VERHANDELINGEN

VAN HET KONINKLIJK INSTITUUT VOOR TAAL-, LAND- EN VOLKENKUNDE

DEEL 47

H. K. J. COWAN

GRAMMAR OF THE SENTANI LANGUAGE

WITH SPECIMEN TEXTS AND VOCABULARY



GRAMMAR OF THE SENTANI LANGUAGE

TO THE MEMORY OF MY YOUNGER SON

' ον οί θεοί φιλοῦσιν ἀποθνήισκει νέος

CONTENTS

			page
I.	INTRODU	ICTION	. 1
	I. 1.	Litterature	. 1
	I. 2.	Area and relations	
II.	PHONOLO	OGY	. 3
	II. 1.	General remarks	4
	II. 2.	Vowels	
	II. 3.	Phonemic structure of the word	
	II. 4.		_
	11. 4. 1.	Stress	. ,
III.	MORPHO	LOGY	. 11
	III. 1.	Morphological structure of the word	. 11
	III. 1. III. 2.	Derivation, (1) repetition	
	III. 2. III. 2. 1.	Derivation, (2) composition	
	III. 2. 1. III. 2. 2.	Borderline cases	
	III. 2. 2. III. 3.	Flexion	
	III. 3. III. 3. 1.	Pronouns	
	III. 3. 1. III. 3. 2.	Near relationship terms	
	III. 3. 2. III. 4.	The Verb	4.0
		The verbal root	
	III. 4. 1.	The moods	
	III. 4. 2.	The moods	
	III. 4. 3.		
	111. 4. 4.	Secondary (aspectual) forms	
	111. 4. 4. 1.	Directive affixes	
		Objective affixes	-
		The medial affix	. 27
		The reflexive affix	-
	III. 4. 5.	Indication of person (with number) for the	ne . 27
	TTT 4 C	subject in primary forms	
	III. 4. 6.	Indication of person (with number) for the subject in secondary forms	. 29
	III. 4. 7.	Indication of person (with number) for the	
	111. 4. / .	object in primary forms	. 30
	III. 4. 8.	Indication of person (with number) for the	ne
		object in secondary forms	. 33
	TTT 4 9		

	III. 4. 10.	Indication of the personal object in composite forms
	III. 4. 11.	The gerund
V.	PARADIO	GMATIC LISTS OF VERB FORMS
	IV. 1.	
	IV. 1. IV. 1. 1.	Primary verb
	IV. 1. 1. IV. 1. 2.	Indicative
	IV. 1. 2. IV. 1. 3.	Adhortative
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Conditional
	IV. 1. 4.	Negative
	IV. 1. 5.	Vetative
	IV. 2.	Primary verb with object affix
	IV. 2. 1.	Indicative with 2 nd p.s. obj. aff
	IV. 2. 2.	Indicative with 3 rd p.s. obj. aff
	IV. 2. 3.	Indicative with 3 rd p. du., pl. obj. aff
	IV. 2. 4.	Adhortative with 2 nd p.s. obj. aff
	IV. 2. 5.	Adhortative with 3 rd p.s. obj. aff
	IV. 2. 6.	Conditional with 2^{nd} and 3^{rd} p.s. obj. affixes
	IV. 2. 7.	Vetative with 1 st and 3 rd p.s. and du., pl. as
	_	obj. affixes
	IV. 3.	Secondary verb
	IV. 3. 1.	Indicative
	IV. 3. 2.	Adhortative
	IV. 3. 3.	Conditional
	IV. 3. 4.	Negative
	IV. 3. 5.	Vetative
	IV. 4.	Secondary verb with object affix
	IV. 4. 1.	Indicative Habitualis with 3 rd p.s. obj. aff
	IV. 4. 2.	Indicative with 1 st p.s. obj. aff
	IV. 4. 3.	Indicative with 2^{nd} p.s. obj. aff
	IV. 4. 4.	Indicative with 3 rd p.s. obj. aff
	IV. 4. 5.	Indicative with 2^{nd} p. du., pl. obj. affixes
	IV. 4. 6.	Adhortative with 3 rd p.s. obj. aff
	IV. 4. 7.	Conditional with 3 rd p.s. obj. aff
	IV. 4. 8.	Vetative with 1 st p.s. obj. aff
	IV. 5.	Composite verb, Category I
	IV. 5. 1.	Composite verb Category II
	IV. 6.	Composite verb, Category II
	IV. 6. 1.	Composite verb with object affix, Category I. Composite verb with object affix, Category II
	SYNTAX	
	V. 1.	Conoral romaniza
	V. 1. V. 2.	General remarks

