#### **10.1.4 Irrealis negative**

The negative of the irrealis clause discussed in the preceding section is formed by suffixing the negative morpheme /-ts'é/ after the PGN morpheme on the verb:

(27) dă:siţts'ē dă:-ì-sì-ts'é be.able-irr.-1sg.-neg. I can't./ I won't be able to.

As example (27) shows, this form is ambiguous between a present and a future meaning. Like the corresponding affirmative, the negative form can also have a past habitual meaning:

(28)	dó:lókī	?àŋkʰá	∥'ǎ:nt∫ <sup>h</sup> ímásūkề:sòts'è
	dó:lò-kí	?ànkʰá	∥'ǎ:nt∫ <sup>h</sup> ímà-sí-kù̥-é:-ì-sò-ts'é
	a.little-add.	even	be.sweet-posscaus3m.sg.objirr3a.plneg.
	They would	not make	it even a little sweet,

kà?	k <sup>w</sup> à:	mě:	tł'àbísó:á:	kûmuk <sup>w</sup> ē:		
ká?	k <sup>w</sup> á:	mě:	tł'àbísó:-á:	kûmù-kù-é:		
hear.	SC(3m.sg.)	neg.	stomach-SF	hurt-ben3m.sg.obj.		
apparently so that the stomach would not hurt.						

## **10.2 Interrogatives**

## **10.2.1 Question-word interrogatives**

#### 10.2.1.1 Who

The Sandawe word /hô/ can be glossed as 'who':

(29) hô hóbê tùkềi
hô hóbè tû-kỳ-è:-ì
who what come.out-caus.-3m.sg.obj.-irr.(-3m.sg.)
Who will contribute what?

When the subject in an irrealis clause is unknown, such as in the example above, the PGN morpheme attached to the verb is third person masculine singular. In realis clauses, it is usual for  $/h\hat{o}/$ , as a focused constituent, to be followed by either a SF marker or a realis PC, according to whether it is a subject or object. The following elicited examples illustrate this:

- (30) hóā: lì hô-á: lí who-SF come Who came?
- (31) hósầ tł'àp<sup>h</sup>ùmè: hô-sà tł'àp<sup>h</sup>ùmé-é who-3f.sg.PC beat-3m.sg.obj. Whom did she beat?

The following are more elicited examples to show how  $/h\hat{o}/can$  be suffixed with different morphemes to indicate its number:

- (32) hókā: <sup>n</sup>|àtì hô-kó-á: <sup>n</sup>|àtí who-pl.-SF come Who (pl.) came?
- (33) hókósâ tł'àp<sup>h</sup>ùmè?ì: hô-kó-sà tł'àp<sup>h</sup>ùmé-?í: who-pl.-3f.sg.PC beat-3a.pl.obj. Whom (pl.) did she beat?
- (34) hókīā: <sup>n</sup>làtì hô-kí-á: <sup>n</sup>làtí who-add.-SF come Who else came?
- (35) hókóxikīā: <sup>n</sup>làtì hô-kó-xì-kí-á: <sup>n</sup>làtí who-pl.-et.al.-add.-SF come Who (pl.) else came?

Note how in (34) a plural verb is used, although the subject is singular.

The following elicited example shows how  $/h\hat{o}/can$  function as the modifier in a genitive and thus be glossed 'whose':

 $/h\hat{o}/can also be followed by a postposition, the pronominal morpheme /-ì/ or the postpositional morpheme /-ts'ì/ in its object marking function, as in the following elicited examples:$ 

- (37) hómề:i t<sup>h</sup>ìmê hô-kìmé:-ì t<sup>h</sup>ímé who-bec.-2sg.PC<sup>61</sup> cook For whom did you cook?
- hùmbề: hôi
   hùmbù-i hô-ì
   cow-sp. who-pro.
   Whose is the cow?
- (39) hóts'ì: t<sup>w</sup>àrầ hô-ts'ì-ì t<sup>w</sup>árầ who-at-2sg.PC carry Whom are you carrying?

#### 10.2.1.2 What

The words  $/hót \int \delta / (which has the alternative pronunciation <math>/hót \int \overline{o}! / \delta^2$ ) and  $/hób \delta / both$  mean 'what'. Both words are used throughout the Sandawe speaking area, but the first is more common. The second word is more likely to be heard in the Eastern dialect.

As with /hô/ 'who', /hót jo/ and /hóbe/ are usually followed by a PC or SF marker in realis clauses, according to whether they are the subject or object of the verb:

 (40) hót∫î: ?íxi <sup>n|w</sup>è: hót∫ò-ì ?íxì <sup>n|w</sup>é: what-2sg.PC thus do What did you do in this way?

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>61</sup> The 2sg.PC /-ì/ is not shown with a surface tone mark because, when the tone pattern of this word is whistled by a Sandawe speaker, the final vowel is not whistled separately, as would be usual. Other morphemes which are not given separate whistles are the pronominal morpheme /-ì/, the irrealis morpheme /-ì/, the subordinate clause morpheme /-ì?/ and the low toned third person masculine singular PGN morpheme /-ù/. Elderkin (1989:46–50) analyses the vowels in such morphemes as the *syllable closures* /-ĵ/ and /-ŵ/, respectively.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>62</sup> The tone pattern of this form suggested that it is a derived form.

Unlike /hô/, /hótʃò/ and /hóbè/ do not vary in form according to number.

Both /hót $\int \partial$ / and /hób $\partial$ / can function as the modifier in a genitive NP, as in the following example:

(41) hóbê jà?àbồi jà?bèwầ hóbè já?ábò-ì jà?bé-wà
[what work]<sub>GEN</sub>-2sg.PC do.work-mult. What work do you do?
(Lit. Work of what do you do?)

Also see example (2) in section 8.1.1.

/hót $\int \delta$ / and /hóbè/ can also be followed by a postposition, as in the following elicited example:

 (42) hót∫ó?ĩ:gĩ n|è: hót∫ò-?ĩ:-ì n|ě:-é what-with-2sg.PC cut-3m.sg.obj. With what did you cut it?

#### 10.2.1.3 Why

Sandawe has several different means of asking 'why'. Perhaps the most common is to use one of the words meaning 'what', as illustrated in the preceding section, and suffix the postpositional morpheme /-kìmé:/ 'because':

(43) hót∫ómì: ∥ó?nà ?íế: hík'wà hót∫ò-kìmé:-ì ∥ó?-nà ?íé-i hík'ì-wà what-bec.-2sg.PC there-to stay-& go-mult. Why do you keep going there? (*Lit. Because of what...*)

It is also possible to use the question-word /hôsì/ 'why':

(44)	hôsịnà	k <sup>w</sup> ì:	t <sup>h</sup> ě:	k <sup>Į</sup> ' <sup>w</sup> é:sê	<sup>n</sup> ∥ìnsè
	hôsì-ná	k <sup>w</sup> í:	t <sup>h</sup> ě:	t‡' <sup>w</sup> éː-sí-è	<sup>n</sup> ∥î̂:-sé
	why-qu.	NC(2sg.)	tree	be.bitter-poss3m.sg.	paint-1sg.obj.
	Why did	you paint m	ne with	n a bitter tree,	

sì:	mě:sį	tłà:sį
sí:	mě:-sì	tłă:sì
NC(1sg.)	neg1sg.PC	die
and then I	nearly died?	

Unlike other question-words, /hôsì/ is not followed by a PC in a realis clause. Instead, it must be followed by either a NC, as above, or a RC or a SC, in order to identify the subject of the clause. One exception to this has been attested in the text corpus:

(45) bók<sup>w</sup>ā: hôsi híkâ: ?ìè
bô- k<sup>w</sup>á: hôsi híkí-à ?íé
say-2sg.Imp.PC why how-3m.sg.PC stay
Say, why is it thus?

Here, /hôsi/ is instead followed by another question-word, to which a realis PC in agreement with the subject of the clause is attached.

A third means of expressing 'why' in Sandawe involves the form  $/h\hat{e}:/$ , which must be followed by a high-toned PGN morpheme which agrees with the object of the clause:

(46)	màmà	hễːsìː	llèsè
	màmá	hễ:-sé-ì	∥ê-sé
	grandmother	why-1sg2sg.PC	throw-1sg.obj.
	Grandmother,	why have you thro	wn me?

This construction can therefore only be used in clauses in which the verb has an object. The preceding example is the only instance of this construction in the text corpus and it is unclear how commonly it is used.

#### 10.2.1.4 Where

Locational question-words in Sandawe are derived from the form /há-/ and the appropriate postpositional suffix. If no movement is involved, the postposition /-kù/ 'at' is used:

(47)	hákų	tìmųwà:pồ	l <sup>h</sup> ĭ:â	<sup>n</sup> ∥ò:kồ:
	há-kù	tímỳ-wá:-ì-pò	l <sup>h</sup> ĭ:à	<sup>n</sup> ∥ŏ:kó-ž
	where-at	swallow-3i.pl.objirr2sg.	[dik.dik	children] <sub>GEN</sub> -sp.
	Where wi	ll you swallow Dik-dik's chil	dren?	

This postposition is also used in order to express 'where from', in which case it is followed by the postposition  $/-t\int e/$  'from':

 (48) hákupā: lì há-kù-t∫è-pó-á: lí where-at-from-2sg.-SF come Where have you come from?

Movement to a place is expressed either by /há-? tè-nà/ 'where-dir.-to' or /há-nà/ 'where-to'.

A locational interrogative can also be formed using the adjective stem /hàmbě:/ 'where' in a copular construction:

(49) hàpú hàmbě:pó hàpú hàmbě:-pó you where-2sg. Where are you?

#### 10.2.1.5 When

The question-words /há? sè/, /há? ìèsè/, and /há? sú:/ can all be translated 'when'. Like other question-words, they tend to be followed by a PC in realis clauses, as the following elicited example shows:

(50)	nâmų	há?sèsầ	<sup>n</sup> ∥è:
	námù	há? sè-sà	<sup>n</sup> ∥ě:
	Namu	when-3f.sg.PC	arrive
	When c	lid Namu arrive?	

#### 10.2.1.6 How

/híkí/ in Sandawe can be translated as 'how, in what way':

(51) hàpú híkâ: ìè hàpú híkí-à íé how-3m.sg.PC vou stay You, how is it, <sup>n</sup>∥ínế: k<sup>w</sup>ì: íế: **∥'**ồ íé-ŕ <sup>n</sup>∥íné-í́ k<sup>w</sup>í: **∥'ô** NC(2sg.) stay-& lie.down-& sleep you are lying down and sleeping? (52) híkí bôsu hísâ lì:? híkí bô-ì-sù hí-sà lí-ì? how say-irr.-3f.sg. when-3f.sg. come-sub.cl. How will she speak, when she comes? bàhárĩ: <sup>n</sup>|<sup>w</sup>èpò k<sup>w</sup>à: là?tè (53) híkí?ô bàhârì-r híkí-ò? <sup>n</sup>∣<sup>w</sup>é-pó k<sup>w</sup>á: là?té how-1pl.Subj.PC do-2sg.obj. SC(3m.sg.) sea-sp. calm.down What shall we do to you, so that the sea calms down? (Lit. How shall we do you...)

It is also possible to use /híkí/ as an adverb with the meaning 'how, thus', as in example (45).

#### 10.2.1.7 Which

The Sandawe interrogative demonstrative resembles the referential demonstrative in form (see section 6.4.1). The difference between the two sets of forms is found in the first vowel, which is /a/ for the interrogative set and /e/ for the referential set. The following example contains the masculine form of the interrogative demonstrative:

(54) hàwế: !'ùmằ:pà: lì hàwé: !'ùmă: $\dot{t} - t \hat{t} - p \hat{t} - a \hat{t}$ [which(3m.sg.)-sp. earth]<sub>GEN</sub>- sp.-from-2sg.-SF come Which country have you come from? (*Lit. Country of which*...)

The feminine form is /hàsú/, the animate plural form is /hàsó/ and the inanimate plural form is /hàwéxé:/. All these forms must be suffixed with the specificity morpheme (and the appropriate low-toned PGN morpheme).

#### 10.2.1.8 How many/much

The question-word /hánè/ means 'how many' or 'how much'. When referring to animates, it is suffixed with the third person plural high-toned PGN morpheme, as in the following elicited example:

(55)	<sup>n</sup> ∥ŏ:kó	hánésā:	k <sup>hw</sup> à
	<sup>n</sup> ∥ŏ:kó	hánè-só-á:	$k^{hw}$ à
	children	how.many-3a.plSF	return
	How mar	y children returned?	

The following elicited example illustrates how when referring to inanimates, /hánè/ is suffixed with the *et al.* suffix /-x $\hat{i}$ /:

(56)	ts'â	hánéxì:	hà?wà:
	ts'â	hánè-xì-ì	hà?wá:
	water	how.much-et.al2sg.	collect(3i.pl.obj.)
	How n	nuch water did you coll	ect?

#### 10.2.1.9 /-ná/ Question suffix

The question suffix /-ná/ (glossed below as 'qu.') is sometimes found in both question-word interrogatives and yes/no interrogatives. It can occur on a question-word itself or on another constituent in the clause, following any morphemes which agree with the subject of the clause:

(57)	sàíbâ híkâ:nà sàíbà híkí-à-ná friend how-3m.sg. Friend, how is it	?ìè ?íé PC-qu. stay	
	k <sup>w</sup> ì: <sup>n</sup> ∥ìnè k <sup>w</sup> í: <sup>n</sup> ∥íné SC(2sg.) lie.down that you should lie d	dem.(prox.3m.sg.)	sâ:ts'i sâ:-:`-ts'ì time-spat
(58)	How will a youth att pà: łàùwà pá: łáúwà	é túrùtà l'ě:-wà life] <sub>GEN</sub> look-mu tend to his life, i	-ká-ì-nà ıltcomirr.(-3m.sg.)-qu.

In both of these examples, the question suffix can be omitted without altering propositional meaning. It may be appropriate to analyse it as a marker of prominence.

#### 10.2.2 Yes/no interrogatives

In Sandawe, questions which expect the answer 'yes' or 'no' include the interrogative morpheme /-ne/:

(59) hábúsấ: t∫á: pò:wèĩ: hàbùsénî: hábúsà-i t∫í-á: pó-é-ì-i hàbùsé-nè-ì
condition-sp. I-SF 2sg.obj.-3m.sg.obj.-pro.-sp. keep.condition-interrog.-2sg.PC Did you keep the condition which I gave you?

In realis clauses, the interrogative morpheme is suffixed to a focused constituent and followed by the SF marker or a PC, as appropriate. The following elicited examples illustrate this:

(60) gélénéā: hīk'i gélé-nè-á: hík'ì Gele-interrog.-SF go Did *Gele* go?

- (61) gélé hík'inà: gélé hík'ì-nè-à
  Gele go-interrog.-3m.sg.PC
  Did Gele go? /
  Did Gele go? (Sentence focus)
- (62) xò?â k<sup>h</sup>ŏ:nésà l<sup>h</sup>è:mè
  xò?â k<sup>h</sup>ŏ:-nè-sà l<sup>h</sup>è:mé
  Kho'a house-interrog.-3f.sg.PC sweep(3m.sg.obj.)
  Did Kho'a sweep the house? /
  Did Kho'a sweep the house? (Sentence focus)
- (63) xò?â k<sup>h</sup>č: |<sup>h</sup>ě:ménésầ xò?â k<sup>h</sup>č: |<sup>h</sup>ě:mé-nè-sà
  Kho'a house sweep-interrog.-3f.sg.PC Did Kho'a sweep the house? / (Verb focus) Did Kho'a sweep the house? (Polarity focus)

In irrealis clauses, the interrogative morpheme is suffixed to the verb, following the PGN morpheme, as in the following elicited examples:

- (64) gélé hík'î:nề gélé hík'ì-ì-nè Gele go-irr.(-3m.sg.)-interrog. Will Gele go?
- (65) xò?â <sup>n</sup>∥ĕ:t∫<sup>h</sup>ūnề xò?â <sup>n</sup>∥ĕ:-t∫<sup>h</sup>ì-sú-nè Kho'a arrive-neg.-3f.sg.-interrog. Didn't Kho'a arrive?
- (66) nâmu hík'îsuts'ènề námù hík'ì-ì-sù-ts'é-nè Namu go-irr.-3f.sg.-neg.-interrog. Won't Namu go?

It is grammatical for the interrogative morpheme to be attached to a constituent other than the verb in a realis negative clause, such as (65), for example. However, this is not a common strategy.

The interrogative morpheme can also be attached after the possessive morpheme in a possessive clause:

khố:tầ (67) hěî k<sup>h</sup>ŏ:-: tà hě:ù dem.(prox.3m.sg.) house-sp.-in máxáē: tékī kó:sénề máxà-é: té-è-kí kó:-sí-è-nè male-3m.sg. other-3m.sg.-add. present-poss.3m.sg.-interrog. Is there also another male in this house? (68) <sup>n</sup>|<sup>w</sup>à: l<sup>w</sup>ě:sípóts'ēnề <sup>n</sup>|<sup>w</sup>ă: l<sup>w</sup>ě:-sí-pò-ts'é-nè elephant eye-poss.-2sg.-neg.-interrog.

Copular clauses may also contain the interrogative morpheme, as shown in the following elicited example:

(69) hě:û hàpú kòkònề
hě:ù hàpú kòkó-nè
dem.(prox.3m.sg.) [you grandfather]<sub>GEN</sub>-interrog.
Is this your grandfather?

Elephant, don't you have eyes?

As noted by Elderkin (1989:131), the overall pitch of yes/no questions in Sandawe may be raised slightly. If this is done, it is possible for the interrogative morpheme to be omitted and, therefore, the pitch raise alone distinguishes the interrogative from a declarative. Thus, the following elicited example can be understood as a question or a statement, depending on the overall pitch level of the utterance:

(70) nâmų k<sup>h</sup>ŏ: |<sup>h</sup>ě:mét∫<sup>h</sup>ū námų k<sup>h</sup>ŏ: |<sup>h</sup>ě:mé-t∫<sup>h</sup>ì-sú Namu house sweep(3m.sg.obj.)-neg.-3f.sg. Hasn't Namu swept the house? / Namu has not swept the house.

### 10.3 Imperatives and subjunctives

The following table lists the set of pronominal clitics used in forming imperatives and subjunctives:

	Imperative/subjunctive pronominal clitic
1sg.	è?
2sg.	kò, `k <sup>w</sup> á:
3m.sg.	k <sup>w</sup> à, xìà
3f.sg.	xìsà
1pl.	ò?
<i>2pl.</i>	k <sup>w</sup> è
<i>3pl</i> .	k <sup>w</sup> à?à

Table 10.4 Imperative and subjunctive pronominal clitics

Like realis PCs, the imperative/subjunctive PCs may be attached to the verb or to other nonsubject clause constituents, but unlike the realis, it is usual for only one imperative/ subjunctive PC to occur per clause and it is possible for the PC to be attached to the subject, if it follows a SF marker.