			page
	V. 3.	Structure of the phrase	52
	V. 3. 1.	Word junction in the phrase	
	V. 4.	Predicative constructions	
	V. 5.	Postpositional phrases	. = 4
	V. 6.	Coordination of words	
	V. 6. 1.	Coordination of phrases	
	V. 7.	Subordination of words	-
	V. 7. 1.	Subordination of phrases	~~
	V. 8.	The parts of speech	- 4
	V. 9.	Tense and aspect in the sentence	
VI.	SPECIME	N TEXTS	68
	VI. 1.	Fa nundewboke da je dowkajnole	. 68
	VI. 1. VI. 2.	$\exists bal \ni Jakali \ le \ Ajokoj \ de \ . \ . \ . \ .$	-
	VI. 2. VI. 3.	$\exists bal \ni Jakali \ le \ Hubulew \ de \ . \ . \ . \ .$	
		Translation of VI.1	
	VI. 4.	Translation of VI. 2	
	VI. 5.	Translation of VI. 3	
	VI. 6.	Translation of vi. 3	, ,
VII.	VOCABU	LARY	. 75

PACIFIC OCEAN CYCLOPS MOUNTAINS Central dialect approximate boundaries of Sentani Group approximate boundaries of main dialects of Sentani proper MINBORMY GROUP Legend:

THE SENTANI LANGUAGE AND ITS NEIGHBOURS

I. INTRODUCTION.

I. 1. Litterature.

The first grammatical description of the Sentani language was published by this writer in *Oceania*, vols. XXI (1950-'51), pp. 214-228 and 302-309; and XXII (1951-'52), pp. 53-71 and 315-316, under the title of "Notes on Sentani Grammar". It was the result of fieldwork during my stay in West New Guinea in the years 1946-1950. As was stated at the time in the introduction, this fieldwork could only be done to the extent that official civil service duties permitted. But during a further term of service, which finally ended in 1956, I had the opportunity of collecting more data concerning the language. This has led to the present much more complete new grammar.

The following texts have been published by the author before:

- (1) A specimen text in the "Notes on Sentani Grammar" forementioned, being a translation of part of the Story of the Prodigal Son, which is now published in full in the present work;
- (2) "Een volksverhaal van het Sentanimeer" (in *Bingkisan Budi*, the collection of papers by colleagues and former pupils of the late Professor Ph. S. van Ronkel, presented to him at the occasion of his 80th birthday in 1950). This appeared before the "Notes" and was, for that reason, provided with an extensive grammatical annotation as well as a translation in Dutch. This text is reprinted in this book in a slightly revised form;
- (3) "Drie verhalen in Sentani-taal" (in Bijdragen tot de Taal-, Landen Volkenkunde, 108, 1952). These texts too have been provided with extensive notes as well as a translation, but reference was largely made to the "Notes on Sentani Grammar". A different version of the first of these stories is included in the present work.

I. 2. Area and Relations.

The Sentani language 1 is spoken by some six thousand people,

Verh. dl. 47

1

¹ The name "Sentani" probably originates from the coastal tribes of the Humboldt Bay. The Sentani speaking people have no name for themselves as a tribe. The Sentani Lake is called by them Bu Jakala, "Clear Water".

inhabiting the Sentani Lake district in north-eastern West New Guinea. Roughly three principal dialects can be distinguished: an eastern dialect, chiefly including the villages of Buaj (vulgo: Puai), \exists jafo (vulgo: Ajapo) and Ohej (vulgo: Asei); a central dialect including the villages of the central area of the lake from Nədali (vulgo: Netaar) as far west as the western end of the strait of Fomfolo (vulgo: Simporo), and a western dialect including the region to the west of this strait. Smaller differences of dialect exist between the various villages within each area. This grammar is concerned with the eastern dialect, more particularly that of \exists jafo.

The Sentani language is one of a group of closely related Papuan languages, which I have named the Sentani group, and which includes Sentani proper in the Sentani Lake district, the language of Nafri on the Jotefa Bay to the east, and the language of Tanah Merah on the bay of that name to the west. It appears to be more or less distantly related to the Demta language to the west of Tanah Merah, which occupies only a small area, but seems to constitute a group by itself. Both the Sentani group and Demta seem to belong to a much larger supergroup of distantly related groups of languages, which I have named the "North Papuan phylum", and whose nearest neighbour to Sentani is the Nimboran language group. The exact nature of the relations involved in this phylum, and the position of each group, and notably of Sentani, within it, are, however, still very unclear.²

² See my "Een tweede grote Papoea-taalgroepering in Nederlands Nieuw-Guinea", in *Nieuw-Guinea Studiën*, I, 1957, 107ff, and the litterature cited there. See also: J. C. Anceaux, "The Nimboran Language" (VKI 44, 1965) for that language in particular.

II. PHONOLOGY.

II. 1. General Remarks.

The Sentani language has 7 vocalic and 10 consonantal phonemes. Apart from minor non-distinctive deviations, vowel phonemes have as a rule very fixed phonetic values. But consonantal phonemes are very rich in non-distinctive variants of often strongly deviating phonetic types. These allophones are, generally speaking, "free", although to a certain extent combinatory influences play their part. Only in some cases allophones are positionally "bound".

However, in addition to the "normal" non-distinctive variation referred to above, in which the allophonic limits of the phonemes concerned are not exceeded, there occurs for certain phonemes under certain combinatory conditions an equally non-distinctive variation which does exceed the phonemes' limits of non-distinctiveness. Here there is, therefore, neutralization of the opposition between the phonemes involved in this type of variation. As in the case of the normal allophonic variants, these heterophonemic variants are partly "free", partly positionally "bound".


In certain speech-forms, notably in the central dialect, this [s] and [f] may even occur as non-distinctive variants of the /d/ phoneme, after /j/ and /w/ respectively. This may be due to the facts described in note (5) hereafter. We will normally disregard these dialectal peculiarities, except in those texts in which they happen to occur. We

will, of course, equally disregard in our spelling normal non-distinctive combinatory changes, such as $[\tilde{n}]$ for /n/ and [d'] or [t'] for /d/ after /j/ and /i/; etc. But we cannot, in our phonemic notation, neglect the heterophonemic variation, which occurs in our eastern dialect. Nor even can we, in my opinion, ignore in our notation the allophone [s] of the /h/ phoneme, because of its obligatory nature and its deviating phonetic type. Similar considerations apply to the partial neutralization of /d/ and /l/, and the mutual assimilations of the nasals /m/ and /n/, or their interchange in assimilation to other consonants; and certain other phenomena.

In the following pages these phonetic phenomena, both of an allophonic and a heterophonemic nature, will be treated when dealing with the phonemes concerned. Any further morphophonemic implications of a more specific nature will be indicated when the morphological constructions concerned are dealt with. They are not so complicated as to justify a separate section on morphophonemics. Since similar phonetic phenomena occur in certain syntactic constructions, this syntactophonemic aspect will be discussed in its proper place also (see V. 3. 1).

II. 2. Vowels.

The Sentani vowel system is as follows:



Allophonic variants of these vowel phonemes, if compared with the consonants, are generally slight. They are mostly limited to minor differences in length and/or tenseness (narrowness) of articulation, those in stressed open syllables being pronounced longer and more tensely than those in closed or unstressed open syllables. In the case of /o/, which is a mid back vowel, this goes parallel with a variation between higher and lower positions, and for /ə/, a mid indifferent vowel, between [ö] and [ə] types respectively.³

³ There are indications that, from a historico-structural point of view, the /ə/phoneme has originally filled, in a different phonetic form, the empty place now existing in the mid-back vowel sequence if compared with the mid-front

PHONOLOGY 5

/a/, though shown as a low indifferent vowel, is usually pronounced frontally rather than backwardly.

In open accentuated syllables of words of more than one syllable /i/ is often lengthened to such an extent that it even tends to diphthongize to [ij], which in an extreme type of articulation sometimes even becomes [iğ] in conformity with the fact that /j/ after /j/ or /i/ is frequently pronounced in its non-distinctive variant [ğ], e.g. imɛ, "house" [imɛ, ijmɛ, iğmɛ].

Nasalized vowels sometimes occur as non-distinctive variants of vowel followed by syllable final nasal, notably before /k/, more rarely before [s]. Sometimes the nasalization is dropped or almost dropped and the vowel at the same time slightly lengthened. This lengthening is no more phonemic than the one mentioned before, since the relevant feature in question is not long vowel as opposed to short vowel, but vowel + nasal (with variants) as opposed to simple non-nasalized vowel. E.g., awbaNkə [awbankə, awbākə, awbaːkə], "cassowary"; HaNsə [hansə, hansə, hāsə, hāsə, hasə], proper name (from Dutch Hans); etc.

The following examples show the vowels in their phonological oppositions to each other:

```
    a, "voice": o, "wood": u, "body": i, "fire";
    fa, "child": fε, "leaf": fi, "sago": fə, "fear";
    ake, "he went (aorist)": akε, "thou wentst";
    me, "we": mε, "our(s)": mə, "hand": mi, "louse": mu, "penis":
```

me, "we": $m\varepsilon$, "our(s)": $m\partial$, "nand": mi, louse": mu, "penis": mo, "just, only";

ju, "sugar cane" : jo, "village" : $j\varepsilon$, emphatic particle : jo, "firewood" : ja, "day, rain"; etc.