#### **10.3.1 Affirmative**

The preceding PC set is described as *imperative/subjunctive* because the second person forms can be understood either as commands or wishes:<sup>63</sup>

(71)	∥àkíkô	?ò:	mĭ:ndzó	sấ:gĩ:	bà:rà:	
	∥àkí-kò	?ó:	mĭ:ndʒó	sấː-ì-r	bă:rà-é	
	descend-2sg.Imp.PC	SC(1pl.)	journey	we-prosp.	start-3m.sg.obj.	
	Get down, and let's start our journey./					
	You should get down,	and then w	ve can start	our journey.		

If the imperative/subjunctive clause contains two verbs, the first is followed by the relevant PC (if no preceding constituent is marked instead) and the connective morpheme  $/-\tilde{z}/$ :

mà?ékō: bók<sup>w</sup>ē: (72) mà?é-kò-: bô-kù-é: go.around-2sg.Imp.PC-& say-ben.-3m.sg.obj. Go and tell him, k<sup>w</sup>à: tũ: hìk'i húk'wä:si kò: tû-ŕ k<sup>w</sup>á: hík'ì húk'wà-é-sì kó: NC(3m.sg.) leave-& go kill-3m.sg.obj.-1sg. SC(2sg.)he should leave and go, otherwise I will kill him.

It is not acceptable to follow both verbs with the PC, nor to follow only the second.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>63</sup> Following common usage, the second person forms are glossed 'Imp.' for imperative and the other person forms 'Subj.' for subjunctive.

It is possible to place the conjunction  $/n\tilde{i}$ :/ 'and' between two verbs in an imperative construction. This is particularly common when the second clause contains other constituents, as well as the verb. The conjunction is usually marked with the imperative PC:

- (73)mánt∫<sup>h</sup>ákòjồ: nìkồ: mánt∫<sup>h</sup>à-kò-jó-: ̇́ nī́:-kò eat-2sg.Imp.PC-dur.-& and-2sg.Imp.PC t∫<sup>h</sup>íâ tò?wà:rè hě:x<sup>w</sup>é: t∫<sup>h</sup>íà tô-wá:-ré hě:x<sup>w</sup>é: dem.(prox.pl.) all finish-3i.pl.obj.-3pers.obj. Eat and finish all these! k<sup>h</sup>é?ék<sup>w</sup>ê nìk<sup>w</sup>ề: (74)túrutâ !'ò:wè
- k<sup>h</sup>é?é-k<sup>w</sup>è ní:-k<sup>w</sup>è túrútà !'ŏ:-é hear-2pl.Imp.PC and-2pl.Imp.PC life get-3m.sg.obj. Listen and get life!

As mentioned above, non-verbs can be marked with imperative/subjunctive PCs:

(75)	?à?á	dó:lókồ	l'ìnkè	k <sup>h</sup> é?ésồ	kò:
	?à?á	dó:lò-kò	l'ìnké	k <sup>h</sup> é?é-ì-sò	kó:
	no	slowly-2sg.Imp.PC	chew	hear-irr3a.pl.	SC(2sg.)
	No, cł	new slowly, otherwise	they wi	ll hear!	

As is the case with clauses containing realis PCs, it is common for objects, PPs and adverbs to be marked with an imperative/subjunctive PC. Unlike the realis, it is usual for only one imperative/subjunctive PC to occur per clause. The text corpus contains no examples of multiple imperative/subjunctive PCs in a single clause, but the following elicited example is acceptable:

(76)	téłákồ	łá:kô	l <sup>h</sup> èmè
	téłà-kò	łá:-kò	l <sup>h</sup> èmé
	completely-2sg.Imp.PC	well-2sg.Imp.PC	sweep
	Sweep completely well!		

However, it would be more usual to omit one of these morphemes. Furthermore, if the subject in the preceding example is first or third person, it is considered unacceptable for the construction to have two subjunctive PCs.

The SF marker can be found in an imperative/subjunctive clause:

(77) pá:ŋkíá:k<sup>w</sup>â lầ:ts'ì pá:nkí-á:-k<sup>w</sup>à lâ:-ts'í light-SF-3m.sg.Subj.PC see-reflex. Let there be light.

Note here how the PC then follows the SF marker.

The second person singular imperative/subjunctive PC has the alternative form  $\frac{1}{2} k^{w} \dot{a}$ :/<sup>64</sup>:

(78) bók<sup>w</sup>ā: hôsi híkâ: ?ìè
bô- k<sup>w</sup>á: hôsi híkí-à ?íé
say-2sg.Imp.PC why how-3m.sg.PC stay
Say, why is it thus?

This variant occurs less commonly than  $/-k\partial/$ . No functional difference between the two morphemes has been discerned.

The first and third person imperative/subjunctive PCs are used to construct subjunctives:

(79)	?á:rê g <sup>w</sup> á	:bósề:	lík <sup>w</sup> â	ts'átànầ	
	?á:rè g <sup>w</sup> á	ːbò-sí-è-:	lí-k <sup>w</sup> à	ts'â-tà-n	à
	2	1 0	sp. come-3m.sg.So ould come to the wa	5	to
(80)	ló?k <sup>w</sup> ề	?ò:	k <sup>h</sup> úrâ tł'àp <sup>h</sup> ế	ž: nì?ồ:	lầ:gè:

(80)  $\|\delta^{2}k^{w}\delta^{2} - 2\delta^{2}k^{h}ura^{h}$ 

In example (80), the second clause is introduced by a subjunctive conjunction (SC) and the third clause contains a subjunctive PC. Both clauses are thus subjunctives, but are constructed differently. It is not possible for a clause to contain both a SC and a subjunctive PC.

A clause which is introduced with a NC, may contain an irrealis verb and the resulting construction is understood as a subjunctive, as in the following example:

(81) k<sup>w</sup>à: rố: <sup>n|w</sup>ì?yà:xisềi k<sup>w</sup>á: rố: <sup>n|w</sup>î?yá:-xì-sé-ì NC(3m.sg.) voice make(3m.sg.obj.)-ben.-1sg.obj.-irr.(-3m.sg.) He should make a voice for me

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>64</sup> When this morpheme is attached to a stem ending in a high tone, it has a mid-level tone, showing that its underlying tone pattern includes both a low and a high tone. Its underlying form may therefore be  $/\frac{1}{o}k^{w}\acute{a}:/, /-k^{w}\check{a}:/, or /-k\check{u}-\acute{a}:/.$ 

?è:	ĺĩ́:	<sup>n</sup> ∥ŏ:kóxễ:	tìmuwà:		
?é:	lí-ř	<sup>n</sup> ∥ŏ:kó-xé:-:̇̀	tímỳ-wá:		
SC(1sg.)	come-&	children-plsp.	swallow-3i.pl.obj.		
so I can come and swallow the children.					

This is the only example of this construction in the text corpus. If this example contained a SC and the verb was not marked as irrealis, the same meaning would be understood.

#### 10.3.2 Negative

Negative imperatives and subjunctives are formed using the negative particle /mě:/. In a clause which does not contain a SC, this particle is usually followed by an imperative/subjunctive PC in agreement with the subject of the clause:

(82)	k'àmé	mě:k <sup>w</sup> ê		ts'è:	tók <sup>w</sup> àk <sup>w</sup> ề	:	ts	'è:
	k'àmé	mě:-k <sup>w</sup> è		ts'é:	tôk <sup>w</sup> à-k <sup>w</sup>	è	ts	'é:
		0 1	1		sweet.bee weet beer.	r-2pl.I	mp.PC di	rink
(83)	mě:kô mě:-kò		bìk <sup>h</sup> èsè bìk <sup>h</sup> é-s		?è: ?é:		?ít <sup>h</sup> ánầ ?ít <sup>h</sup> à-nà	hìk'į hík'į̀
		.Imp.PC ave me so		0 5	SC(1sg.) °ar,	neg.	far-to	go
	hàpú b	oóxề:	1	bìk <sup>h</sup> ímấ:				
	hàpú bô-xé:-:		1	bìk <sup>h</sup> é-mé-wá:-:ź				
		vord] <sub>GEN</sub> - <u>I</u> ing your v		leave-iter3i.pl.obj&				

The negative particle /mě:/ may occur without a following PC if the subject of the clause is focused and is followed by the SF marker and then a PC, as in the following elicited example:

(84) géléá:k<sup>w</sup>â mě: hík'i gélé-á:-k<sup>w</sup>à mě: hík'ì Gele-SF-3m.sg.Subj.PC neg. go Gele should not go (someone else should go).

In a clause introduced by a SC, such as the second one in (83), /mě:/ is not followed by a PC. If such a clause contains a subject NP, this NP may be followed by the SF marker:

(85)	dó:lókī	?àŋkʰá	∥'ǎ:nt∫ <sup>h</sup> ímásūkề:sòts'è
	dó:lò-kí	?ànkʰá	∥'ǎ:nt∫ʰímà-sí-kù̥-é:-ì-sò-ts'é
	a.little-add.	even	be.sweet-posscaus3m.sg.objirr3a.plneg.
	They would	not make	it even a little sweet,

kà?	k <sup>w</sup> à:	mě:	tł'àbísó:á:	kûmuk <sup>w</sup> ē:				
ká?	k <sup>w</sup> á:	mě:	t∛'àbísó:-á:	kûmù-kù-é:				
hear.	SC(3m.sg.)	neg.	stomach-SF	hurt-ben3m.sg.obj.				
appare	apparently, so that the stomach would not hurt.							

In the following example, the negative subjunctive is followed by an affirmative subjunctive:

(86)	?á:réts'īsề:		k <sup>w</sup> à:	I	mě:	k'à <sup>n</sup> !à
	?á:rêts'í-sí-è-:		k <sup>w</sup> á	ſ	mě:	k'á <sup>n</sup> !á
	believe-poss3m.sg.	-		0,	neg.	be.lost
	He who believes shall not be lost					
	nìk <sup>w</sup> ầ:	làbá	inà	?ìè?ồ̀:		!'ò:wè
	níː-k <sup>w</sup> à	-		?íé-?ồ:		!'ŏ:-é
	and-3m.sg.Subj.PC and shall get eternal	[late				

The particle /mě:/ can also be found in realis clauses, where it is followed by a realis PC in agreement with the subject of the clause and means 'nearly, almost':

(87)	hôsịnà	k <sup>w</sup> ì:	t <sup>h</sup> ě:	kł' <sup>w</sup> é:sê		<sup>n</sup> ∥ìnsè
	hôsìุ-ná	k <sup>w</sup> í:	t <sup>h</sup> ě:	tł' <sup>w</sup> é:-sí-	-è	<sup>n</sup> ∥î:-sé
		NC(2sg.) you paint m				paint-1sg.obj.
	sì:	mě:sį	t	łà:sį		
	sí:	mě:-sì	t	łă:sì		
	· • • /	neg1sg. nearly died		lie		
(88)	sà:	mélĩ:sụ	kìà:		mě:sâ	à̀:ts'i̯
	sá:	mêlì-r -	sù-kí-	·á:	mě:-sà	ấ:-ts'ì
	· · ·	) boat-sp. the boat ne	•		neg3f.sg.PC	break-reflex.

It is also possible for /mě:/ to be suffixed to a verb before a PC and then the resulting meaning is 'be about to':

 (89) k<sup>hw</sup>àmě:sâ k<sup>hw</sup>àmě:sà return-neg.-3f.sg.PC She is about to return.

## 10.4 Aspect

Sandawe has no independent formal means of marking aspect. Instead, object marking, verb conjunction, and the multiple morpheme /-wà/ can be variously used to indicate the aspectual status of a verb.

## **10.4.1 Perfective and imperfective**

Sandawe does not formally mark a verb as perfective or imperfective. However, a verb which is marked for an object shares some important functions that are usually associated with a perfective verb, and a verb which is not marked for an object behaves in ways normally associated with an imperfective verb. The following subsections show how the object marked/non-object marked distinction parallels the perfective/imperfective distinction in three particular ways.

### 10.4.1.1 Completed versus ongoing

One function of the distinction between object marked and non-object marked verbs in Sandawe is to express the difference between a completed action viewed in its entirety and an action which is viewed as ongoing. In (90), both transitive verbs contain object agreement morphemes and the events they express are therefore viewed as completed, entire actions:

(90)	hèwé?gầ?	jónầ:gầ?	<sup>n</sup> !ấ:
	hèwé? gà-à	? jônà-: - à?	<sup>n</sup> !á-é-í
		C Jonah-sp3pl.PC	catch-3m.sg.obj&
	And so they	caught Jonah	
	nì?ầ:	bàhárĩ:tànầ:?	l'è:
	nī́:-?à	bàhârì-: tà-nà-à?	∥ê-é
	-	sea-spin-to-3pl.PC m into the sea.	throw-3m.sg.obj.

In contrast, in (91), the verb is not object marked and the object NP is suffixed with the postpositional morpheme /-ts'j/ as it is specific:

(91)	?à:	mélìtàt∫èầ	ì?	mìzígỗ:ts'ầ?
	?á:	mêlì-tà-t∫	è-é-à?	mìzígò-: ts'ì-à?
	NC(3pl.)	boat-in-fro	om-3m.sg3pl.PC	load-spat-3pl.PC
	ts'átànầ:?	1	kù?ùm̀sè	
	ts'â-tà-nà	-à?	kù?ùm̀sé	
	water-in-te	o-3pl.PC	throw	
	Then they	threw the lo	oads out of the boa	t into the water.

Thus, the throwing of the loads is viewed as an ongoing action, which continues as the next part of the narrative unfolds.

#### 10.4.1.2 Achieved versus intended

If an action to be described has been successfully achieved, it is appropriate for a verb to occur with object marking, as in the following example:

(92) ∥ántó:kí !'ŏ:wési ∥àntá-ó:-kí !'ŏ:-é-si be.satisfied-nml.-add. get-3m.sg.obj.-1sg.PC I have got satisfaction.

As previously noted, with respect to example (15) in section 5.2, a non-object marked verb can function as an indicator that an intended event was not actually achieved. A further instance of this is shown in the following example:

(93)	?ó?sì? ?ó?-sí? there(ref.)-loc.	?à: ?á: NC(3pl.)		mêlì-tà	jà?bèsìsồ̀:sồ jà?bé-sí-sò-:ੋ`-sò work-poss3a.plsp	3a.pl.
	mélī:suts'à? mêlì-: -sù-ts'ì-à? boat-sp3f.sgat-3pl.PC It was then that they who w		shore	-nà-à? :-to-3pl.PC	1	<sup>n</sup> ∥ <sup>w</sup> è: <sup>n</sup> ∥ <sup>w</sup> ě: try o shore.

Here the verb /!èmé/ 'take' is nominalised together its object and the PP. This nominalised VP is itself the object of the verb  $n^{\parallel}$  "ě:/ 'try'. The object of the verb within the nominalised VP is suffixed with the postpositional morpheme /-ts'ì/ and this makes it clear that the sailors failed in their efforts to take the boat to shore.

It is important to note that although in clauses which have a future time reference the event described is yet to be realised, a transitive verb can still be used with object marking:

(94)	hèsú hèsú dem.(ref.3f.sg.)		dámâ:sụ dámà-: -s calf-sp3	
	hàpú [you		-	tùkề:i tû-kù-é:-ì come.out-caus3m.sg.objirr.(-3m.sg.) cle will contribute.

It is also possible to use a non-object marked verb with a future time reference, in which case any specific object would be marked with the postpositional suffix /-ts'i/. However, no text examples of this have yet been attested.

#### 10.4.1.3 Default versus background

As noted by Payne (1997:239), it is common for languages to use the perfective as the default means of describing the main events of a narrative. The imperfective is often used for describing collateral, explanatory, or descriptive information. This pattern holds for Sandawe, if we equate an object marked verb with the perfective and a non-object marked verb with the imperfective. The following example, which is the introductory sentence of a narrative, illustrates the use of the imperfective in setting the scene:

(95) mǎ:kâ tê? sàndàwě:sú tésûsi lầ: mǎ:kà té-è-ts'ì sàndàwě:sú té-sù-sì lấ: year other-3m.sg.-at Sandawe-3f.sg. other-3f.sg.-1sg.PC see The other year, I saw another Sandawe woman,

tł'àbísósúsų tł'àbísó-sí-sù stomach-poss.-3f.sg. she was pregnant.

Note also how the postpositional morpheme /-ts'i/ does not occur on the object, since the object is not marked as specific.

The non-object marked verb form is commonly used in narratives to introduce speech:

(96)	k <sup>w</sup> à:	dórố:gā:	dàk' <sup>w</sup> ě̃:ts'ầ	kà?
	k <sup>w</sup> á:	dóró-ř-á:	dàk' <sup>w</sup> ě:-: łs'ì-à	ká?
	NC(3m.sg.)	zebra-spSF	donkey-spat-3m.sg.PC	hear.65
	Then Zebra s	aid to Donkey.		

If the object marked verb form is used to introduce a speech, that speech is marked as a main event:

ľí: bòk<sup>w</sup>è: (97) pàr ?íxâ: |'ĭ̃:-:̈́ ?íxì-à bô-kù-é: pá: NC(3m.sg.) snake-sp. thus-3m.sg.PC say-ben.-3m.sg.obj. <sup>n</sup>lòsū̃:s<sup>w</sup>à: támêsi támèsì <sup>n</sup>∥ô-sù-r̃-sù-à [female child-3f.sg.]<sub>GEN</sub>-sp.-3f.sg.-3m.sg.PC Then the snake spoke thus to the woman...

The speech which is introduced by this example begins, 'No, you will not die!', and is a main event in the narrative.

 $<sup>^{65}</sup>$  Here the hearsay particle /ká?/ acts as a speech-introducing verb.

One important way in which the object marked/non-object marked distinction does not parallel the perfective/imperfective distinction is that the former is obviously necessarily restricted to transitive verbs, whereas the latter need not be restricted in this way. The aspectual properties of intransitive verbs can be expressed in other ways, as will be seen in the following sections.

### **10.4.2** Completive

A functional distinction can be made between perfective aspect and completive aspect. Whereas, in perfective aspect a described event is viewed in its entirety, in completive aspect, the completion of the event is expressed (Payne, 1997:239–240). This functional distinction is a helpful one for Sandawe, where object marking as a means of showing perfective aspect is limited to transitive verbs, but completive aspect can be expressed for both transitive and intransitive verbs.