II. 3. Consonants.

The Sentani consonants are:

•	plosives	fricatives	nasals	lateral	semivowels
labials	b	f	m		w
gingivals prepalatal	d		n	1	j
velar	k				
laryngeal		h			

vowel series. Compare, for instance, the variation of Sent. $dow_{\theta} = d_{\theta}w_{\theta}$, "take"; of west dial. $k \theta s i = \text{east dial. } k i h o$, "sand" (with metathesis); of Sent. $\theta l_{\theta} = \text{Nafri (see I. 2) } or_{\theta}$, "speak"; and cf. Sent. $d_{\theta}m_{\theta} = \text{Demta (see I. 2) } dum$, "tail"; Sent. $hijak_{\theta} = \text{Demta } jak_{\theta}$, "bird of paradise"; etc.

The plosives /b/ and /d/ are unvoiced lenes, respectively bilabial and gingival, but free non-distinctive variants include unvoiced and voiced types.⁴ An extreme unvoiced combinatory variant of /d/ is palatalized [t'] which occurs in contact with /i/, /j/, /u/ and /w/. Via a voiced allophone of /d/ there is sometimes neutralization of the opposition between this phoneme and /l/ in intervocalic position, e.g., ambulo, "dead (man's) body" (for *ambu-do, from do, "man"; cf. ambomijɛ, "dead (woman's) body"); kilo, "new-born (male) child" (for *kido, cf. kimijɛ, "new-born (female) babe"). The phonological opposition between /d/ and /l/ is otherwise shown by such pairs as odo: olo and ədə-: ələ-, mentioned at the end of this section.

/k/ is a voiceless velar plosive whose allophones include uvular [q] on the one, and palatal [k] on the other hand, as well as velar fricative [x]. These allophones are generally speaking free; naturally contact will have its influence, but it is not decisive, and both the uvular and velar types and the palatal will be heard in contact with front as well as back vowels.

The fricative /f/ has labiodental and bilabial allophones. Through the former the phonological opposition with $/h \sim s/$ is neutralized under certain combinatory conditions, [f, h, s] then varying heterophonemically according to the nature of the contact phonemes (see II. 1. *supra*).

The laryngeal fricative /h/ has a bound allophone [s] which is restricted to, and — except after /w/ — obligatory in, the position following /i/ or one of the only admissible syllable- and word-closing consonants, viz. the semivowels and N (the latter then appearing as n). Although it is non-distinctive, we shall write this s where it belongs because of its obligatory and phonetically deviating nature. E.g., isam, "anger"; honsom reduplicated root-form (gerund) of hon(ə)-, "burn"; kejsi, "throw away!" (2 p.s. imperat.); kensi, "id." (2 p.p.); but kejnəhi [kejñəhi] (with pron. obj. aff. 3 p.s.); bəlaw-sabakaj (for *bəlaw-habakaj), "betelnut (and) tobacco", i.e. "betel-quid"; etc. Under certain conditions, already referred to above, this [s] may, after semi-vowel /w/, interchange with labiodental [f], thereby neutralizing the

⁴ In the western dialect phonemic distinctions seem to be between /b/ and /d/ on the one hand and slightly aspirated /p/ and /t/ on the other. Comparison shows that these /b/ and /d/ correspond to /b/ and /d/ in our eastern dialect, while /p/ corresponds to /f/ and /t/ to /h/.

PHONOLOGY 7

opposition with /f/; ⁵ e.g., kewsike or kewfike (aorist 3 p.s.), but never *kewhike.

The nasals are neutralized in final position, which neutralization can be symbolized by N, realized as [m, n] or [n] according to the following consonant in the word or in phrase sandhi. But in pausal and non-assimilating positions, e.g., before vowel of a following word or in slow speech, m is "preferred" as word-final, dialectally, notably in the western dialect, also [n]. Therefore we shall in final position write m instead of N. On the other hand we shall not, for obvious reasons, write [n] nor [n], which allophones occur before /k/ and before and after /i/ and /j/, respectively. E.g., əm (western dialect ən), "banana": əm fe, "banana leaf", but ən no, "banana treetrunk"; əhamam, "food", əhamam anəke, "he ate food", but əhaman də, "for food"; honəj, "burn!" (2 p.s. imperat.), but honsom, "burning"; mandilim, "cold": mandilin nə or mandilim mə, "in cold, for cold"; etc.

The voiced lateral in gingival position /l/ is apical, but the tongue tip is allowed little more than a minute and momentary touch against the upper gums. This may explain why there is the neutralization with /d/ already referred to. It probably also explains why non-natives often hear and reproduce it as r. In the western dialect it actually is a tongue flip rather than a lateral. E.g., (odo) hələm (w.dial. odo tərən), "knee"; (odo) ka'la-ka'la (w.dial. odo kəkə'ra), "toe"; məlem (w.dial. marεn), "meat, flesh"; etc. This phoneme only occurs intervocally and never as word-initial nor after consonant. In the latter two positions it is represented by /d/ as bound and obligatory heterophonemic variant. An apparent exception to this rule is word-initial l in the postposition $le \sim de$, "with, and". But this being enclitic to the preceding word, it is not really an exception, for it occurs only after words ending in a vowel, while de occurs after words ending in a consonant; e.g., Bow de Nokohabo le, "(and) the Tortoise and the Lobster"; Jakali le Ajokoj de, "(and) J. and A."; etc.

/w/ is a strongly rounded bilabial semivowel. There are reasons to believe that it is a comparatively recent phonemicization of a non-syllabic allophone of an original sonant /u/. Its functional yield as

In the western dialect /h/ does not exist. Our /h/ corresponds to /s/ in that dialect in so far as it does not represent /t/ in the latter (cf. the preceding note). In the Nafri and Tanah Merah languages (see I.2) /s/ represents our eastern /h/ both where it corresponds to west /s/ and to west /t/. These facts and those mentioned in the foregoing note, together with dialectal intermixing, may probably account for the neutralization phenomena described in II. 1, and here.

phoneme, distinct from /u/, is small. We have little more than the following evidence: wi, "river": uj, "arrow"; central dial. 'ua, 'uwa, "body" (east. dial. u): wa, "skin"; huw-=huwa-, verbal root of "feel" (cf. a-huw-boj, "not feel"): hu, "sun"; duw-=duwa-, root of "fall" (cf. duwke, "he fell"): du, "breadfruit tree"; and this same word duwke in similar surroundings as duka, "stone"; etc. But wi could be an Austronesian loanword (cf. AUN *wayay), which in a number of Melanesian languages occurs as wai or vai. And ua, uwa belongs in another dialect. The other examples are not, strictly speaking, minimal pairs. I have never come across a pair such as, e.g., *wu: *uw. And initial syllable wa- in polysyllables frequently contracts to u-, uw-. Yet, originally an Austronesian loanword or not, wi is a good Sentani word, and clearly contrasts with uj. And the additional evidence, however weak, also points to /w/ as a separate phoneme now.

Similar considerations, mutatis mutandis, apply for /j/ as distinct from /i/. The same minimal pair uj: wi again is our main evidence. No pair *ji: *ij has been found. But we may contrast ji in ka'ji, "big canoe for women and children", with ij in ijke = ike (for jeke), "he climbed", and similar ij variants of i in open stressed syllables (cf. II. 2). This phoneme has a non-distinctive variant [§] occurring after /j/, sometimes also after /w/, and often after /i/ in its lengthened and diphthongized variant [ij], which then often sounds like [i§]; e.g., awajjajde [awaj§ajde], "they are rowing all the time" (with -ja- of habitualis following stem in -j-); ahaj-je [ahoj-§e], "do not kill!"; $Ohej\ jo$ [ohej-§o], "the village Ohej"; ime [ime, ijme, išme], "house".

The following are some further examples showing the consonants in their mutual phonemic oppositions:

ba, "only, just": fa, "child": ma, "you" (du., pl.): wa, "thou": da,
"I": na, "he, she, they": ka, "fish": ja, "rain, day": ha, "blood";
bu, "water": du, "breadfruit tree": hu, "sun": ju, "sugar cane";
obo, "pig": odo, "foot": olo, "empty": oko, "moon": ojo, "descend";

ədəj, "look!, see!": ələj, "speak": əjəj, "going"; məw, "he must come": məj, "come thou!": məm, "come you! (du., pl.)".

II. 4. Phonemic structure of the word.

It is convenient to analyze the phonemic structure of the Sentani word on the basis of its syllables. A syllable consists of either one single vowel, or such a vowel preceded and/or followed by one single consonant, nasal and semivowel being the only consonants admitted as syllable finals.

PHONOLOGY

A word contains one or more such syllables, but it cannot consist of two or more vowels alone. The structure of the Sentani word is, therefore: v, cv, vc, cvc; or for polysyllables: vcv, cvcv, vcvc, cvcvc, vcvcv, cvcvcv, etc., accompanied by the suprasegmental element of stress, to be discussed hereafter, and with the restrictions as to final consonants stated above for syllables, but with the additional restriction that /1/ does not occur as word initial nor after consonant (cf. II. 3). E.g., a, "voice"; fa, "child"; do, "man"; əm, "banana"; əj, "go!"; əw, "he must go"; məm, "you must come"; əhə, "dung"; məle, "he comes"; ədəj, "look!, see!"; wanəm, "let us two tell him"; ande, "we go"; majde, "they come"; mənnəm (< məm-nəm), "come (and) stick"; uwownə (for wəwownə), "they two have been telling him"; etc.