The expression of completive aspect in Sandawe is achieved by conjoining a verb with the meaning of 'stop' or 'finish' to the main verb by means of a connective morpheme attached to the main verb:

hǐːsíːsí? mànǎː?ā̃: hǐːsíː-sí? mànà-é-?à-: ̃			!'ò:k <sup>h</sup> ầ !'ŏ:k <sup>h</sup> à		
At that point they already knew			stop ped		
( <b>U</b> )		• 1	3m.sgsp.	wàròŋgè:mè:ầ wàròngě:-kì̥mé:-à god-bec3m.sg.PC	∥'á:sį?ồ: ∥'á:sì-?ồ: flee-nml.
	hǐ:sí:-sí? mànà- then-loc. know- At that point they ( <i>Lit. At that point</i> ) hǐ:gô hǐ:gô dem.(dist.3m.sg.)	hǐ:sí:-sí? mànà-é-?à-: then-loc. know-3m.sg.o At that point they already ( <i>Lit. At that point they kne</i> hǐ:gô kà? hǐ:gò ká? dem.(dist.3m.sg.) hear.	hǐ:sí:-sí? mànà-é-?à-: <sup>ź</sup> then-loc. know-3m.sg.obj3pl.PC-& At that point they already knew ( <i>Lit. At that point they knew it and stopp</i> hǐ:gô kà? bà?ésê: hǐ:gò ká? bà?ésî-è-: <sup>x</sup>	hǐ:sí:-sí?mànà-é-?à-:!'ǒ:khàhi:sí:-sí?mànà-é-?à-:!'ǒ:khàthen-loc.know-3m.sg.obj3pl.PC-& stopAt that point they already knew(Lit. At that point they already knew(Lit. At that point they knew it and stoppedhǐ:gôkà?bà?ésê:hǐ:gôká?bà?éssí-è-:dem.(dist.3m.sg.)hear.be.big-poss3m.sgsp.	hǐ:sí:-sí?mànà-é-?à-:!'č:khàhi:sí:-sí?mànà-é-?à-:!'č:khàthen-loc.know-3m.sg.obj3pl.PC-& stopAt that point they already knew(Lit. At that point they knew it and stoppedhǐ:gôkà?bà?ésê:wàròngè:mè:àhǐ:gôká?bà?é-sí-è-iwàròngè:-kìmé:-àdem.(dist.3m.sg.)hear.be.big-poss3m.sgsp.god-bec3m.sg.PC

bôxi?ři:gà!'ò:khà?ồ:mè:bô-xì-?ťi-à!'ò:khà-?ồ:-kìmé:say-ben.-3a.pl.obj.-3m.sg.PCstop-nml.-bec.because of his already telling them so.(...because of his telling them and stopping.)

Both main verbs in the preceding example are object marked, but it is also possible for a nonobject marked verb to be used in a completive construction. The following elicited example illustrates this:

(99)	hîsi	t <sup>h</sup> ímế:	tlèm̀sềi?	sì:	ts'ă:nâsį	k <sup>hw</sup> à
	hî-sì	t <sup>h</sup> ímé-ŕ	tlèm̀sé-ì?	SÍĽ	ts'ă:nàsì	$k^{\mathrm{hw}}$ à
	when-1sg.PC	cook-&	finish-sub.cl.	NC(1sg.)	home-to-1sg.PC	return
	When I had fir	nished cool	king, I returned	home.		

The following example shows how this same completive construction can be used with an intransitive verb:

hòk'ấ: (100) híâ tłèmsèi? hòk'á-ŕ tłèmsé-ì? hí-à when-3m.sg.PC be.lukewarm-& finish-sub.cl. When it has finished getting lukewarm, (Lit. When it is lukewarm and finishes...) !<sup>h</sup>ùmési síâ: kă:ts'ê sìr !<sup>h</sup>ùmé-sì sí-é-à kă:-ts'è sí: NC(1sg.) flour-1sg.PC take-3m.sg.obj.-conn. put-appl. then I take the flour and put it into (the water).

Note also here the use of the singular object form of 'take' (/sí-/) and the plural object form of 'put' (/kǎ:-/). The flour is first treated as a singular object, when it is 'taken', and then as it is gradually 'put' into the lukewarm water, it is treated as a plural object.

### **10.4.3 Progressive**

Like completive aspect, progressive aspect can be expressed by verb conjunction:

(101)	sí: màtíkè-:		hèwéts'îsi hèwé-ts'ì-sì dem.(ref.3m.sg.)-at-1sg.PC			
	?íé-ŕ má	ánt∫ <sup>h</sup> áyō: ánt∫ <sup>h</sup> à-yó: t-dur.				
	pà:	łâ:	l'ùnì:	sì:	!òm̀sé:	
	pá:	łá:-à	l'únì	sí:	!òm̀sé-é	
	NC(3m.sg.) well-3m.sg.PC ripen NC(1sg.) harvest-3m.sg.obj. Then I keep eating this partially ripe millet, and then it ripens well, and then I harvest it. ( <i>Lit. Then I stay and eat this partially ripe millet</i> )					

The verb in this progressive construction does not contain object marking and, therefore, the specific object NP is suffixed with the postpositional morpheme /-ts'ì/. If the same construction is used with a transitive verb marked for an object, the result no longer expresses progressive aspect, but rather the partial completion of the action of the verb, as the following elicited example shows:<sup>66</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>66</sup> The order of the two verbs in this example does not affect the semantic interpretation of the examples.

This construction can only be used when there is the possibility that the action of the verb may yet be completed.

When the construction under discussion is used with an intransitive verb, the result is the expression of progressive aspect, as when it is used with a non-object marked verb:

(103)	hîsi	l'ề:i?	łéká?	táxį		
	hî-sì	'ě:-ì?	łéká?	táxì		
	when-1sg. It feels jus	PC see-sub.cl. t like	like	just		
	!'òròrŏ̃:	prő: dě:t <sup>h</sup> ē:sā: prő: dě:-`t <sup>h</sup> é:-sò-á:			!' <sup>w</sup> ă:tâ? !' <sup>w</sup> ă:-tà-à? pond-in-3pl.PC	pùndùsè pùndùsé swim
		s are swimming			pond-in-3pl.PC	swim
		it many frogs stay and swim in				

#### 10.4.4 Habitual

Habitual aspect in Sandawe can be expressed by means of the multiple morpheme /-wà/:

(104)	hóbê	jà?àbồi	jà?bèwầ
	hóbè	já?ábò-ì	jà?bé-wà
	[what	work] <sub>GEN</sub> -2sg.PC	do.work-mult.
	What w	vork do you do?	

The lack of object marking in the verb in this example is important. When the multiple morpheme is used to express habitual aspect, it is incompatible with an object marked verb. However, it is possible for the multiple morpheme to occur with an object marked verb if its function is to mark the subject as plural, rather than the action as habitual:

 $<sup>^{67}</sup>$  /ně:/ is the plural subject suppletive verb stem for 'stay', whereas /?íé/ is the corresponding singular subject form.

(105) hùmbùǎ: mánt∫<sup>h</sup>ā:wầ
hùmbù-á: mánt∫<sup>h</sup>à-é-wà
cow-SF eat-3m.sg.obj.-mult.
The cows ate it./\*The cow (habitually) ate it.

This example can be contrasted with the same verb used intransitively. The multiple morpheme can then be interpreted as either marking a plural subject or habitual action:

(106) hùmbùǎ: mánt∫<sup>h</sup>áwầ
 hùmbù-á: mánt∫<sup>h</sup>à-wà
 cow-SF eat-mult.
 The cows ate./The cow (habitually) ate.

It is also possible to use the adverb /híà?/ 'usually'<sup>68</sup> to express habitual aspect:

(107)	híâ?	sầxį	l <sup>h</sup> ĭ:ẫ:sų	<sup>n</sup> ∥ŏːkỗːsòsầ		
	híà?	sáxì	l <sup>h</sup> ĭ:à-r̃-sù	²∎ŏːkò-ž̃-sò-sà		
	usually	RC(3f.sg.)	dik.dik-sp3f.s	g. children-sp3a.pl3fsg.PC		
	k <sup>h</sup> ŏ:tánàsầ		?íłímē:ts'ìgà			
	k <sup>h</sup> ŏ:-tà-1	nà-sà	?íłímē:-ts'è-?ī́-à			
				.)-appl3a.pl.objconn.		
	Usually Dik-dik shut mánt∫ <sup>h</sup> â ìt∫ <sup>h</sup> àsànàs		the children in t	le nouse		
			sà	hìk'į		
	mánt∫ <sup>h</sup> à	ít∫ <sup>h</sup> à-sà-n	à-sà	hík'ì		
	food	look.for-n	ml.to-3f.sg.PC	go		

and went to look for food.

A third means of expressing habitual aspect is the possessive construction (see also section 11.4):

(108)	làláŋgá:	nùằːkì	mě:nā:sūsuts'ē
	làlángá:	núá-ř-kí	mě:nà-é-sí-sù-ts'é
	[millet	stiff.porridge] <sub>GEN</sub> -spadd.	like-3m.sg.objposs3f.sgneg.
	And she (	habitually) didn't like millet	porridge

 $<sup>^{68}</sup>$  This form may be related to the /hí-PC\_\_\_ì?/ construction in subordinate clauses (see section 11.3).

íxisàkà?mòàxásùkuíxì-sàká?mò-àxâ-sí-kùthus-3f.sg.PChear.spirit-3m.sg.PCbe.bad-poss.-caus.she said thus, it made her feel nauseous.(Lit. ...it made the spirit bad.)

#### **10.5** Conditional

The conditional morpheme /-wà?/ or /-wá?/ $^{69}$  can be attached to the end of a realis clause and the resulting construction has a conditional meaning:

(109)	gáwâ	<sup>n</sup> !à:?tềsį	?íḗ:	l' <sup>w</sup> ẵ:sį	tł'àp <sup>h</sup> èwầ?
	gáwà	<sup>n</sup> !ă:?, tè-sì	?íé-ŕ	l' <sup>w</sup> ẵ:-sì	tł'àp <sup>h</sup> é-wà?
	[hill	behind] <sub>GEN</sub> -1sg.PC	stay-&	millet-1sg.PC	thresh-cond.
	If I stag	yed behind the hill an	d threshe	d millet,	
	k <sup>h</sup> é?ép k <sup>h</sup> é?é-	oònề ì-pò-nè			
		r2sginterrog. you hear?			

This construction can have a hypothetical meaning, as in the preceding example, or a counterfactual meaning, as in the following elicited example:

(110)	?útê	ts'ǎ:násầ	k <sup>hw</sup> àwầ?	!'ŏ:sū̂:sų
	?útè	ts'ă:nà-sà	k <sup>hw</sup> à-wà?	!'ŏː-sū̃ː-ì-sù
	yesterday	home-to-3f.sg.PC	return-cond.	meet-1plirr3f.sg.
	If she had	returned home yeste	rday, she woul	d have met us.

Context and temporal adverbials (such as the one in the preceding example) determine whether a conditional clause is interpreted as a hypothetical or a counterfactual.

The hypothetical function of the conditional clause can also be fulfilled by the subordinate clause, as in the following elicited example:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>69</sup> Both tonal variants have been noted and no functional difference between them has been observed.

!'ŏːsû̂ːsu !'ŏ:-sū́:-ì-sù meet-1pl.-irr.-3f.sg. she will meet us.

It is not clear whether there is a functional difference between the conditional clause and the subordinate clause when they are used in this way. However, it is possible that using the subordinate clause implies a greater likelihood that the events described will actually happen as the subordinate clause is also used for non-conditionals (see section 11.3). It seems likely that a conditional clause would be chosen to express a hypothetical when using a subordinate clause might result in misinterpretation as a non-conditional. Similarly, it is to be expected that a subordinate clause would be chosen to express a hypothetical when using a conditional clause might result in misinterpretation as a counterfactual.

The main clause on which the conditional clause depends is either an irrealis affirmative (as in (109)) or an irrealis negative:

(112) ?ànk<sup>h</sup>á táxi !'<sup>w</sup>â

xáwàt<sup>h</sup>è:tàkè:si l'ùsùkuwầ? xâ-wà-`t<sup>h</sup>é:-tà-ké:-sì ?ànk<sup>h</sup>á táxì !'<sup>w</sup>â l'úsúkù-wà? just valley bad-mult.-adj.-in-decl.-1sg.PC pass-cond. even Even if I pass through bad valleys

<sup>n</sup> lô:sits'è	xá?ồ:kì
<sup>n</sup>  ó-é-ì-sì̥-ts'é	xâ-?ồː-kí
fear-3m.sg.objirr1sgneg.	be.bad-nmladd.
I will not fear badness.	

When the irrealis is used in a main clause on which a conditional clause is dependent, it can function as an imperative or subjunctive, as in the following elicited example:

l<sup>h</sup>è:mè k<sup>h</sup>ŏ:sáwà? (113) xásầ l<sup>h</sup>ě:mé k<sup>h</sup>ŏ:-sà-wà? xâ-sà badly-3f.sg.PC sweep(3m.sg.obj.) house-3f.sg.PC-cond. If she swept the house badly, t<sup>‡</sup>'èsế́: l<sup>h</sup>è:mềsu t∮'èsé:-:́ l<sup>h</sup>ě:mé-ì-sù do.again-& sweep(3m.sg.obj.)-irr.-3f.sg. she should sweep it again.

It is not acceptable to instead use the third person feminine singular subjunctive PC /-xìsà/ in the second clause of this example.

The conjunction /é?é:/ or /á?é:/ can occur clause-initially in conditional clauses. It can be glossed as 'it would be':

(114)	é?é:	0	è	k <sup>h</sup> è?èwà? k <sup>h</sup> é?é-wà? hear-cond.
	∮á:û ∮á:-ù good-3 It wou	0	hèwé [he	rô:ts'ề:wà? rô:-ts'ì-è-wà? voice] <sub>GEN</sub> -at-2pl.PC-cond. en you (pl.) heard his voice today,

dzìgídâ	sī́:?wầi	<sup>n</sup> !ằːk'ò	<sup>n</sup> ∣ <sup>w</sup> ét∫ <sup>h</sup> ī̃:gā:wā?		
dzìgídà	sī̃:-? wà-ì	<sup>n</sup> !ấːk'ó	<sup>n</sup>   <sup>w</sup> é-t∫ <sup>h</sup> ì-sī́:-á:-wà?		
heart	you(pl.)-3i.plpro.	be.hard	do-neg2plSF-cond.		
you (pl.) would not harden your (pl.) hearts.					

Note here, also, how the conditional morpheme occurs three times in this example. The unmarked pattern is for the conditional morpheme to attach to whatever constituent is clause-final. However, when a verb is suffixed with the conditional morpheme, it is also acceptable for a post-verbal constituent belonging to the same clause to also be suffixed with this morpheme.

The conditional morpheme can also be attached to irrealis verbs, but this has not been attested in the text corpus. When the morpheme is attached to a realis negative verb, only the counterfactual interpretation, and not the hypothetical interpretation, is allowed. The following elicited example illustrates this:

(115)	xâ	k <sup>h</sup> ŏ:	l <sup>h</sup> ě:mét∫ <sup>h</sup> ūwầ?	bósòts'è
	xâ	k <sup>h</sup> ŏ:	l <sup>h</sup> ě:mé-t∫ <sup>h</sup> ì-sú-wà?	bô-ì-sò-ts'é
	badly	house	sweep(3m.sg.obj.)-neg3f.sgcond.	say-irr3a.plneg.
	If she l	hadn't sv	wept the house badly, they wouldn't ha	ive said.

# 11 Other clause types

# 11.1 Copular

There is no copula in Sandawe. A copular clause consists of an NP followed by another NP, an adjective or a quantifier. The second constituent is marked with a PGN morpheme which agrees with the first NP. This PGN morpheme is usually from the low toned series, as illustrated by the following examples:

- (1) t∫í ?ìbràníâ bòjòsi t∫í ?ìbràníà bójó-sì I [Hebrew tribe]<sub>GEN</sub>-1sg. I am (of) the tribe of Hebrew.

As example (2) shows, the third person masculine singular low toned PGN morpheme is zero in this construction. Therefore, it is possible for two juxtaposed third person masculine singular NPs to be ambiguous between interpretation as a copular clause and as a tonal genitive clause. In the following example, the two NPs 'dowry' and 'four cows' are understood as forming a copular clause:

(3) I'ék<sup>hw</sup>â: hùmbù hàkáxi
 I'ék<sup>hw</sup>à-i hùmbù hàkáxi
 dowry-sp. cow four
 The dowry is four cows.

It is also possible to understand this example as one in which the first two constituents form a tonal genitive construction ('cow of dowry') and the third constituent is the second part of the copular clause. Thus, the gloss would be 'the cows of the dowry are four'. Such ambiguity is only possible when the tonal properties of the constituents involved do not provide a means of distinguishing between different interpretations.

The PGN morphemes found in copular clauses are usually from the low toned series, but it is possible for the high toned series to be used instead, as in the following elicited example:

(4) t∫í sàndàwě:sé
 t∫í sàndàwě:-sé
 I Sandawe-1sg.
 I am a Sandawe.

It is also possible for the PGN morphemes to be omitted in certain instances, such as in the following elicited examples:

(5) hèsú ?íó: hèsú ?íó: she mother She is a mother. hèsó mă:má:
 hèsó mă:má:
 they friends
 They are friends.

The presence of the PGN morpheme on the second constituent in a copular clause means that it is possible for the first NP to be omitted altogether if it is simply a pronoun. The following two examples illustrate this:

(7)	hèwé	?ìndʒàsữ̀:	hèwá:	bàlò:wàts'èxề:
	hèwé	?ìndʒá-sữː	hèwé-á:	báló:-wà-ts'è-xé:-:
		sheep] <sub>GEN</sub> -1pl. his sheep which		herd-multapplplsp.
(8)	nì: h	nóbê bòjòwè:p	ő	

ní: hóbè bójó-é:-pò and [what tribe-3m.sg.]<sub>GEN</sub> -2sg. And you are (one of) what tribe?

The following example shows how a copular clause may occur within a subordinate clause:

(9)	híâ		hèwé	k <sup>h</sup> ò:	łá:ûi?	
	hí-à		hèwé	k <sup>h</sup> ŏ:	∮á:-ù-ì?	
	when-3 If his h	3m.sg. ouse is	[he good,	house] <sub>GEN</sub>	good-3m.sg	-sub.cl.
	k <sup>h</sup> ŏ:	∮á:û		mànă:?		bòkótáwàsèts'è
	k <sup>h</sup> ŏ:	łáː-ù		mànà-é-à?		bòkótà-wà-sí-è-ts'é
		•	•	know-3m.sg s good, no je	g.obj3pl.PC alous ones.	be.jealous-multposs3m.sgneg.

The copular clause is negated by the morpheme /-ts'é/, as the following elicited example illustrates:

(10) hě:  $k^{h}$  č:ts'ē hě: $\dot{u}$   $k^{h}$  č: $\dot{\cdot}$  ts'é dem.(prox.3m.sg.) house-neg.<sup>70</sup> This is not a house.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>70</sup> The origin of the floating low tone, which causes the downstep before the negative morpheme, is not known.