II. 4. 1. Stress.

Stress in words of more than one syllable lies as a rule on the syllabic preceding the last consonant of the word, e.g., 'joku, "dog"; 'mije, "woman"; 'kambi, "neck"; ho'kolo, "young"; mo'kodu, "back"; o'doke, "he saw" (aorist); 'hojbo, "kill!"; u'kəwnə, "he told him"; mə'kajde, "they came"; but: fa'ləm, "head"; e'mem, "sister-in-law (husband's sister)"; an'kej, "ear"; a'waw, "mother's brother"; haba'kaj, "tobacco"; holo'boj, "carrying net"; ə'ləj, "speak!"; bo'doj, "hear!"; adi'ləj, "collect!"; ə'dəm, "see you (du., pl.)"; hodo'bom, "let me kill".

In words of 3 and 4 syllables, ending in a vowel, which have the antepenult closed by a consonant, the stress tends to shift to this syllable, if the penult is an open syllable in ∂ , e.g., 'wəwnəle, "thou wilt say to him"; 'kɛjnəhi, "throw it away"; u'kajnəle, "they (pl.) told him"; but: how'boke, "he killed (something)"; hoj'nobo, "kill something) for him"; handə'boke, "we (pl.) killed (something)"; honəm-'bonde, "he will kill (something) for him"; etc. In longer words the main stress falls on the regular syllabic according to the basic rule stated above, a secondary stress affecting the 3rd syllable forward from the main stress, or the 2nd syllable forward if this is closed by a consonant, e.g., ha,bəwdo'koke, "he hit me" (aor.); ha,bəwnoko'kale, "I struck him" (aor.); ha,bəwkoka'wale, "I have struck thee"; ,ədəka'wale, "I saw thee"; adi,lədəmi'him, "let me collect them"; a,diləmi'hibe, "you two will collect them"; ə,najnə'wənde, "they (pl.) will go tell

him"; ə,najnəken'sinde, "they (pl.) will throw it away"; etc.

Deviations and variations from the above rules are, however, not unfrequent. Verbal roots of more than one syllable ending in $-\partial$ preceded by one of the consonants admissible as finals, tend to treat this consonant as root final and drop the $-\partial$, when an affix, beginning with a consonant, follows. The stress then remains on the syllable, which would carry it in the root, e.g. $an\partial$ -, "eat": $'an(\partial)ke$, "he ate"; $'an(\partial)ma > amma$, "let us eat"; $dow\partial$ -, "take": $'dow(\partial)ke$, "he took"; $duw\partial$ -, "fall": $'duw(\partial)ke$, "he fell"; etc. But the stress shifts from this root syllable to the affix (or one of the affixes if there are more), in regular conformity with the basic rules stated above, if it ends in a consonant itself, e.g., $a'n\partial j$, "eat!"; $hon'k\partial wn\partial$ (for $hon\partial k\partial wn\partial$), "he burnt him", from $hon\partial$ -; honsom, "burning" (gerund; for $hon(\partial)$ - $hon(\partial)$); etc.

Sometimes differences in stress exist between different dialects, e.g., ka'ji, "big (women's) canoe", but 'kaji in the central dialect; 'hodo, "throat", but $s\partial'to$ in Nafri and $s\partial'so$ in Tanah Merah; etc.

In some adjectives and adverbs stress is found on the final syllable, even if this ends in a vowel, but it is doubtful whether this can be said to be a characteristic feature for these word classes (cf. V. 8), e.g., $h\partial' l\varepsilon - h\partial' l\varepsilon$, "thin"; $fe'n\varepsilon$, "slippery"; $\partial' h\partial$, "heavy" (in the central dialect in opposition to ' $\partial h\partial$, "dung" = $k\varepsilon ndo$ in our dialect); $w\partial' la - w\partial' la$, "quick(ly); $nahu'w\varepsilon$, "in the evening(s)" (cf. ' $huw\varepsilon$, "evening"); etc.

Sometimes, however, stress has distinctive function, e.g., 'kala, "shellfish" (regular), but ka'la, "shout, yell" (irregular); $\partial' l\partial j$, "speak thou!" (regular), but ' $\partial l\partial j$, "not speak" (irregular); etc.

But often irregularities in stress have no demonstrable distinctive value whatever, e.g., *i'fa*, "small canoe for men"; *u'ma*, "hair of the head"; *fa'la*, "bow-and-arrow"; etc.⁶ We shall indicate such irregularities of stress by a high dash preceding the stressed syllable (a low dash means a secondary stress).

⁶ Possibly historical causes, such as loss of original final consonants, have been at work here, at least in some of the examples; compare, e.g., *i'fa*, in west dial. *i'pa*, with Demta *apar*, same meaning.

III. MORPHOLOGY.

III. 1. Morphological structure of the word.

The Sentani word is either a simple or a complex form. But a form is not necessarily a word, because some forms occur in bound position only. A simple word contains one root. A complex word consists of one or more roots to which a morphological process has been applied. The morphological processes are:

- 1. prefixation to verbal roots of the negative prefix a- (unique case);
- 2. affixation, by which we understand the adding to the verbal structure of all flexional elements which follow the root, irrespective of their own mutual order;
- 3. phonetic root change or apophony;
- 4. suppletion, including (unique case) zero feature;
- 5. morphological repetition (dialectally also represented by reduplication), as distinct from syntactical repetition;
- 6. composition;
- 7. combination of two or more of these processes.

Complex words can be distinguished in *derived* and *inflected* forms, according to the criteria set forth for this distinction by L. Bloomfield (*Language*, London 1950, pp. 223-4), although, as we shall see, these sometimes fail us.

Derivation is limited to the processes mentioned under nos. 5 and 6. Flexional processes include all of those mentioned.

III. 2. Derivation, (1) repetition.

Words derived by repetition (derivational repetition as distinct from flexional repetition) are usually adjectives and adverbs, which seem to imply an intensive or excessive quality. They have the characteristics of a single unit, both in stress and in meaning, which latter is an independent, if derived, one of their own; e.g., kələn-kələm, "dry"; kani-kani, kankam, "torn to shreds"; həlɛ-həlɛ, "thin"; dun-dum, "fat"; waw-waw, "deep"; (west. dial.) nimə-nimə, "red" (cf. nimə, "ripe"); etc. Sometimes they seem to be derived from nouns, to which the specific

quality is attributed in particular, e.g., duka-duka, "thick, massive" (cf. duka, "stone"); foma-foma, "light (not heavy)" (cf. foma, "coconut rat", a very light animal); bobo, "hard" (cf. bo, "bone"); etc.

Rarer is repetition in nouns; no specific meaning of the simple root can be recognized; e.g., (odo) kala-ka'la, "toe" (odo = "foot"); (ko'na) kon-kom, "spittle, phlegm, gob" (cf. ko'na, "heart, pith"); etc.

A variant of this type of derivation is repetition with vowel or even syllable variation (apophony) in the 2nd constituent, e.g. dəwbəhi-dawbəhi, "one side (and) the other, here and there, hither and thither" (cf. əhi, "other"); kɛw-kəw, "broad, wide"; əmə-fomə, "entire(ly), total(ly)"; hikoj-sakoj, "exhausted, tired"; ədəj-mədəj, "invisible, unseen" (cf. ədə-, "see", and negative prefix ə-?); etc.

III. 2. 1. Derivation, (2) composition.

Words derived by composition (derivational composition as distinct from flexional composition) consist of usually two constituent words which together have the characteristics of a single unit. They are nouns denoting either a generality or collectivity of the combined sememes of the constituents, or a determination of the 2nd constituent by the first, or, if the determinant is an adjective, inversely, or a relation of origin in which the second constituent stands to the first. Sometimes the compound consists of a short phrase, in which case it may contain more than two constituents. Examples: do-mije, "human being(s)", from do, "man", and mije, "woman"; obo-joku, "animal", from obo, "pig"; and joku, "dog"; kaji-i'fa, "canoe (in general)", from ka'ji, "big women's canoe", and i'fa, "small men's canoe"; isam-fə'la, "war", from isam, "anger", and fo'la, "bow-and-arrow"; jobo, "tame pig", from jo, "village", and obo, "pig"; u-məkaj, "character" (from u, "body, person", and makaj, "manner, way"); a-few, "language", from a, "voice, sound", and few, "tongue"; ambu-lo, "dead (man's) body" (cf. do, "man"); ambo-mijε, "id. (woman's)"; ki-lo, "infant (boy)"; ki-mije, "infant (girl)"; Ohej-jo, "the village O."; Jawbe-jo, "J. village"; u-foj, "slave", from u, "body", and foj, "good" (as distinct from u foj, "good, i.e. healthy, body"); but in inverse order: hoko'lo-fa, "the younger or youngest child (of a family)" (as distinct from fa ho'kolo, "the or a young child"); bə'na-fa, "the elder or eldest child (of a family)" (as distinct from fa'bona, "the older child"); o'hamam. "food", from ∂ha , "thing", and ∂am -am, i.e. $\partial an(\partial a)$, "eating" (with shift of the stress to the contracted vowel); and with short phrases: ə'han(ə)jələ, "rations", from əha, "thing", and anəjjəle, "he uses to

eat" (habitualis); $jok\epsilon jjo$ [jok ϵ j δ o], "enemy", from jo, "village, people", $k\epsilon j$, "throwing", and again jo; etc.