## 11.2 Exclamatory

The term *exclamatory clause* comes from Elderkin (1989:119), where it is defined as a clause without 'any pgn [PC] or nominative suffix [SF marker], (except where there is both an adverbial and no overt subject) and, secondly, by having every element of its structure realised on tone level 1'. (In the phonological analysis adopted here, 'tone level 1' corresponds to a lack of tone lowering in the verb.) The function of such a clause is to imply surprise or appreciation.

The object in an exclamatory clause must be suffixed with the postpositional morpheme /-ts'i/ (Elderkin, GS:S9) and the verb must occur in without object marking, as shown in the following elicited example:

(11)	hèsú	núáts'į	x <sup>w</sup> ànté
	hèsú	núá-ts'ì	x <sup>w</sup> ànté
	dem.(ref.3f.sg.)	stiff.porridge-at	cook
	This one can real	lly cook stiff porri	dge!

Note how this differs from a realis clause, in which an object is only suffixed with the postpositional morpheme /-ts' $\hat{i}$ / when it is specific (see section 5.2).

The following elicited example shows how if an adverb is present, it is followed by a realis PC:<sup>71</sup>

(12) <sup>4</sup>á:sâ |<sup>h</sup>èmé <sup>4</sup>á:-sà |<sup>h</sup>èmé well-3f.sg. sweep She sweeps well!

## 11.3 Subordinate

What is described here as a subordinate clause is constructed using the conjunction /hi/ or  $/hi/^{72}$  'when, if, after' marked with a realis PC in agreement with the subject of the clause and the subordinate clause morpheme /-i?/:

(13)	híê	nì?ì:?	l'íák <sup>™</sup> ề	∥'ìầ
	hí-è	ní?-ì?	∥'íà-k <sup>w</sup> è	∥'íà
	when-2pl.PC	go-sub.cl.	dance-2pl.Imp.PC	dance
	When you (pl.	) go, dance	the dance,	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>71</sup> Contrary to Elderkin (1989:19), the data elicited for this research showed that an adverb must still be marked with a realis PC, even if an overt subject occurs in the clause.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>72</sup> Both tone patterns have been noted. No functional difference has been discerned.

xáłó: bìk<sup>h</sup>íméwá:k<sup>w</sup>ê
xàłé-ó: bìk<sup>h</sup>é-mé-wá:-k<sup>w</sup>è
tease-nml. leave-iter.-3i.pl.obj.-2pl.Imp.PC
leave off the teasing.

The subordinate clause must be followed or preceded by a main clause or another subordinate clause:

- <sup>n</sup>|<sup>w</sup>ì?yàpòsù: (14)hě:x<sup>w</sup>éxé?  $^{n|w}$ í?yá-ts'è-pó-ì-sữ: hě:x<sup>w</sup>é-xé? dem.(prox.pl.)-like do-appl.-2sg.obj.-irr.-1pl. ?ó?t∫<sup>h</sup>ū̃:gā: híô tùi? ?ó? -t∫è-sű:-á: hí-ò tû-ì? there(ref.)-from-1pl.-SF when-1pl.PC come.out-sub.cl. We will do for you (things) like these, if we get out of here.
- (15) <sup>n</sup>∥ŏ: híâ bà?èbà?èi?
   <sup>n</sup>∥ŏ: hí-à bà?é-bà?é-ì?
   child when-3m.sg.PC be.big-be.big-sub.cl.
   When the child became quite big,

híâ máxáề:i? hí-à máxà-é:-ì? when-3m.sg.PC male-3m.sg.-sub.cl. if he was a male,

?à:	já?ábó?ầ	làdì?mè:			
?á:	já?ábò-à?	làdì?mé-é			
NC(3pl.)	work-3pl.PC	show-3m.sg.obj.			
they showed him work.					

Example (15) also shows how /hi/ can be translated as 'when' or 'if', according to the context of its occurrence.

The following is a similar example, but here there is only one occurrence of /hí/:

(16) híâ hèwéxé:  ${}^{n}!\hat{e}:g\bar{a}:$   $t\int^{h}\hat{e}:k\hat{i}:?$ hí-à hèwéxé:  ${}^{n}!\hat{e}:\bar{i}-\hat{a}:$   $t\int^{h}\hat{e}:-k\hat{i}-\hat{i}?$ when-3m.sg.PC dem.(ref.pl.) day-sp.-SF absent-verb.-sub.cl. When these days have finished, ?à: $p^h ál \hat{a}$ ? $k^{hw} \dot{a} s \acute{e}: t \int^h \hat{0} i$ ??á: $p^h ál \hat{a} \cdot \hat{a}$ ? $k^{hw} \dot{a} \cdot s \acute{e} \cdot \acute{e} \cdot t \int^h \hat{1} \cdot s \acute{0} \cdot \hat{1}$ ?NC(3pl.)marriage.gift-3pl.PCreturn-caus.-3m.sg.obj.-neg.-3a.pl.-sub.cl.if they have not returned the marriage gift,

?à:mànă:ká??á:mànă:ká?NC(3pl.)knowhear.then they know that

 $t^{h}$ ámét $\int^{h}$ ů:sůdògồ:sồmě:nâ:? $t^{h}$ ámét $\int^{h}$ ū- $\ddot{\cdot}$ -sùdògó- $\ddot{\cdot}$ -sòmě:nà-à?[woman-sp.-3f.sg.relative-sp.-3a.pl.]<sub>GEN</sub>agree-3pl.PCthe woman's relatives agreenlló:kô:sôhètèkàwã:kĩ:nlló:kô- $\ddot{\cdot}$ -sòhètékà-wà- $\ddot{\cdot}$ kí- $\ddot{\cdot}$ [children-sp.-3a.pl.[children-sp.-3a.pl.marry-mult.-recip.]<sub>GEN</sub>-sp.to the marriage of the children.

The precise meaning of the subordinate clause is often determined by the mood or aspect of the main clause on which it is dependent. In (14), for example, the main clause is irrealis and, therefore, the subordinate clause is translated as 'if...'. This can be contrasted with the following example, in which the main clause is realis and the subordinate clause can be translated as 'after...' (or 'when...'):

(17)	?ó?t∫ề		híâ	hà:ŋgầi?	
	?ó?ॢ -t∫è-è		hí-à	hă:ŋgà-ì?	
	there(ref.)-fre After he left	U	when-3m.sg.PC	leave-sub.cl.	
	k <sup>w</sup> à:	jópà r	njitanä:	∥'àkì	

n ui	Jopu	mjitunui	" uni
k <sup>w</sup> á:	jôpà	m̂jì-tà-nà-à	∥'àkí
NC(3m.sg.)	[Joppa	town] <sub>GEN</sub> -in-to-3m.sg.PC	descend
he descended	l into the	town of Joppa.	

A subordinate clause can be dependent on an imperative main clause and in such circumstances, can be translated as 'if...' or 'when...':

(18)hî:lŏ:ts'î:mèhě:gìlầ:gề:i?hí-ìlŏ:-ts'ì-ìmèhě:f-ìlâ:-é:-ì?when-2sg.PCpath-at-2sg.PCsomething(sp.)-2sg.PCsee-3m.sg.obj.-sub.cl.If you see something on the path,If you see something on the path,see-3m.sg.obj.-sub.cl.

mě:kô sìè mě:-kò sí-é neg.-2sg.Imp.PC take-3m.sg.obj. don't take it.

Aspect marking in the main clause on which a subordinate clause is dependent also helps to disambiguate its precise meaning and relation to this main clause, as the following two examples illustrate:

!'ò:k<sup>h</sup>`ài? łŏ:mź: (19) hîsi ⁴ð:mé-:́  $!'\check{o}:k^{h}\dot{a}-i?$ hî-sì when-1sg.PC cultivate(3m.sg.obj.)-& stop-sub.cl. After I have stopped cultivating, l'<sup>w</sup>ẵ:gá: ?íâ: ∥àná pàr l'<sup>w</sup>ằ:-á: pá: ?íé-à ∥àná NC(3m.sg.) millet-SF stay-conn. grow the millet continues to grow. ?íế: (20)hîà lànájô:i? ?íé-ŕ hî-à ∥àná-jó:-ì? when-3m.sg.PC stay-& grow-dur.-sub.cl. While the millet is still growing, kísóx<sup>w</sup>ê:kìsi tł'èsé:â hìbà: sì: kísóxì-ì-é:-: kí-sì sí: t∮'èsé:-à hìbà-é NC(1sg.) repeat-conn. two-pro.-3m.sg.-sp.-add.-1sg.PC weed-3m.sg.obj. then I weed again for the second time.

The context of the following example makes it clear that the events described will happen in the future, even though both clauses are realis:

(21) <sup>n</sup>!ê ?àròbàínā: híâ? |'ùsùk<sup>w</sup>ì:? nìnéwì t∫<sup>h</sup>ě:kíâ
 <sup>n</sup>!ê ?àròbàînì-á: hí-à? |'úsúkù-ì? nìnêwì t∫<sup>h</sup>ě:-kí-à
 day forty-SF when-3pl.PC pass-sub.cl. Nineveh absent-verb.-3m.sg.PC When forty days have passed, Nineveh will have been destroyed.

The subordinate clause is also commonly used to form a time adverbial:

híâ l'ék<sup>w</sup>â <sup>n</sup>!ề:gà: <sup>n</sup>lề:i?
hí-à l'ék<sup>w</sup>à <sup>n</sup>!ê-ĩ-a: <sup>n</sup>lĕ:-ì?
when-3m.sg.PC [dowry day]<sub>GEN</sub>-sp.-SF arrive-sub.cl. When the dowry day arrives,

?à:	hǎ:nákī	∥'ék <sup>w</sup> ásànầ?			
?á:	hă:nàkí	∥'ék <sup>w</sup> à-sà-nà-à?			
NC(3pl.)	sit	give.dowry-nmlto-3pl.PC			
they sit down in order to give the dowry.					

As the previous examples have shown, the subordinate clause commonly begins with /hi/, but it is possible for an adverb (as in (17)) or the subject (as in (15)) to precede it. In terms of realis PC and SF marker distribution, the rules apply in the subordinate clause as in main clauses (see section 10.1.1.1). Thus, since /hi/ itself is always marked with a realis PC if the subordinate clause is realis, the verb is never also marked (as the verb always follows /hi/).

If the subordinate clause is realis negative, the verb is marked in the normal way before the subordinate clause morpheme and /hi/ is marked with a third person singular masculine realis PC:

(23) híâ íxi  $^{n|w}$ é:pồi? híà íxì  $^{n|w}$ é:-t $\int^{h}$ i-pó-ì? when-3m.sg.PC thus do-neg.-2sg.-sub.cl. Since you didn't do thus,

hákų	tìmụwầ:pồ	l <sup>n</sup> ĭ:â	<sup>n</sup> llò:kõ:
há-kù	tímù-wá:-ì-pò	l <sup>h</sup> ĭ:à	<sup>n</sup> ∥ŏ:kó-È
where-at	swallow-3i.pl.objirr2sg.	[dik.dik	children] <sub>GEN</sub> -sp.
where will	l you swallow Dik-dik's child	dren?	

In this example, /hí/ is best glossed as 'since'.

/hí/ is also followed by a third person masculine singular realis PC, if the clause in which it occurs is a copular, locative, or possessive construction:

(24)kókó <sup>n</sup>|î:qì? híà <sup>n</sup>|î:-ì? kókó hî-à when-3m.sg.PC [chicken meat]<sub>GEN</sub>-sub.cl. If it is chicken meat, nī: k<sup>h</sup>ùŋgùrú dzìp<sup>h</sup>á <sup>n</sup>|însồ nī́: k<sup>h</sup>ùngùrú dʒìp<sup>h</sup>á <sup>n</sup>|ínì-ì-sò thigh eat(meat)-irr.-3a.pl. and steak they would eat thigh and steak.

hàpú jà?àbồ: (25) l'ímó: łómó: !'ámó: tàtà hàpú já?ábò-r tàtá ľìmé-ó: łòmé-ó: !'àmé-ó: father [you work]<sub>GEN</sub>-sp. clear-nml. cultivate-nml. carve-nml. Father, your work is to clear, cultivate, carve, <sup>n</sup>!ámó: hónó: hùmbùsí?î?? báló: híâ <sup>n</sup>!àmé-ó: hòná-o: hùmbù-sí?-ì? báló:-ó: hí-à forge-nml. harvest.honey-nml. when-3m.sg.PC cow-loc.-sub.cl. herd-nml. forge, harvest honey, if there are cows, to herd. dámẫ:su (26)hèsú dámà-: -sù hèsú calf-sp.-3f.sg. dem.(ref.3f.sg.) This calf. t<sup>h</sup>ámét∫<sup>h</sup>ù:sụ híâ mílásùsuts'ềi? t<sup>h</sup>ámèt∫<sup>h</sup>ú-r⊂sù mílà-sí-sù-ts'é-ì? hí-à virginity-poss.-3f.sg.-neg.-sub.cl. when-3m.sg.PC woman-sp.-3f.sg. if the woman is not a virgin, k<sup>hw</sup>àsé:súsồ ?à: k<sup>hw</sup>à-sé-ésú-ì-sò ?á: NC(3pl.) return-caus.-3f.sg.obj.-irr.-3a.pl. then they would return it (the calf).

The subordinate clause has a further function as the basis of three idiomatic constructions. In the first, the verb /lí/ 'come (sg.subj.)' or /n|ati/ 'come (pl.subj.)' (according to whether the subject of the clause is singular or plural) is suffixed with the connective morpheme /-i/ and then the subordinate clause morpheme:

bà?è

bà?é

(27) híâ lí:gî? hí-à lí-: -i? when-3m.sg.PC come-&-sub.cl. It seemed to him that
kòŋkórìã: ?úrâ: kònkórì-ì-à-: ?úrì-à

cockerel-pro.-3m.sg.-sp. very-3m.sg.PC be.big the cockerel's was very big.

(28) hâ? <sup>n</sup>làtī́:gî? hí-à? <sup>n</sup>làtí-:ť-ì? when-3pl.PC come-&-sub.cl. It became apparent to them

hà:	<sup>n</sup> ∥ỗ: ̂kì	kŏ:náwàts'ìsề			
há:	<sup>n</sup> ∥ŏ:-r̃`-kí	kŏ:nà-wà-ts'í-sí-è			
even	child-spadd.	spoil-multreflexposs3m.sg.			
that even (this) child was handicapped as well.					

As these examples show, this construction is used to show how an event appears to the subject.

A second similar construction uses the verb /l'ě:/ 'see, feel' and is used to show how an event feels or is thought about by the subject:

(29)	hîsi	l'ề:i?	łéká?	táxį		
	hî-sì	'ě:-ì?	łéká?	táxì		
	when-1sg.PC	see-sub.cl.	like	just		
	It feels just like	2				
	!'òròrŏ̃: dě:t <sup>1</sup>	<sup>h</sup> ē:sā:		ně:	!' <sup>w</sup> ă:tâ?	pùndùsè
	!'òròrŏ: dě:-	t <sup>h</sup> éː-sò-áː			!' <sup>w</sup> ǎ:-tà-à?	1
	0				puddle-in-3pl.PC	-
	many frogs are		-	2	r r	
(30)	hîsį	l'ề:i?				
	hî-sì	'ě:-ì?				
	when-1sg.PC	see-sub.cl.				
	As I see it,					
	hě:û	tſů:̂	2	úrā̃:	bà?è	
	hě:ù	0		úrī́:-à		
	dem.(prox.3m.s this animal is v	sg.) animal				

A third idiomatic construction, based on the subordinate clause, consists simply of /hí/ followed by a realis PC and then the subordinate clause morpheme. Although there is no verb, the meaning of 'seeing' or 'watching' is understood:

(31) hísì:? hî-sì-ì? when-1sg.PC-sub.cl. As I watched,  $k^{w}$ à: tû <sup>n</sup>léà ?á:mế:  $k^{w}$ á: tû <sup>n</sup>lê-à ?á:mé-ź NC(3m.sg.) come.out bush-3m.sg.PC break(3m.sg.obj.)-& it came out, breaking the bush. A form which resembles this construction and may have a similar derivation is /híâ?/ 'usually':

|<sup>h</sup>ĭ:â̂:su <sup>n</sup>llŏ:kô:sòsà híâ? sầxi (32)∣<sup>h</sup>ĭ:à-r̃-sù <sup>n</sup>llŏ:kò-: sò-sà híà? sáxì RC(3f.sg.) dik.dik-sp.-3f.sg. children-sp.-3a.pl.-3fsg.PC usually ?íłímē:ts'ĩgà k<sup>h</sup>ŏ:tánàsầ k<sup>h</sup>ŏ:-tà-nà-sà ?íłímē:-ts'è-?ī-à house-in-to-3f.sg.PC shut(3m.sg.obj.)-appl.-3a.pl.obj.-conn. Usually Dik-dik shut the children in the house mánt∫<sup>h</sup>â ìt∫<sup>h</sup>àsànàsầ hìk'i mánt<sup>h</sup>à ít<sup>h</sup>à-sà-nà-sà hík'ì look.for-nml.-to-3f.sg.PC go food and went to look for food.

The possible clausal origin of this word is supported by the fact that it cannot be followed by a PC in agreement with the subject of the clause in which it occurs.

## 11.4 Possession

The Sandawe possessive construction consists of the suffix /-sí/ followed by a low toned PGN morpheme which agrees with the possessor:

PGN	Example	Gloss
1sg.	hùmbùsísi	I have a cow
	hùmbù-sí-sì	
2sg.	hùmbùsípô	You have a cow
	hùmbù-sí-pò	
3m.sg.	hùmbùsê	He has a cow
	hùmbù-sí-è	
3f.sg.	hùmbùsúsu	She has a cow
	hùmbù-sí-sù	
1pl.	hùmbùsúsữ:	We have a cow
	hùmbù-sí-sữ̀:	
2pl.	hùmbùsísî:	You (pl.) have a cow
	hùmbù-sí-sì:	
3pl. (anim.) <sup>73</sup>	hùmbùsísô	They have a cow
$(anim.)^{73}$	hùmbù-sí-sò	

Table 11.1 PGN marking in the possessive construction

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>73</sup> If the possessor is plural and inanimate, the third person masculine singular form is used.

As the table shows, the possessive suffix /-si/ is realised as /-su/ before /su/, or /sui/. If the possessed item is plural, the multiple suffix /-wa/ precedes the possessive suffix:

hèsú khò:sùsu (33) útá: ló:lô? l<sup>h</sup>ĭ:â útá: ló:lò? l<sup>h</sup>ĭ:à hèsú k<sup>h</sup>ŏ:-sí-sù very.long.ago dik.dik [she house]<sub>GEN</sub>-poss.-3f.sg. Very long ago Dik-dik had her house nĩ <sup>n</sup>∥ŏ:kó s<sup>w</sup>ámkíxiwàsùsu nĩ <sup>n</sup>∥ŏ:kó s<sup>w</sup>ámkíxì-wà-sí-sù and children three-mult.-poss.-3f.sg. and had three children.

A possessive construction can be negated with the /-ts'é/ morpheme:

(34) <sup>n</sup>|<sup>w</sup>à: |<sup>w</sup>ě:sípóts'ēnề
 <sup>n</sup>|<sup>w</sup>ă: |<sup>w</sup>ě:-sí-pò-ts'é-nè
 elephant eye-poss.-2sg.-neg.-interrog.
 Elephant, don't you have eyes?

A verb can be derived from the possessive construction by suffixing the morpheme /-ts'í/ after the possessive morpheme and, if it is also present, the negative morpheme. The resulting verb can then be conjugated in the same way as a regular verb, as the following two elicited examples illustrate:

- (35) mátósúsuts'ēts'ìsu mátó-sí-sù-ts'é-ts'í-ì-sù gourd-poss.-3f.sg.-neg.-verb.-irr.-3f.sg. She will not have a gourd.
- (36) mátósúsuts'ìxisä mátó-sí-sù-ts'í-xìsà gourd-poss.-3f.sg.-verb.-3f.sg.Subj.PC She should have a gourd.

The possessive construction described here is commonly used with verb stems to create a form which functions as an adjective:

(37) pà:  $\|`o\|'\hat{a}:$ pá:  $\|`o\|'á-\hat{\cdot}$ NC(3m.sg.) baboon-sp.

hùmbù	t∫ <sup>h</sup> ấ̂:kįsèầ	màłè:			
hùmbù	t∫ʰâ̂ːkì̥-sí-è-à	màłé-é			
cow	be.fat-poss3m.sg3m.sg.PC	choose-3m.sg.obj.			
Then Baboon chose a fat cow.					

If a verb which cannot be interpreted as describing an attribute of the possessor is used in a possessive construction, the resulting meaning expresses either 'to have ever done X' or habitual aspect:

- (38) hě:utſề: nlö: hě:ù-tſè-é-ĩ nló-ĩ [dem.(prox.3m.sg.)-from-3m.sg.-sp. fear]<sub>GEN</sub>-sp.
  ?útá:sâ:? lâ:sūsùts'è ?útá:-sà-ĩ -ts'ì lâ:-sí-sù:-ts'é long.ago-nml.-sp.-at see-poss.-1pl.-neg. Since long ago we haven't seen fearing of this kind.
  (39) làlángá: nùầ:kì mě:nā:sūsuts'ē
- (39) làláŋgá: nùã:kì mě:nā:sūsuts'ē
   làlángá: núá-: kí mě:nà-é-sí-sù-ts'é
   [millet stiff.porridge]<sub>GEN</sub>-sp.-add. like-3m.sg.obj.-poss.-3f.sg.-neg.
   And she (habitually) didn't like millet porridge.

Another common use of the possessive morpheme is illustrated in the following example:

(40) hě: k<sup>h</sup>ŏ:tà hě:ù k<sup>h</sup>ŏ:-: -tà dem.(prox.3m.sg.) house-sp.-in
máxáē: tékī kó:sénề máxa-é: té-è-kí kó:-sí-è-nè male-3m.sg. other-3m.sg.-add. present-poss.3m.sg.-interrog. Is there also another male in this house?

The form \*/kó:/ has not been attested in any other kind of construction and, therefore, it is not clear whether it is a noun or a verb stem. If the possessor in the construction illustrated above is plural, the stem /ně:/ is used, which is a plural subject verb meaning 'be, live, stay'. The third person masculine form of this particular possessive construction may be realised as

either /kó:sê/ or /kó:sé:/.<sup>74</sup> This alternation is not allowed in other possessive constructions, where the form with a short vowel must be used.

One use of the possessive construction which is not attested in the text corpus expresses the simultaneity of two events, as illustrated in the following elicited example:

(41) k'ìtł'ésús<sup>w</sup>ā: jà?bè k'ìtł'é-sí-sù-á: jà?bé be.angry-poss.-3f.sg.-SF work She was angry and worked.

A noun may occur in a possessive construction used in this way:

(42) mátósús<sup>w</sup>ā: l<sup>h</sup>èmè mátó-sí-sỳ-á: l<sup>h</sup>èmé gourd-poss.-3f.sg.-SF sweep She had a gourd and swept.

A further use of the possessive construction is to refer to a container and its contents. When the construction is used in this way, the noun referring to the container is suffixed with the possessive morpheme:

(43) ts'ǎ:kụ t $\int^{h}$ ĩ: ànt<sup>h</sup>ásê ts'ǎ:kù t $\int^{h}$ ĩ: ànt<sup>h</sup>á-sí-è home-at honey honey.gourd-poss.-3m.sg. At home there is a honey gourd full of honey. (*Lit. At home, the honey has a honey gourd.*)

The possessive construction is also used to form relative clauses, as will be seen in the following section.

# 11.5 Relative

What is described here as a 'relative clause' is constructed using the specificity morpheme  $|-\tilde{\vec{t}}|$  as a relativiser. Such clauses occur postnominally in Sandawe.

### 11.5.1 Subject relative

Relative clauses in which the modified NP is the subject of the relative clause verb are based on the possessive construction. The following example contains one such clause:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>74</sup> Note the similarity between this alternation and that of plural referential demonstratives (section 6.4.1) and the third person singular benefactive object form (see section 5.1). In all three cases, an alternation between /e/ and /e:/ (the low and high toned PGN morphemes for third person masculine singular) is evident.

hô sàmbòsê:
hô sàmbò-sí-è-i
who strength-poss.-3m.sg.-sp.
Who was the one who had strength

wá?mé:sàmbồ:?l'ùsùkusề:wá?mé:sàmbò-ĩ -ts'ìl'úsúkù-sí-è-ĩ[companion strength]<sub>GEN</sub>-sp.-at surpass-poss.-3m.sg.-sp.which surpassed the strength of his companion.

The NP /sàmbò/ 'strength' in the first clause is modified by the possessive construction which follows it. The possessive construction contains the verb stem /l'úsúkù/ 'surpass', of which the modified NP is the subject. This relative clause is also part of a second relative clause, which modifies the head NP /hô/ 'who' and contains the noun stem /sàmbò/ 'strength' in a possessive construction. Thus, the same construction is used for a NP that is the subject of the relative clause verb which modifies it, and for a NP which is the possessor of the possessed noun stem in the relative clause.

Relative clauses may be headless, as in the following example:

(45)	?á:rê	g <sup>w</sup> á:bósề:	lík <sup>w</sup> â	ts'átànầ
	?á:rè	g <sup>w</sup> á:bò-sí-è-:	lí-k <sup>w</sup> à	ts'â-tà-nà
	truly	thirst-poss3m.sgsp.	come-3m.sg.Subj.PC	water-in-to
	Truly,	he who has thirst should	come to the water.	

In the following example, the relative clause contains a PP:

(46)	?ó?sì?	?à:	hòsó	mélìtầ	jà?bèsìsồ̃:sồ	
	?ó? ॄ -sí?	?á:	hòsó	mêlì-tà	jà?bé-sí-sò-r̄ -sò	
	there(ref.)-loc.	NC(3pl.)	they	boat-in	work-poss3a.plsp	3a.pl.
	mélĩ̃:suts'ầ?		dûru	nầ?	!èmò:ầ?	n∥wè:
	mêlì-: ̃-sù-ts'ì-	dúrù	-nà-à?	!èmé-ó:-à?	<sup>n</sup> ∥ <sup>w</sup> ě:	
	boat-sp3f.sgat-3pl.PC			-to-3pl.PC	1	try
	It was then that they who worked in the boat tried to take the boat to shor					o shore.

A relative clause may be derived from a negative possessive construction, as the following example illustrates:

(47)	hót∫ō:ki̥mề:	kìpàlàlà	sī́:gî	tùkų	màkă:
	hót∫ō:-kìmé:-è	kìpàlàlà	sī́:-ì	tû-kù	màkă:
	what-bec2pl.	sweat	you(pl.)-pro.	come.out-caus.	thing

l'àntásúkusèts'ề:kimè:
l'àntá-sí-kù-sí-è-ts'é-i -kìmé:
be.satisfied-poss.-caus.-poss.-3m.sg.-neg.-sp.-bec.
Why do you (pl.) contribute your (pl.) sweat for something which does not satisfy?

The order of the negative morpheme and the relativising specificity morpheme determines the meaning of such a construction, as can be seen when the following two elicited examples are contrasted:

- (48) !<sup>hw</sup>àtáts'isèts'ề:
  !<sup>hw</sup>àtáts'ì-sí-è-ts'è-i sin-poss.-3m.sg.-neg.-sp. The one who does not have sin.
- (49) !<sup>hw</sup>àtáts'isề:ts'è
   !<sup>hw</sup>àtáts'ì-sí-è-:<sup>\*</sup>-ts'è
   sin-poss.-3m.sg.-sp.-neg.
   Not the one who has sin.

#### 11.5.2 Object relative

Relative clauses in which the modified NP is the object of the relative clause verb, differ in form, according to the PGN status of the object and the object marking of the verb. If the verb is marked for the object and the object is third person masculine singular, the pronominal morpheme /-i/ precedes the relativiser /-i?<sup>75</sup>

(50)	hábúsẫ:	t∫á:	pò:wèĩ:	hàbùsénî:	
	hábúsà-:	t∫í-á:	pó-é-ì-:⊂	hàbùsé-nè-ì	
	condition-sp.	I-SF	2sg.obj3m.sg.objprosp.	keep.condition-interrog2sg.PC	
	Did you keep the condition which I gave you?				

If the object is not third person masculine singular, a low toned PGN morpheme corresponding to the PGN status of the object precedes the specificity marker. The following elicited example illustrates this for a third person feminine object:

(51)	t∫á:	!'ò:wèsū̃:sų	nâmų		
	t∫í-á:	!'ŏ:-é-su-: ̃-sù	námù		
	I-SF	meet-3m.sg.obj3f.sgsp3f.sg.	Namu		
	The one I met was Namu.				

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>75</sup> Note here that although the main clause verb in (50) is imperfective, the object relative clause is not suffixed with the imperfective morpheme /-ts' $\hat{l}$ /.

Note that two low toned PGN morphemes are, therefore, suffixed to the verb. The occurrence of the second of these morphemes is part of the normal behaviour of the specificity morpheme (see section 2.5).

If the object in the relative clause is marked as an inanimate plural, the morpheme /-xé:/ precedes the specificity morpheme (and follows the object marking):

(52)	kò:	bò?wà:	bóxề:	t∫á:	pó?wà:xề:
	kó:	bô-wá:	bô-xé:-:	t∫í-á:	pó-? wá:-xé:-:
	SC(2sg.)	say-3i.pl.obj.	word-plsp.	I-SF	2sg.obj3i.pl.objplsp.
	You shoul	ld say the words			

An object, which is marked as an animate plural by means of the object suffix  $/-?\tilde{1}$  in the verb, is also marked by a following third person plural low toned PGN morpheme, and then the specificity morpheme, and a second PGN morpheme of the same type. The following elicited example illustrates this:

(53)	t∫á:	!'ò:?ī̀:sồ̀:sồ	<sup>n</sup> ∥ŏ:kó
	t∫í-á:	!'ŏ:-?ī́:-sò-:̇̀ -sò	<sup>n</sup> ∥ŏ:kó
	I-SF	meet-3a.pl.obj3a.plsp3a.pl.	children
	The or	nes I met were the children.	

If the relative clause verb is not marked for the object, the applicative morpheme /-ts'è/ precedes the specificity morpheme:

(54)	hĭ:sí:	hàpá:	tákàts'ề:	!'ò:wèpồ
	hĭ:sí:	hàpú-á:	tâkà-ts'è-:	!'ŏː-é-ì-pò
	then	you-SF	want-applsp.	get-3m.sg.objirr2sg.
	Then y	ou will ge	t what you want.	

If, as in the preceding example, the object is third person singular, no PGN agreement morpheme is required. For other PGN values, the relevant low toned PGN morpheme occurs after the applicative morpheme and before the specificity morpheme.<sup>76</sup> The same position can, instead, be filled by the plural morpheme /-xé:/, as in the following example:

(55)	hèwé	?ìndʒàsṻ̀:	hèwá:	bàlò:wàts'èxề:
	hèwé	?ìndʒá-sữ̃:	hèwé-á:	báló:-wà-ts'è-xé:-:
		1 2 . 1		herd-multapplplsp.
	We are	his sheep which	he herds.	

Note how, in all of the examples of object relative clauses in this section, the subject of the relative clause verb is followed by the SF marker. It is possible, instead, to attach a realis PC

 $<sup>^{76}</sup>$  Unlike the combination of the applicative morpheme followed by an object morpheme (see table 5.1 in section 5.1), there is no assimilation between the applicative morpheme and the low toned PGN morpheme.

to the verb, after any object marking and before the relative clause marking, but this is not a preferred option and has not been attested in texts.

The text corpus contains two examples of relative clauses with postpositional objects. In the following example, we may assume that the postposition /-?i:/ with' is followed by the specificity morpheme functioning as a relativiser, although this is not evident at the surface level:

(56)ékō:!è:mèk<sup>w</sup>èk<sup>w</sup>à:lâ:gè:é-kò-í!ě:mé-kù-èk<sup>w</sup>á:lâ:-é:take-2sg.Imp.PC-&deliver(3m.sg.obj.)-ben.-3m.sg.obj.SC(3m.sg.)see-3m.sg.obj.Take it and deliver it to him so that he shall see

ts'wá?ấ:tjá:tjǔ:sin!à?wàwà?ts'wá?á-itjí-á:tjú:-sin!á:-wà-wà-?claw-sp.I-SFanimal-1sg.PCcatch-mult.-mult.-with-sp.the claw with which I (habitually) catch animals.

The relativiser may be clearly seen in the second example, which contains the postposition /-xé? / 'like':

(57)	k <sup>w</sup> à:	dì?sě:á:	<sup>n</sup> ∥ŏ:kóts'ầ?			
	k <sup>w</sup> á:	dì?sě:-á:	<sup>n</sup> ∥ŏ:kó-ts'ì̥-à?			
	NC(3m.sg.)	old.man-SF	children-at-3pl.PC			
	bóầ?	ànòlòxè	?ềkì			
	bô-à? ánólò-xé? - : kí					
	word-3pl.PC	show-like	e-spadd.			
	But as for how the old people set rules for the children,					
	(Lit. But as fo	or how the old	people showed children the word,)			
	?ó?â:	t∫ <sup>h</sup> è	:kì			

1014.	IJ U.KI
?ó? ̥-à	t∫ <sup>h</sup> ě:-kí
there(ref.)-3m.sg.PC	absent-verb.
there it is finished.	

# **12 Discourse**

A detailed analysis of discourse features in Sandawe does not fall within the scope of this grammar, but some key features will be briefly discussed in the following sections. For further discussion of the relationship between discourse features and core grammar in Sandawe, see Eaton (2002). An analysis of information structure marking in oral texts is found in Elderkin (1994) and one of information structure marking in written texts in Eaton (2005).

#### 12.1 Narrative structure

If a Sandawe narrative is divided into thematic groupings, it can be seen that there are two features which commonly mark the start of a new thematic grouping: Firstly, it is common for a temporal PP or adverb to precede a narrative conjunction at the start of a thematic grouping:

p<sup>h</sup>éìẽ:? dàk'<sup>w</sup>ě́: k<sup>w</sup>à: (1)lí dàk'<sup>w</sup>ě:-: p<sup>h</sup>ê-ì-é:-ř-ts'ì k<sup>w</sup>á: lí tomorrow-pro.-3m.sg.-sp.-at NC(3m.sg.) come donkey-sp. The next day, along came Donkey. (2)s<sup>w</sup>ê t<sup>h</sup>ék<sup>h</sup>él<sup>§</sup>: <sup>h</sup>ĭ:ā: bòxè?à k<sup>h</sup>è?è pàr s<sup>w</sup>ê t<sup>h</sup>ék<sup>h</sup>élé:-: pá: l<sup>h</sup>ĭ:à-á: bô-xé? -à k<sup>h</sup>é?é NC(3m.sg.) dik.dik-SF speak-like-3m.sg.PC now hyena-sp. hear Now Hyena heard how Dik-dik spoke.

Secondly, the presence of conjunctions other than the default narrative or repetitive conjunctions is often associated with the transition to a new thematic grouping:

(3)	hèwé?gầ: hèwé?͡gâ-à and.so-3m.sg.F	U	í-ľ	k <sup>h</sup> ŏ:tát∫ē k <sup>h</sup> ŏ:-tà-t∫è-é house-in-from-3m.sg.	t <sup>w</sup> ě:â t <sup>w</sup> ě:-à at.night-3m.s	g.PC
	tû: tû:- <sup>ź</sup> come.out-& And so Lion ca now.	hík'ī̃: hík'ì̥-:ᠮ́ go-& ame out of	n € wi	età: e-tà-à lderness-in-3m.sg.PC house at night and went	?ìèwầ ?íé-wà live-mult. and lived in th	s <sup>w</sup> énàkì s <sup>w</sup> ê-nà-kí now-to-add. ne wilderness until

(4)	nĩ	hĭ:sísí?	wàgósú	tésų	kó:súsų				
	nī́:	hǐ:sí-sí?	wàgó-sú	té-sù	kó:-sí-sù				
	and	then-loc.	non.Sandawe-3f.sg.	other-3f.sg.	present-poss3f.sg.				
	And, at that time, there was another non-Sandawe around.								

In a narrative, the function of /hǐ:sí/ 'then' or 'at that time' is to introduce an event which does not follow chronologically from the previous event. Thus, it contrasts with the NC, which is typically used to introduce events that follow in sequence. An event introduced by /hǐ:sí/ may be background information, as in (4), or it may be a flashback, as in (5), where it is appropriate to use the pluperfect in the English gloss:

(5)	hĭ:sí	k <sup>h</sup> ìmbà	jónầ	?à?é:â
	hĭ:sí	k <sup>h</sup> ímbá	jônà	?à?é:-à
	then	interj.(surprise)	Jonah	earlier-3m.sg.PC

mélì	gùrà	lúkų?ìē:tànầ:	∥àkī́:
mêlì	gùrà	lúkù-ts'ì-ì-é:-: -tà-nà-à	∥àkí-: ́
[boat	room] <sub>GEN</sub>	bottom-at-pro3m.sgspin-to-3m.sg.PC	descend-&
But, at	that time, Jo	nah had already gone down into the boat room	n at the bottom,

nī̀:gā̀:	<sup>n</sup> ∥ínế:	<b>∥'</b> ô	téłâ:			
nĩ:-à	<sup>n</sup> ∥íné-ŕ	<b>∥'</b> ô	téłà-à			
and-3m.sg.PC	lie.down-&	sleep	completely-3m.sg.PC			
and had lain down and was sleeping soundly.						

The subordinate clause can be used to show tail-head linkage in a narrative, as in the following example:

(6)	híô	ní?ī̈́:	<sup>n</sup> létànồ:		<sup>n</sup> ∥ề:i?
	hí-ò	ní?-ŕ	<sup>n</sup>  ê-tà-nà-ò		<sup>n</sup> ∥ě:-ì?
	when-1pl.]	PC go-&	bush-in-to-1	pl.PC	enter-sub.cl.
	When we	went and en	tered into the	bush,	
	kò:	kêutồ m	òkòndồgồ		là?wà:
	kó:	kêutò mê	òkóndó-r -ò		lâ:-wá:
	NC(1pl.)	[pig tra	ck] <sub>GEN</sub> -sp1p	ol.PC	see-3i.pl.obj.
	we saw pig	g tracks.			1 0
	aWa hia		40	+J '	
	s <sup>w</sup> ê híô		lá?wā̃:	ly	èmsèi?
	s <sup>w</sup> ê hí-ờ	)	lẫ:-wá:-:	tł	èm̀sé-ì?
	now whe	n-1pl.PC	see-3i.pl.obj	& fii	nish-sub.cl.
	Now after	we had seen	<u>n them,</u>		
	1 \		<b>`</b>	1. \\\	
	kò:	pàŋgáts'íć		bàːrầ	
	kó:	pàngá-ts'í	-ò	bă:rà	
	NC(1pl.)	arrange-ref	flex1pl.PC	start	
	then we sta	arted to arra	nge ourselves	5.	

This use of the subordinate clause is more common in a procedural text than in a narrative. Another common function of the subordinate clause is illustrated by the first clause of the preceding example, that of moving the action to a new location. A third function of the subordinate clause is the expression of simultaneous events, as in the following example:

(7)	hîsi	hèwé	hábá?số:ts'ìsi	k <sup>h</sup> è?ềi?
	hî-sì	hèwé	hàbà?sé-ó:-: ̆-ts'ì़-sì	k <sup>h</sup> é?é-ì?
	when-1sg.PC	dem.(ref.3m.sg.)	make.sound-nmlspat-1sg.PC	hear-sub.cl.
	When I heard	this sound,		

sì:	téłâsį	łá:sį	<sup>n</sup> ∥ùmè			
sí:	téłà-sì	łá:-sì	<sup>n</sup> ∥úmé			
NC(1sg.)	completely-1sg.PC	well-1sg.PC	stand			
I stood completely well.						

A further feature of narrative structure in Sandawe is the use of the directional verbs 'come' and 'go' to express movement to and from the deictic centre:

l<sup>w</sup>ă:si <sup>n</sup>|è?wấ́: (8) hík'isī: mìndàtầsi <sup>n</sup>|ě:-wá:-ŕ hík'ì-sì-ŕ mìndà-tà-sì ∣<sup>w</sup>ằ:-sì go-1sg.PC-& field-to-1sg.PC millet-1sg.PC cut-3i.pl.obj.-& I go and cut millet in the field and ĺĩ. kẵ: kùnùtànầsi 1í-: kǎ:-: kùnù-tà-nà-sì come-& mortar-in-to-1sg.PC put-& I come and put them in the mortar pů:ế: nìsĩ: bùrù?sè: pů:-é-ŕ ní:-sì bùrù?sé-é and-1sg.PC pound-3m.sg.obj.-& winnow-3m.sg.obj. and pound it and winnow it.

The deictic centre here is the home of the speaker, from where she goes to get to the field and to where she returns to put millet in the mortar.

When the next event in a narrative takes place in a new location, a verb of directional movement must be used, either alone or in conjunction with another verb:

hík'ĩ́: (9) l<sup>h</sup>ĭ:â híâ ts'à:k<sup>w</sup>à <sup>n</sup> le:i? hík'ì-í l<sup>h</sup>ǐ:à hí-à ts'ǎ:-kù-à <sup>n</sup>∥ě:-ì? when-3m.sg.PC go-& [dik.dik home]<sub>GEN</sub>-at-3m.sg.PC arrive-sub.cl. When he (Hyena) went and arrived at Dik-dik's home, rõ hầxị mé: hâxì rõ mé: again voice big again the big voice.

Hyena has moved from the path where he found a bone, to arriving at Dik-dik's home and, thus, 'go' is used. This can be contrasted with the following example, where Dik-dik simply 'enters', without any need for a directional verb, since in the previous clause, she was standing outside the house:

(10) sà: <sup>n</sup>∥ě:â sá: <sup>n</sup>∥ě:-à NC(3f.sg.) enter-conn.
mánt∫<sup>h</sup>ákų?ī: hèsú <sup>n</sup>∥ókồ:sòsà mánt∫<sup>h</sup>à-kỳ-?í: hèsú <sup>n</sup>∥ôkò-: -sò-sà eat-caus.-3a.pl.obj. [she children]<sub>GEN</sub>-sp.-3a.pl.-3f.sg.PC Then she entered and fed her children.

#### 12.2 Participant reference

Major participants are usually introduced in presentational sentences, such as the following:

(11)	?útá:	ló:ló?ố:	!' <sup>w</sup> ấ:	nĩ:	!'òròrồ:	
	?útá:	ló:ló?ồ:	!' <sup>w</sup> á:-í	nī́:	!'òròrð:	
	very.long.ago		pigeon-&	and	frog	
	Very long ago (there was) Pigeon and Frog.					

They may also be introduced as the object of a verb like 'meet' or 'see':

(12)	mă:kâ	tê?	sàndàwě:sú	tésûsi	lầ:	
	mă:kà	té-è-ts'ì	sàndàwě:-sú	té-sù-sì	lâ:	
	year	other-3m.sgat	Sandawe-3f.sg.	other-3f.sg1sg.PC	see	
	The other year, I saw another Sandawe woman,					

t‡'àbísósúsụ t‡'àbísó-sí-sù stomach-poss.-3f.sg. she was pregnant.

Minor participants can be introduced in topic-comment sentences:

(13)	mélìtầ mêlì-tà [[boat-in	jà?àbồ já?ábò work] <sub>GEN</sub>	<sup>n</sup>  òmòsồ̀:sồ <sup>n</sup>  òmósò-:̇̀ -s people] <sub>GEN</sub> -s			
	?à:	?úrâ?		k <sup>w</sup> àtiwà		
	?á:	?úrì-à	12	k <sup>w</sup> átì-wà		
	NC(3pl.) very-3pl.PC be.startled-mult. The sailors were very startled. ( <i>Lit. The people of the work of the boat were very startled.</i> )					

The general pattern for participant reference in Sandawe is for same subject references to rely on agreement only, whereas, all change of subject references use full NPs. An exception to the latter part of the rule can be made if gender differentiates the subjects (and, therefore, agreement is enough for disambiguation), particularly if one is a major participant and one is a minor participant:

(14)		hádó?tà: hádò?-tà PC compour rrived in the co	a-sà nd-in-3f.sg	j.PC	<sup>n</sup> ∥ề:i? <sup>n</sup> ∥ě:-ì? arrive-su	b.cl.	
	sà: sá: NC(3f.sg.) <u>she</u> slipped	-	!'àwé !'àwé fall				
	pà: pá: NC(3m.sg.) and <u>the fire</u>	"î:gā: "î:-:̇̀-á: fire-spSF went out.	t∫ <sup>h</sup> ồu t∫ <sup>h</sup> óù go.out				
	· · · ·	tł'èsé:â tł'èsé:-à repeat-conn. turned again to	k <sup>hw</sup> à return	∥'î:n≀ ∥'î:-n fire-t	-	2	
		łð:mế: łŏ:mé-ź PC pick.up(٤ icked up fire a		·		∥ŏ:?sầ ∥ŏ:-ts'ì़-sà path-at-3f.sg.PC he path,	<sup>n</sup> llèːi? <sup>n</sup> llěː-ì? arrive-sub.cl.
	sầxị sàxì RC(3f.sg.) <u>she</u> slipped pầxị	and fell again,	!'àwè !'awe fall ôu				
	pàxì RC(3m.sg.)	∥'î:-: t∫ <sup>h</sup>	óù .out				

swámkísà:khwà!'òròrŏ:ts'à:nàsàswámkí-ì-é:-:č-ts'ìsá:khwà!'òròrŏ:ts'à:-nà-sàthree-pro.-3m.sg.-sp.-atNC(3f.sg.)return[froghome]<sub>GEN</sub>-to-3f.sg.PCFor the third time shereturned to Frog's home.

Here Pigeon ('she') is a major participant and the fire is a minor participant. On two occasions, the change of subject from the fire to Pigeon is not shown by a full NP referring to Pigeon. If it had been, then Pigeon and the fire would have (inappropriately) appeared to have equal status as participants.

The additive suffix /-ki/ is often attached to a subject NP when it differs from the subject of the previous clause. In particular, it seems to be used to show a contrast between the similar actions of two participants. The following example comes after another participant in the narrative says, 'I will call my people':

(15)	t∫íkí	t∫í	<sup>n</sup> lèmèsè:	hà!ầ:si
	t∫í-kí	t∫í	<sup>n</sup>  èmésé:	há!à-é-ì-sì
	I-add.	[I	person] <sub>GEN</sub>	call-3m.sg.objirr1sg.
	And I v	will c	all my persor	1.

Slightly later in the same narrative, the first participant calls her people and then a similar action is undertaken by another participant:

(16) sà: !'òròrŏ̃; sukīā: sá: !'órórố:-ĩ̃-sù-kí-á: NC(3f.sg.) frog-sp.-3f.sg.-add.-SF
hèsú <sup>n</sup>|èmèsề̃:sầ hà!à: hèsú <sup>n</sup>|èmésé:-ĩ̃-sà há!à-é [she person]<sub>GEN</sub>-sp.-3f.sg.PC call-3m.sg.obj. And then Frog called her person.

Referential demonstratives are commonly used to refer to participants in narratives. They precede the noun which they modify when the noun is introduced and then, afterwards, may follow it, as shown in (17):

(17) sì: màtíkêsi pù:wè
sí: màtíkèsì pǔ:-é
NC(1sg.) partially.ripe.millet-1sg.PC pound-3m.sg.obj. Then I pound <u>the partially ripe millet</u> [...]

sìr	mà	ıtíkê:		hèwéts'î	sį		íể:
SÍĽ	mà	ıtíkè-ř		hèwé-ts'	ì-sì		íé-É
NC(1sg.)	pai	tially.ripe.mill	et-sp.	dem.(ref.)	3m.sg.)-	at-1sg.PC	stay-&
e ah e							
mánt∫ <sup>h</sup> áy	ō:	pà:	łâ:		l'ùnì:	sì:	!òm̀sé:
mánt∫ <sup>h</sup> à-y	/óː	pá:	∮á:-à		l'únì	SÍ:	!òm̀sé-é
eat-dur.		NC(3m.sg.)	well-	3m.sg.PC	ripen	NC(1sg.)	harvest-3m.sg.obj.
Then I keep eating this partially ripe millet, and then it ripens well, and then I harvest							
it.							

The text corpus contains no examples of distal demonstratives used in narratives (except in speech) and only a few examples of proximal demonstratives:

(18)	hě:sô	kísósồsồ	k <sup>w</sup> ámế:	hàbà?sế:	<sup>n</sup>  àtìsồ		
	hě:sò	kísò-sò-r̃-sò	k <sup>w</sup> ámé-:	hàbà?sé-: ี้	<sup>n</sup>  àtí-sò		
	dem.(prox.3pl.)	two-3a.plsp3a.pl.	drive.out-&	make.noise-&	come-3a.pl.		
	These two were to come, driving out and making noise.						

The function of proximal demonstratives in narratives is currently unclear.

#### 12.3 Focus and topic

In Sandawe, the marking of focused constituents depends on the type of clause. In a realis clause, constituents are marked as focused by attaching either a realis PC or a SF marker, according to whether the constituent in question is a non-subject or a subject.<sup>77</sup> The exception to this rule is that a verb tends to be attached with a PC only if it alone is focused in a sentence.

The preceding rule can be translated into the following focus patterns:

Sentence focus	S- <sub>SF</sub>	O- <sub>PC</sub>	Adv/PP-PC	V
Predicate focus	S	O- <sub>PC</sub>	Adv/PP-PC	V
Subject focus	S- <sub>SF</sub>	0	Adv/PP	V
Object focus	S	O- <sub>PC</sub>	Adv/PP	V
Verb focus	S	0	Adv/PP	V- <sub>PC</sub>

Table 12.1 Focus patterns

In natural discourse, however, the three single constituent focus patterns are unlikely to occur in the preceding form as it would be unusual for so many unfocused constituents to occur in one clause.

The first clause of the following example illustrates the use of the pattern  $S_{-SF} O_{-PC} V$  for expressing sentence focus:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>77</sup> Elderkin refers to any constituent which is followed by either of these morphemes as a *marked* constituent (1989:27), which has 'prominence in the information structure of the clause' (1986:108).

!'àk<sup>h</sup>ẵ:gầ ∥<sup>h</sup>àt∫<sup>h</sup>ữ̂:gā: tł'àk<sup>hw</sup>è:ầ (19) pà: ∥<sup>h</sup>àt∫<sup>h</sup>ú-: ̇́-á: !'àk<sup>h</sup>ằ:-: ̇́-à pár tł'ák<sup>h</sup>ù-é-à NC(3m.sg.) lion-sp.-SF tooth-sp.-3m.sg.PC pull.out-3m.sg.obj.-conn. Then Lion pulled out a tooth and dì?sě:sû:swà ?ìè dì?sě:-sú-r -sù-à ?í-é old.person-3f.sg.-sp.-3f.sg.-3m.sg.PC 3pers.-3m.sg.obj.

gave it to the old woman.

The following example uses the pattern S PP-PC V to express predicate focus:

(20) s<sup>w</sup>ê ∥<sup>h</sup>àt∫<sup>h</sup>û: gùràts'à: <sup>n</sup>∥ìnèwà
 s<sup>w</sup>ê ∥<sup>h</sup>àt∫<sup>h</sup>ú-i gùrà-ts'ì-à <sup>n</sup>∥íné-wà
 now lion-sp. room-at-3m.sg.PC lie.down-mult.
 Now Lion lay down in the room.

The subject focus marker is shown in the following example, which follows the pattern S- $_{SF}$  Adv V+V:

(21) t∫á: ?útá:kí mě:nā: sìè
t∫í-á: ?útá:-kí mě:nà-é-ť sí-é
I-SF long.ago-add. love-3m.sg.-& take-3m.sg.obj.
And even long ago I loved her and took her.

The following example illustrates object focus. The object NPs in both clauses are contrastively focused and the pattern S  $O_{PC}$  V is used:

(22)	pà: pá: NC(3m.sg	"`ò"`â: "`ò"`á-:̇́ ;.) baboon-sp.	
	cow l	t∫ <sup>h</sup> â̂:kisèà t∫ <sup>h</sup> â̂:kì-sí-è-à be.fat-poss3m.sg3m.sg.PC oon chose a fat cow,	màłè: màłé-é choose-3m.sg.obj.
	k <sup>w</sup> à: k <sup>w</sup> á: NC(3m.sg	<sup>n</sup>   <sup>w</sup> ă: <sup>≏</sup> <sup>n</sup>   <sup>w</sup> ă:-; <sup>−</sup> 5.) elephant-sp.	
	cow l	gàndàséầ gàndà-sí-è-à be.thin-poss3m.sg3m.sg.PC Elephant chose a thin cow.	màłè: màłé-é choose-3m.sg.obj.

Finally, the following example illustrates the use of the pattern Adv O V-PC with verb focus:

(23) s<sup>w</sup>ê shúlékī bă:rā:?à
 s<sup>w</sup>ê shúlè-kí bă:rà-é-à?
 now school-add. start-3m.sg.obj.-3pl.PC
 And now they have even started school.

Elicited examples show that it is also possible to use realis PCs and the SF marker to focus non-verb constituents in a realis negative clause:

- (24) kòlỗ:sầ dlòmó:t $\int^{h}$ ū kòlỗ:-: -sà dlòmó-é-t $\int^{h}$ i-sú hoe-sp.-3f.sg.PC buy-3m.sg.obj.-neg.-3f.sg. She didn't buy the hoe (*she bought something else instead*).
- (25) t∫á: t<sup>h</sup>érê: ?á:mét∫<sup>h</sup>ē t∫í-á: t<sup>h</sup>érè-i ?á:mé-t∫<sup>h</sup>ì-sé I-SF pot-sp. break(3m.sg.obj.)-neg.-1sg. I didn't break the pot (someone else did).

However, no examples of this have as yet been found in texts.

As first noted by Elderkin (1991:110), in an irrealis clause (both affirmative and negative), the verb is focused if it occurs with a non-lowered tone pattern. The following elicited example illustrates this:

(26) kòlŏ: dlòmô:su kòlŏ: dlòmó-é-ì-sù hoe buy-3m.sg.obj.-irr.-3f.sg. She will buy a hoe (contrary to expectation).

If the tone pattern of an irrealis verb is lowered, the constituent which occurs immediately before it is focused:

(27)	kísósồ:sồ	mòkóndố:	∥'à?wầ:sồ
	kísò-sò-ž-sò	mòkóndó-r	∥'ǎ:-wá:-ì-sò
	two-3a.plsp3a.pl.	track-sp.	follow-3i.pl.objirr3a.pl.
	Two were to follow t	he tracks.	

When a subject in a realis sentence does not occur with a following SF marker, it can be analysed as a topic. Subject topics may occur after a conjunction (as in (22)), or before a conjunction, as in the following example, in which case they can be analysed as points of departure:

(28) dàk'<sup>w</sup>ě: k<sup>w</sup>à: ts'átàt∫èà: tû: hík'ĩ: dàk'<sup>w</sup>ě:-i k<sup>w</sup>á: ts'â-tà-t∫è-é-á: tû-i hík'ĩ: donkey-sp. NC(3m.sg.) water-in-from-3m.sg.-SF come.out go-& As for Donkey, then he came out of the water and went and

dórỗ:gầ	xàts'èwàts'è:	k <sup>w</sup> à:	kà?		
dóró-i -à	xàts'éwà-ts'è-é	k <sup>w</sup> á:	ká?		
zebra-sp3m.sg.PC	scold-appl3m.sg.obj.	NC(3m.sg.)	hear.		
scolded Zebra saying					

When a NP occurs in such a position, it is usual for it to be followed by an intonational pause. A NP which precedes a conjunction may be an external topic, and not the subject of the clause, as in the following example:

(29)	s <sup>w</sup> ê	dàk' <sup>w</sup> ě́:	k <sup>w</sup> à:	<sup>n</sup>  î̂!	t∫ <sup>h</sup> íā:	l' <sup>w</sup> à:si̥k <sup>w</sup> è	
	s <sup>w</sup> ê	dàk' <sup>w</sup> ě:-ř	k <sup>w</sup> á:	<sup>n</sup>  î̂t	t∫ <sup>h</sup> íà-á:	l' <sup>w</sup> áː-sì̥-kù-è	
	now	donkey-sp.	NC(3m.sg.)	body	all-SF	sore-verbben3m.sg.obj.	
Now as for Donkey, his whole body broke out in sores.							

When an object NP is a topic in a realis clause, it occurs without a following PC. If the NP conveys established information, it is suffixed with the specificity morpheme:

(30)	?ó?gầsị	t∫í	?àdì:sī̃:	tồu
	?ó?, -gâ-sì	t∫í	?àdĭ:sì-:	tóù
	there(ref.)-decl1sg.PC	[I	story] <sub>GEN</sub> -sp.	finish
	I finish my story there.			

If the object topic is non-established within the discourse, it is followed by the additive morpheme /-ki/, as in (23) above and, also, as in the following example:

(31)	mánt∫ <sup>h</sup> ákī	ûrsā:	màłế:	mánt∫ <sup>h</sup> â
	mánt∫ <sup>h</sup> à-kí	úrī́:-sà	màłé-ŕ	mánt∫ <sup>h</sup> à
	food-add.	very-3f.sg.PC	choose-&	eat
	And as for fo	od, she only ate	what she lik	ked.

Although constituent order in Sandawe is flexible, in natural discourse the following default order is common:

Temporal (Adv or PP) / Subject / Object / Verb Conjunction / PP / Disjunct Adverb

Departures from the basic SOV order can be in order to topicalise the object, as in the following example:

 (32) hèwéxé: <sup>n</sup>∥ŏ:kô <sup>n</sup>ſìnsö hèwéxé: <sup>n</sup>∥ŏ:kô <sup>n</sup>ſinì-ì-sô dem.(ref.pl.) children eat(meat)-irr.-3a.pl. These, the children would eat.

This order is more common in irrealis clauses than in realis clauses. A possible reason for this is that, in a realis clause, simply omitting the PC from the object indicates that it is a topic, whereas this option is not available in the irrealis. The default position for an object is immediately preverbal and this is the focus position in the irrealis. Therefore, when the object is a topic, the order OSV can be employed so that it does not occupy the focus position.

Verb-initial orders are rare. The following examples illustrate VS and VO orders, respectively:

(33)	p <sup>h</sup> éìĩe:?		k <sup>w</sup> à:	lí	dàk' <sup>w</sup> ě́:
	p <sup>h</sup> ê-ì-é:-: ts'ì		k <sup>w</sup> á:	lí	dàk' <sup>w</sup> ě:-ř
	tomorrow-pro3m.sg	gspat	NC(3m.sg.)	come	donkey-sp.
	The next day along c	ame Dor	nkey.		
(34)	?á:réts'īkồ	bà?ésễ	I	jèsi	ū̃:ts'į
	?á:rêts'í-kò	bà?é-si	í-è-Ì	jêsi	ù-r̄ -ts'ì
	believe-2sg.Imp.PC		poss3m.sgs	p. Jesi	us-spat
	Believe in the Lord J	esus!			

In both examples, the verb is focused.

PPs are more flexible in their positioning than other constituents. A PP may occur after a verb when it is an afterthought, a correction, or an explanation of ambiguity (Elderkin, 1994:4):

(35)	sìr	kòlỗ: sị	síâ:
	sí:	kòlỗ:-テ̃-sì	sí-é-à
	NC(1sg.)	hoe-sp1sg.PC	take-3m.sg.objconn.
	Then I tak	te the hoe and	
	hík'â:	łŏ:mé	mìndầ̃:si̯
	hík'ì̥-à	łŏ:mé	mìndà-: -sì
	go-conn.	cultivate(3m.sg.o	bj.) field-sp1sg.PC

go and cultivate it, the field.

A PP may also be positioned after a verb, in order to contrast it with a preverbal PP in a following clause:

(36) k<sup>w</sup>à: dórố: t<sup>h</sup>à <sup>n</sup>létànà: k<sup>w</sup>á: dóró-i t<sup>h</sup>â <sup>n</sup>lê-tà-nà-à NC(3m.sg.) zebra-sp. run bush-in-to-3m.sg.PC Then Zebra ran into the bush,

k <sup>w</sup> á:	dàk' <sup>w</sup> ě́:	ts'ǎ:nâ:	k <sup>h</sup> w`à			
k <sup>w</sup> á:	dàk' <sup>w</sup> ě:-ř	ts'ǎː-nà-à	$k^{\mathrm{hw}}$ à			
NC(3m.sg.)	donkey-sp.	home-to-3m.sg.PC	return			
(whereas) Donkey returned home.						

#### **12.4 Prominence**

A constituent can be marked as prominent independently of other information structure statuses, such as focus and topic. The means of marking this prominence depends on the type of unit involved. That is, a constituent can be marked as prominent by the addition of the locative suffix /-sí?/ or the durative morpheme /-jó:/, an event can be marked as prominent by being introduced by the conjunction /hèwé? gà-/ 'and so', and a speech can be marked as prominent by being introduced by the formula /?íxì- kí-ká?/ 'thus saying' (see the following section on reporting speech).

The following example shows how the locative suffix /-sí?/ can be attached to NPs to mark them as thematically prominent; this is particularly common in copular constructions:

(37)	! <sup>hw</sup> àtáts'įmē:	∥ <sup>h</sup> èmò:sì?	tłă:sį
	! <sup>hw</sup> àtáts'ì̥-kì̥mé:	∥ <sup>h</sup> èmé-ó:-sí?	tłă:sì
	sin-bec.	pay-nmlloc.	death
	The payment for s	in is indeed dea	th.

The locative morpheme is commonly used in this way together with a preceding third person masculine singular narrative conjunction. This combination marks a contrast with the preceding part of the discourse:

(38)	pà:	wàràŋgě:sí?	jónàts'ầ:	?íxâ:	bồ
	pá:	wàràngě:-sí?	jônà-ts'ì̥-à	?íxì-à	bô
	NC(3m.sg.)	god-loc.	Jonah-at-3m.sg.PC	thus-3m.sg.PC	say
	But God said	to Jonah thus.			

The durative morpheme /-jó:/ can be attached to a verb to mark emphatic prominence:

(39) hùmàséàjó: hùmà-sé-à-jó: defeat-1sg.obj.-3m.sg.PC-dur. He has defeated me!

The following example contains the conjunction /hèwé? gà-/ 'and so', which is used to introduce a thematically prominent event in a narrative:

(40)	hèwé?gầ: hèwé? gâ-à	∥ <sup>h</sup> àt∫ <sup>h</sup> ấ ∥ <sup>h</sup> àt∫ <sup>h</sup> ť		k <sup>h</sup> ŏ:tát∫ē k <sup>h</sup> ŏ:-tà-t∫è-é	t <sup>w</sup> ě:â t <sup>w</sup> ě:-à	
	and.so-3m.sg.F	PC lion-sp	).	house-in-from-3m.sg.	at.night-3m.s	sg.PC
	tû: tû:- <sup>-</sup>	hík'ī̃: hík'ì-: ̇́	-	rtà: e-tà-à	?ìèwầ ?íé-wà	s <sup>w</sup> énàkì s <sup>w</sup> ê-nà-kí
	come.out-& And so Lion ca now.	go-&	wi	lderness-in-3m.sg.PC house at night and went	live-mult. and lived in th	now-to-add. ne wilderness until

In addition to these means of marking prominence, Sandawe uses object marking in transitive verbs to show the relative prominence of sentences within a discourse. A verb marked for an object is the default verb form for the main events of a narrative, whereas, a verb that is unmarked for object is used to mark information as backgrounded. This can be seen in the following example, which is the introductory sentence to a story and sets the scene for what follows:

(41)mǎ:kâ tê?sàndàwě:sú tésûsilầ:mǎ:kà té-è-ts'ìsàndàwě:-sú té-sù-sìlầ:yearother-3m.sg.-atSandawe-3f.sg.other-3f.sg.-1sg.PCThe other year, I saw anotherSandawe woman,

tł'àbísósúsų tł'àbísó-sí-sù stomach-poss.-3f.sg. she was pregnant.

A verb without object marking is also commonly used in clauses which introduce speech. When an object marked form of the verb is used instead, the speech that follows is marked as a main event. The following example illustrates this:

(42)	pà:	ľ'Ĩí	íxâ:	bòk <sup>w</sup> è:
	pá:	'Ĩ:-Ï	íxì-à	bô-kù-é:
	NC(3m.sg.)	snake-sp.	thus-3m.sg.PC	say-ben3m.sg.obj.
	támêsį <sup>n</sup> ∥ò			
	támèsì <sup>n</sup> ∥ô	-sù-r̄-sù-à		
	[female chi	ld-3f.sg.] <sub>GE</sub>	<sub>N</sub> -sp3f.sg3m.s	g.PC
	Then the sna	ke spoke the	us to the woman.	

The speech which is introduced by this clause begins, 'No, you will not die!'. The making of this claim by the snake is a main event in the narrative.

It is important to remember, however, that often the choice of using an object marked or nonobject marked verb form in a narrative is determined by grammar rather than discourse. That is, the object marked form shows that an action has been completed and the non-object marked form describes an ongoing action or an action which is intended, but not achieved (see sections 10.4.1.1 and 10.4.1.2).

### 12.5 Reporting speech

Sandawe allows both direct and indirect speech, but the text corpus only contains examples of indirect speech as reported by a participant in a narrative, and not by the narrator:

(43)	mà?ékō̃:			bók <sup>w</sup> ē:			
	mà?é-kò-r		bô	-kù-é:			
	go.around-2sg.Imp.PC-& Go and tell him,		•& say	x say-ben3m.sg.obj.			
	k <sup>w</sup> à:	tŵ:	hìk'į	húk'wầ:sị	kò:		
	k <sup>w</sup> á:	tû-ŕ	hík'ì	húk'wà-é-sì	kó:		
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		•	kill-3m.sg.obj1sg. vise I will kill him.	SC(2sg.)		

Elicitation work suggests that indirect speech reported by the narrator is not acceptable in a Sandawe narrative.

Clauses which introduce speech vary in complexity in Sandawe. The hearsay particle /ká?/ alone may suffice, as in the following example:

(44)	?à:	<sup>n</sup>  òmósô	?úrâ?	<sup>n</sup> !è:	?à:	kà?	
	?á:	<sup>n</sup>  òmósò	?úrì̥-à?	<sup>n</sup> !ě:	?á:	ká?	
	NC(3pl.)	people	very-3pl.PC	laugh	NC(3pl.)	hear.	
	Then the people laughed a lot and said						

The people referred to here are minor participants in the story from which the example is taken. Their speech is not highlighted and this is indicated by the simple way in which it is introduced. In contrast, if a full verb like /?imbô/ 'say' is used together with the formula /?íxì- kí-ká?/ 'thus saying', the following speech is highlighted, as in the following example:

(45) pà: ∥'òl''â: ?íxâ: ?ìmbò <sup>n</sup>!ě: kìàkà?
pá: l'òl'á-i ?íxì-à ?ìmbô <sup>n</sup>!ě:-i kí-à-ká?
NC(3m.sg.) baboon-sp. thus-3m.sg.PC say laugh-& add.-3m.sg.PC-hear. Then Baboon spoke thus laughing, saying...

This example comes from the same story as the previous one. Baboon is a major participant and the speech which is introduced by the example above comes at the climax of the story.

#### 12.6 Evidentials

Sandawe has a number of morphemes that can be described as evidentials, in that they express something about how the speaker feels regarding the information he is presenting. One very common morpheme of this kind is the particle /ká?/, which is glossed in the following example as *hear*. for 'hearsay':

(46)	?à:	mĭ:ndzô:gà?		bàːràː			
	?á:	minc	lʒó-i̇̀ -à?	bă:rà-é			
	NC(3pl.)	journey-sp3pl.PC		start-3m.sg.obj.			
	They bega	an the j	ourney,				
	?íxisì?	kà?	bà?ésễ:		wàròŋgè:mè:ầ	∥à:si≀	
	?íxì-sí?	ká?	bà?é-sí-è-:		wàròngĕ:-kì̥mé:-à	lá:sì≀	
	thus-loc. hear. be.big-poss3m.sg it was thus he apparently thought he w		• •	0	flee		

Use of the hearsay evidential indicates that the information being conveyed comes from a source other than the speaker. As such, it is commonly used in introducing direct speech, as exemplified in (44) in section 12.5.

In contrast to /ká?/, the morphemes /-gá?/, /-gâ/ or /-gê/ and /-ké:/ are bound. /-gá?/ can be described as a declarative evidential. It is particularly common in copular constructions:

(47)	hèwéxê	màrà?éwásề:	
	hèwéxè	màrà?é-wà-sí-è-:	
	dem.(ref.pl.)	stripe-multposs3m.sgsp.	
	s <sup>w</sup> és <sup>w</sup> é?mồ	k <sup>h</sup> ò:xè?ì:wàsề:	!ě̃:gá?
	s <sup>w</sup> és <sup>w</sup> é? mò	k <sup>h</sup> ŏ:-xé?ì̃:-wà-sí-è-:̈́	!ě̃:-gá?
		house] <sub>GEN</sub> -like-multposs3m.sgsp. things, which are like a house of wall sti	

This evidential can also be found following the PGN morpheme attached to an irrealis verb, as in the following example:

(48)nówô:kìkòŋkórî:bă:rsàts'ìẽgà:?ìmèsì?nòwé-ó:-ř.-kíkònkórì-ř.bă:rà-sà-ts'ì-ì-é:-ř.-á:?ímé-sí?grind-nml.-sp.-add.cockerel-sp.start-nml.-at-pro.-3m.sg.-sp.-SFcry-loc.And the grinding, when the first cockerel crows,cockerel crows,cry-loc.

hǎ:ŋgā: nòwèpògà? hǎ:ngà:í nówé-ì-pò-gá? get.up-& grind-irr.-2sg.-decl. you will get up and grind. It does not appear to be possible to use the /-gá?/ evidential with a realis verb.

The evidentials  $/-g\hat{a}/$  and  $/-g\hat{e}/$  can be seen in the conjunctions  $/h\hat{e}w\hat{e}\hat{\gamma}_{g}\hat{a}/$  and  $/h\hat{e}w\hat{e}\hat{\gamma}_{g}\hat{g}\hat{e}/$  respectively, which can both be translated 'and so':

(49) hèwé?gềsi t∫í kìmằ:sị téłâsi t∫í kímấ:-: t́-sì hèwé? gê-sì téłà-sì and.so-1sg.PC completely-1sg.PC [I poisonous.arrow]<sub>GEN</sub>-sp.-1sg.PC ts'è:ònầsi pè: łá:si làní làní ts'é:ò-nà-sì pě: łá:-sì well-1sg.PC [bow string]<sub>GEN</sub>-to-1sg.PC put And so I put my poisonous arrow completely well on the bow string.

The /-gâ/ evidential has also been attested following a locative adverb:

(50)	?ó?gầsį	t∫í	?àdì:sì:	tồu
	?ó?; -gâ-sì	t∫í	?àdĭ:sì-:	tóù
	there(ref.)-decl1sg.PC	[I	story] <sub>GEN</sub> -sp.	finish
	I finish my story there.			

Either of the  $/-g\hat{a}/$  and  $/-g\hat{e}/$  evidentials can be attached to a subject NP before a low toned PGN morpheme and the SF marker. This is shown in the following elicited example:

(51)	námugēs <sup>w</sup> à:	sómbâ:	t <sup>h</sup> ì:mè
	námù-gê-sù-á:	sómbá-ľ	t <sup>h</sup> í:mé
	Namu-decl3f.sgSF	fish-sp.	cook(3m.sg.obj.)
	Nam cooked the fish.		

Here the meaning added by the evidential is one of definiteness. An alternative gloss would be, 'It is indeed Namu who cooked the fish'. In contrast,  $/-g\hat{e}/$  has a different meaning in the following elicited example:

(52) hùmbùgê
 hùmbù-gê
 cow-decl.
 It's (only) a cow.

Here, the meaning is expressed as one of surprise. It would be appropriate to make such an utterance when hearing a noise in the bushes while hunting, and realising that it did not come from a wild animal, but just a cow.<sup>78</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>78</sup> The main language consultant for this grammar did not accept /-gâ/ as an alternative form in this example.

The third bound evidential morpheme, /-ké:/, has a similar declarative function to /-gá?/, but it is used with negative verb forms and conditional constructions and conveys the meaning 'not even' or 'even', as appropriate:

<sup>n</sup>|òts'ìt∫<sup>h</sup>è: (53) t∫ŭ: tàxi <sup>n</sup>|ó-ts'í-t∫<sup>h</sup>ì-é: t∫ŭ: táxì animal fear-reflex.-neg.-3m.sg. very It was a very frightening animal hà: t<sup>h</sup>áts'ố:kì dă:ts'íké:t∫<sup>h</sup>ē: dă:-ts'í-ké:-t∫<sup>h</sup>ì-é: há: t<sup>h</sup>àts'é-ó:-: kí nor shoot-nml.-sp.-add. be.able-reflex.-decl.-neg.-3m.sg. and to shoot was not even possible. ?ànk<sup>h</sup>á táxị !'<sup>w</sup>â xáwàt<sup>h</sup>è:tàkè:si (54) l'ùsùkuwầ? xâ-wà- t<sup>h</sup>é:-tà-ké:-sì ?ànk<sup>h</sup>á táxì !'<sup>w</sup>â l'úsúkù-wà? even just valley bad-mult.-adj.-in-decl.-1sg.PC pass-cond. Even if I pass through bad valleys xá?ồ:kì <sup>n</sup>|ô:sits'è xâ-?ồː-kí <sup>n</sup>|ó-é-ì-sì-ts'é fear-3m.sg.obj.-irr.-1sg.-neg. be.bad-nml.-add. I will not fear badness.

This morpheme is also found suffixed to  $/h\hat{o}/$  'who' in order to derive a form meaning 'someone', as in example (37) in section 4.10.

## Appendix

The following text was written by Fabiani Kasiani of the village of Bugenika in the Eastern part of the Sandawe-speaking area.

<sup>n</sup>|<sup>w</sup>ắ! nĩ ∥'ò∥'á <sup>n</sup>|<sup>w</sup>ă:-:<sup>ź</sup> nĩ ∥'ò∥'á elephant-& and baboon Elephant and Baboon. <sup>n</sup>|<sup>w</sup>ž: ?útá: ló:lô? nĩ ∥'ò∥'á hùmbùwàsísô <sup>n</sup>|<sup>w</sup>ǎ:-: nĩ ?útá: ló:lò? **∥'ò∥'**á hùmbù-wà-sí-sò very.long.ago elephant-& and baboon cow-mult.-poss.-3a.pl. Long ago Elephant and Baboon had cows. <sup>n</sup>|<sup>w</sup>ắ: hèwé hùmbùwàsề <sup>n</sup>|<sup>w</sup>ă:-: hèwé hùmbù-wà-sí-è cow]<sub>GEN</sub>-mult.-poss.-3m.sg. elephant-sp. [he Elephant had his cows, "'ò"'i hèwé hùmbùwàsísô ∥'ò∥'á hèwé hùmbù-wà-sí-sò baboon The cow]<sub>GEN</sub>-mult.-poss.-3a.pl. and Baboon had his cows. <sup>n</sup>!ê ts'èxề ?à: ?íxâ? sàyồ <sup>n</sup>!ê ts'éxè ?á: ?íxì-à? sàyò NC(3pl.) thus-3pl.PC day one talk One day they talked thus, ?ùs<sup>w</sup>ê wàrè hùmbù ?ùsū́?wàì kèsô? dlòmósúkusànä ?ùs<sup>w</sup>ê wàré hùmbù ?ùsū́:-? wà-ì kèsé-ò? dlòmó-sí-kù-sà-nà friend cow we-3i.pl.-pro. drive-1pl.Subj.PC buy-poss.-caus.-nml.-to market-to now "Now, friend, let's drive our cows to the market in order to sell them." ∥'ò∥'ẫ: hùmbù t∫<sup>h</sup>ẫ:kisèà pà: màłè: ∥'ò∥'á-: hùmbù t∫<sup>h</sup>ấ:kì-sí-è-à pá: màłé-é NC(3m.sg.) baboon-sp. be.fat-poss.-3m.sg.-3m.sg.PC choose-3m.sg.obj. cow Then Baboon chose a fat cow, <sup>n</sup>|<sup>w</sup>ắ: k<sup>w</sup>à: hùmbù gàndàséầ màłè: n|wă:-;~ k<sup>w</sup>á: hùmbù gàndà-sí-è-à màłé-é NC(3m.sg.) elephant-sp. cow be.thin-poss.-3m.sg.-3m.sg.PC choose-3m.sg.obj.

whereas Elephant chose a thin cow.

mnádána

mnádà-nà

?ùs<sup>w</sup>ê ?à: bà:rà: mĭ:ndʒóâ? ?ùs<sup>w</sup>ê ?á: mǐ:nd3ó-à? bă:rà-é now NC(3pl.) journey-3pl.PC start-3m.sg.obj. Now they started the journey, ?ùs<sup>w</sup>ê híâ? lŏ:ts'â? <sup>n</sup>∥ề:i? ?ùs<sup>w</sup>ê hí-à? lŏ:-ts'ì-à? <sup>n</sup>∥ě:-ì? when-3pl.PC path-at-3pl.PC now arrive-sub.cl. now when they arrived at the path, ∥'ò∥'á: kìàkà? pàr pà: ∥'ò∥'á-á: kí-à-ká? pá: pá: NC(3m.sg.) baboon-SF NC(3m.sg.) add.-3m.sg.PC-hear. then Baboon said, hàpú hùmbữ: hě:û ?úrâ: qàndầ hàpú hùmbù-: hě:ù ?úrì-à qàndà dem.(prox.3m.sg.) [you cow <sub>GEN</sub>-sp. very-3m.sg.PC be.thin "This cow of yours is so thin!" <sup>n</sup>|<sup>w</sup>ă:kīā: bồ ?íxâ: pàr  $n | w \check{a}_{:-} : \check{c} - k i - \dot{a}_{:}$ pá: bô ?íxì-à NC(3m.sg.) elephant-sp.-add.-SF thus-3m.sg.PC say And then Elephant said thus, híâ gàndài? t∫ī́:gî hí-à qàndà-ì? t∫í-ì when-3m.sg.PC be.thin-sub.cl. I-pro. "If he is thin, that's my business. tſí màkà: tſí màkă: thing]<sub>GEN</sub> [] It's my property." s<sup>w</sup>ê mǐ:ndzố:gầ? kósâ? bà:rà: ?ầxi s<sup>w</sup>ê mǐ:ndʒó-ř -à? ?âxì bă:rà-é kósì-à? RC(3pl.) journey-sp.-3pl.PC again-3pl.PC start-3m.sg.obj. now Now they started the journey again, <sup>n</sup>|<sup>w</sup>ắ: ?íxâ: ?ìmbồ pà: n|wă:-;~ pá: ?íx1-à ?ìmbô thus-3m.sg.PC NC(3m.sg.) elephant-sp. say then Elephant spoke thus,

?íxíxiqô? łé? híô <sup>n</sup>|òmósô: !'ò:?wầ:i? ?ìmbồ ŧé? hí-ò <sup>n</sup>|òmósò-ò !'ŏ:-wá:-ì? ?íxí:-ò? ?ìmbô later when-1pl.PC people-1pl.PC meet-3i.pl.obj.-sub.cl. thus-1pl.Subj.PC say "Later, if we meet people, let's speak thus, hùmbữ: hĩ:gó gàndàsễ: l'òl'âi hĩ:gó hùmbù-r dàndà-sí-è-r ∥'ò∥'á-ì be.thin-poss.-3m.sg.-sp. dem.(dist.3m.sg.) cow-sp. baboon-pro. "That thin cow is Baboon's, hĩ hùmbữ: tſ<sup>h</sup>ấ:kisề: <sup>n</sup>|<sup>w</sup>ă:î t∫<sup>h</sup>ấ̂:kì-sí-è-: hĩ hùmbù-r <sup>n</sup> wă:-ì and cow-sp. be.fat-poss.-3m.sg.-sp. elephant-pro. and the fat cow is Elephant's." nì?ì:? <sup>n</sup>|òmósó?à !'ò:?wà: híâ? ?à: ?á: <sup>n</sup>|òmósò-?à hí-à? ní?-ì? !'ð:-wá: go-sub.cl. NC(3pl.) people-3m.sg.PC when-3pl.PC meet-3a.pl.obj. When they went, they met some people. pà: ∥'ò∥'á ?íxâ: ?ìmbô ∥'ò∥'á ?ìmbô pá: ?íxì-à NC(3m.sg.) baboon thus-3m.sg.PC say And Baboon spoke thus, <sup>n</sup>|<sup>w</sup>ă: hùmbữ: gàndàsễ: <sup>n</sup>|<sup>w</sup>ă: hùmbù-r gàndà-sí-è-r [elephant cow]<sub>GEN</sub>-sp. be.thin-poss.-3m.sg.-sp. "Elephant's cow is thin hĩ: tſ<sup>h</sup>ẫ:kisề: hùmbữ: t∫ī́:qî hĩ: tſ<sup>h</sup>ấ̂:kì-sí-è-: hùmbù-r tſí-ì and be.fat-poss.-3m.sg.-sp. I-pro. cow-sp. and the fat one is my cow." ?à: <sup>n</sup>|òmósô ?úrâ? <sup>n</sup>!è: ?à: kà? ?á: <sup>n</sup>!ě: ?á: ká? <sup>n</sup>|òmósò ?úrì-à? NC(3pl.) people very-3pl.PC laugh NC(3pl.) hear. Then the people laughed a lot and said, <sup>n</sup>|<sup>w</sup>à: l<sup>w</sup>ě:sípóts'ēnề <sup>n</sup>|<sup>w</sup>ă: l<sup>w</sup>ě:-sí-pò-ts'é-nè eye-poss.-2sg.-neg.-interrog. elephant "Elephant, don't you have eyes?

màrà?éwásề: hèwéxê hèwéxè màrà?é-wà-sí-è-: dem.(ref.pl.) stripe-mult.-poss.-3m.sg.-sp. s<sup>w</sup>és<sup>w</sup>é?m $\delta$  k<sup>h</sup> $\delta$ :xè? $\tilde{i}$ :wàs $\tilde{e}$ : !ě̃:gá?  $s^{w}és^{w}é?m\delta k^{h}\delta:-xé?i:-wa-si-e-i$ !ěː-gá? house]<sub>GEN</sub>-like-mult.-poss.-3m.sg.-sp. rib-decl. [wall.stick These stripey things, which are like a house of wall sticks, are ribs." <sup>n</sup>|wž́r ?úrâ: k'ìt∮'é pàr <sup>n</sup>∣<sup>w</sup>ă:-:̇̀ pár ?úrì-à k'ìt∮'é NC(3m.sg.) elephant-sp. very-3m.sg.PC be.angry And then Elephant got very angry. l'ót∫<sup>h</sup>úkụ ?à: dó:lâ? wàrằ: ?á: l'ót∫<sup>h</sup>úkù dó:lò-à? wárấ: a.little-3pl.PC NC(3pl.) pass go.far And when they had passed by, they went a little way, <sup>n</sup>|<sup>w</sup>ắ? ?íxâ: ?ìmbô pàr <sup>n</sup>∣<sup>w</sup>ă:-:̇̀ ?íxì-à ?ìmbô pá: NC(3m.sg.) elephant-sp. thus-3m.sg.PC say then Elephant spoke thus, ?ìmbồ ?à?è: híkísi ?à?é híkí-sì ?ìmbô earlier how-1sg.PC say "Earlier what did I say? kìsikà? kí-sì-ká? add.-1sg.PC-hear. I said, tſ<sup>h</sup>ẫ:kisề: hùmbữ: tſī́:qî hùmbù-r t∫<sup>h</sup>ấ̂:kì-sí-è-: tlí-ŕ-ì be.fat-poss.-3m.sg.-sp. I-&-pro. cow-sp. 'The fat cow is mine.'" <sup>n</sup>|<sup>w</sup>ắ: kútú:mbî mé:â síế: pà: <sup>n</sup>∣<sup>w</sup>ă:-;<sup>≿</sup> sí-é-ŕ kútú:mbì mé:-à pá: NC(3m.sg.) elephant-sp. tree.trunk big-3m.sg.PC take-3m.sg.obj.-& Then Elephant took a big tree trunk and

∥'ò∥'ẫ:ts'ầ: kòŋgò?sế: tł'àphè kóngó?-sé-é-í ∥'ò∥'á-r̃-ts'ì-à tł'àp<sup>h</sup>é raise-caus.-3m.sg.obj.-& baboon-sp.-at-3m.sg.PC hit raised it up to hit Baboon. ∥'ò∥'ẫ: <sup>n</sup>|<sup>w</sup>ắ: aírī: mgò:ngòts'ầ: pàr hà:kìts'i ∥'ò∥'á-:̇̀ qírì-ŕ <sup>n</sup>|<sup>w</sup>ă:-: pá: mgð:ngò-ts'ì-à hǎ:kíts'ì NC(3m.sg.) baboon-sp. jump-& [elephant-sp. back]<sub>GEN</sub>-at-3m.sg.PC sit But Baboon jumped and sat on Elephant's back. ∥'ò∥'ẫ: ?íxâ: ?ìmbò <sup>n</sup>!ě́: kìàkà? pà: ∥'ò∥'á-: ?ìmbô <sup>n</sup>!ě:-í kí-à-ká? pá: ?íxì-à NC(3m.sg.) baboon-sp. thus-3m.sg.PC say laugh-& add.-3m.sg.PC-hear. Then Baboon spoke thus laughing, saying, <sup>n</sup>|<sup>w</sup>ă: mtèmíxé?i̇́:si ?ìè mgò:ngòts'ìsi <sup>n</sup>|<sup>w</sup>ă:-: mgð:ngò-ts'ì-sì mtèmí-xé?i:-sì ?íé [elephant-sp back]<sub>GEN</sub>-at-1sg.PC chief-like-1sg.PC stay "I'm on Elephant's back like a chief." <sup>n</sup>∣<sup>w</sup>ẵ́: kìàkà? pà: <sup>n</sup>∣<sup>w</sup>ă:-: pá: kí-à-ká? NC(3m.sg.) elephant-sp. add.-3m.sg.PC-hear. And Elephant said, hùmàsî: ∥'ò∥'à hùmàsé-ì ∥'ò∥'á defeat-1sg.obj.-2sg.PC baboon "You defeated me, Baboon. mǐ:ndʒó sū́:gı̂: bà:rà: **akíkô** ?ò: mǐ:ndʒó sū́:-ì-: ∥àkí-kò ?ó: bă:rà-é descend-2sg.Imp.PC SC(1pl.) journey we-pro.-sp. start-3m.sg.obj. Get down, and let's start our journey. t∫í ?àdì:sĩ̃: ?ó?gầsi tồu tſí ?àdĭ:sì-: ?ó? -gâ-sì tóù there(ref.)-decl.-1sg.PC [I story<sub>GEN</sub>-sp. finish I finish my story there."

### References

- Bleek, Dorothea F. (1927). The distribution of Bushman languages in South Africa. In Boas, Franz; Dempwolff, Otto; Panconcelli-Calzia, Giulio; Werner, Alice, and Westerman, Diedrich. (eds.), *Festschrift Meinhof*. Hamburg: JJ Augustin. 55–64.
- Copland, B. D. (1938). Notes on the phonetics of Sandawe. Le maitre phonetique 53. 60-64.
- Dempwolff, Otto. (1916). Die Sandawe. Linguistisches und ethnographisches. Material aus Deutsch-Ostafrika. Hamburg: L. Friedrichsen & Co. (Abhandlungen des Hamburgischen Kolonialinstituts, 34. B. Völkerkunde, Kulturgeschichte und Sprachen, 19).
- Eaton, Helen C. (2002). *The grammar of focus in Sandawe*. Unpublished Ph.D. dissertation. University of Reading.
- Eaton, Helen C. (2005). *Information structure marking in Sandawe texts*. Paper presented at Focus in African Languages conference, ZAS (Centre for General Linguistics) and Humboldt University, Berlin. 6<sup>th</sup> to 8<sup>th</sup> October, 2005.
- Eaton, Helen C. (2006). Sandawe. (Illustrations of the IPA series). *Journal of the International Phonetic Association* **36.2**. 235–242.
- Eaton, Helen C. (2008). Object marking and aspect in Sandawe. In Ermisch, Sonja (ed.), *Khoisan Languages and Linguistics: Proceedings of the 2<sup>nd</sup> International Symposium.* January 8–12, 2006, Riezlern/Kleinwalsertal. Cologne: Rüdiger Köppe Verlag. 67–86.
- Eaton, Helen C., Hunziker, Daniel A., and Hunziker, Elisabeth. (2004). A Sandawe dialect survey report. [http://www.sil.org/silesr/2007/silesr2007-014.pdf]
- Ehret, Christopher. (1986). Proposals on Khoisan reconstruction. *Sprache und Geschichte in Afrika* **7.2**. 105–130.
- Elderkin, Edward D. (1982). On the classification of Hadza. *Sprache und Geschichte in Afrika* **4**. 67–82.
- Elderkin, Edward D. (1986). Diachronic inferences from basic sentence and noun structure in Central Khoisan and Sandawe. *Sprache und Geschichte in Afrika* **7.2**. 131–156.
- Elderkin, Edward D. (1989). *The significance and origin of the use of pitch in Sandawe*. Unpublished D. Phil dissertation. University of York, Heslington, York.
- Elderkin, Edward D. (1991). Clause structure and tone in Sandawe. *York Papers in Linguistics* **15**. 93–115.
- Elderkin, Edward D. (1992). Predictable nasality before East African clicks. *Afrikanistische Arbeitspapiere* **29**. 111–129.
- Elderkin, Edward D. (1994). *Sandawe verbal sentences*. Paper presented at the Tutzing conference on Khoisan Studies, July 11–14, 1994.
- Elderkin, Edward D. (1999). Word keys in Herero. *Afrikanistische Arbeitspapiere* **57**. 151–166.
- Elderkin, Edward D. (GS). n.d. Grammar sketch.
- Elliott, Jennifer R. (2000). Realis and irrealis: Forms and concepts of the grammaticalisation of reality. *Linguistic Typology* **4.1**. 55–90.
- Greenberg, Joseph H. (1950). Studies in African linguistic classification. 6: The click languages. *Southwestern Journal of Anthropology* **6**. 223–237.
- Greenberg, Joseph H. (1955). *Studies in African linguistic classification*. Connecticut: Compass.

- Güldemann, Tom, and Vossen, Rainer. (2000). Khoisan. In Heine, Bernd and Nurse, Derek. (eds.), *African Languages: An Introduction*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. 99–122.
- Hagman, Roy S. (1977). *Nama Hottentot grammar*. Bloomington: Research Center for Language and Semiotic Studies, Indiana University.
- Hunziker, Daniel A., Hunziker, Elisabeth, and Eaton, Helen C. (2008). *A description of the phonology of the Sandawe language*. [http://www.sil.org/silewp/2008/silewp2008-004.pdf]
- Kagaya, Ryohei. (1990). Jiyū gojun gengo de no gojun seigen. Sandawe go no baai.
  (Restriction on word order of free word order language—the case of the Sandawe language). *Journal of Asian and African Studies* 40. 1–12. Institute for the Study of Languages and Culture of Asia and Africa.
- Kagaya, Ryohei. (1994). Sandawe go no shinkou hyougen de no go no grūpu ni tsuite: syukaku setsuji no kousetsu ni kanshite. (A word group in the progressive expression of the Sandawe language. Concerning the suffixation of a subject marker.) *Journal of Asian and African Studies* 46–47. 175–187.
- Payne, Thomas E. (1997). *Describing morphosyntax: A guide for field linguistics*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Sands, Bonny E. (1995). Evaluating claims of distant linguistic relationships: the case of Khoisan. UCLA Dissertations in Linguistics 14. Los Angeles: UCLA Linguistics Department.
- ten Raa, Eric. (1969). The moon as a symbol of life and fertility in Sandawe thought. *Africa* **39.1**. 24–53.
- ten Raa, Eric. (1970). The couth and the uncouth: Ethnic, social, and linguistic divisions among the Sandawe of central Tanzania. *Anthropos* **65.1**/2. 127–153.
- Tucker, Archie N., and Bryan, Margaret A. (1977). (Woodburn, James—co-author for Hadza). The east African click languages: a phonetic comparison. In Möhlig, Wilhelm J.G., Rottland, Franz, and Heine, Bernd. (eds.), Zur Sprachgeschichte und Ethnohistorie in Afrika: Neue Beiträge afrikanischer Forschungen. Berlin: Dietrich Reimer. 300–323.
- van de Kimmenade, Martin. (1936). Les Sandawe (Territoire du Tanganyika Afrique). *Anthropos* **31**. 395–416.
- Vossen, Rainer. (1985). Encoding the object in the finite verb: the case of Ani (Central Khoisan). *Afrikanistische Arbeitspapiere* **4**. 75–84.
- Wright, Richard; Maddieson, Ian; Ladefoged, Peter, and Sands, Bonny E. (1995). A phonetic study of Sandawe clicks. *UCLA Working Papers in Phonetics* **91**. 1–24.