A sort of abstract nouns is sometimes indicated by preposing the 3rd person pronoun na, na, na, na in composition, and this may be, originally and essentially, the same formation as that of certain "adverbial" expressions with this pronoun (cf. III. 3. 1 and V. 8); e.g. na-hala, "justice" (cf. hala, "right, true, real"); na-bam, "badness, sin" (cf. bam, "not, no good"); na-ama, na-ama-ama-ama, "entirety, totality", and hence: na na-ama (ama) ama, "in its entirety, entirely" (cf. ama), "whole, all, entire").

Derived by composition is also the numeral "5", those from "1" to "4" being simple root-forms, while those from "6" to "9" are partly composed and partly syntactical constructions, and those for "10" and higher are only syntactical; e.g., məhəmbaj, "5" (< mə fɛ əmbaj, "handpalm one"); but məhinə əmbaj, "6" (< mə əhi nə əmbaj, "on the other hand one"); məhinə be, "7" (< mə əhi nə be, "on the other hand 2"); məhinə namə, "8"; məhinə kəli, "9". For the syntactical constructions, see V. 6 and V. 8.

III. 2. 2. Borderline cases.

With regard both to repetition and to composition there are cases which may cause doubt as to whether they are derivational or rather flexional, on the one hand, and whether they are at all morphological or rather syntactical, on the other. To a large degree this may be due to the very strong tendency of the language to phrase sandhi, whence both morphological and syntactical constructions are close units, phonetically, while both stress and meaning are not always decisive. Hence the basic criteria for morphological composition and repetition, as distinct from syntactical, to be regarded as forming single words, sometimes fail us. Thus jobo, "tame pig", is clearly composed, and therefore a morphological construction, both because of its contracted form, its stress and its specific meaning ('jo 'obo would mean "the village's pig"). But its opposite is akə'la 'obo, "wild pig" (litt. "jungle pig"), and neither stress nor form, and hardly even its meaning, would mark it as a composite word. Here we have the syntactical construction of the subordinative type (1) of V.7. Similarly there is no doubt about words like ambu-lo, "dead (man's) body", and ki-lo, "infant boy" (from do, "man, male"), being composite. On the other hand a construction like do mije, which we have already mentioned as a composite word in III. 2. 1., has little else to mark it as morphological or syntactical

than its meaning, and, as subject in the sentence, its predicate. Thus $do-mij\epsilon$ can mean "human being" ("man-woman") and is then constructed with a verbal predicate in the singular, e.g., do-mije hadake, "human being (who) died, dead person". This is clearly a composite word. The construction can also mean "people" and then takes a verb in the plural, e.g. Ohej do-mije okajde, "the people of Ohej went off". This we can still regard as a composite word. But do mije may also very simply mean "man (and) woman" or "men (and) women", naturally with the verb in the plural or dual as the case may be. The last mentioned construction is syntactical of the serial coordinative type without coordinator (see V.6). But it should be remarked that these distinctions are not always so clearly marked as our analysis would lead us to suppose. Thus in a sentence like jej bi foma do $d\partial$ $mij\epsilon$ $d\partial$ jejbojme da do bodojdobojme, "hey there, coconut rat, if you could become a human being (litt.: to man (or) to woman), you would understand me", the two constituents of what should be the one composite word do-mij ε are each separately followed by the postposition $d\partial$.

Similar cases are such constructions, already mentioned in III. 2. 1, as obo-joku, "animal" (litt. "pig-dog") and kaji-i'fa, "canoe (in general)", which can also have their litteral meaning of "pig(s) (and) dog(s)"; "women's (and) men's canoe(s)". Although in the second example stress seems to be more distinctive because i'fa (like ka'ji) carries an irregular final stress, the two vowels, on the other hand, never seem to be contracted as in jobo forementioned.

Similar problems sometimes arise where *repetition* is concerned. For nominal forms it is not too difficult to decide. While examples as *duka-duka*, "thick, massive", and *foma-foma*, "light" (if they are at all derived from *duka*, "stone", and *foma*, "coconut rat" and are not merely homonymous with these nouns), have a distinct meaning of their own, an expression like *ja ja* may be translated by "daily", but really means no more than "day (and) day, day (after) day", and need not be regarded as anything else than a syntactical construction.

For repetition of verbal forms, however, the decision may sometimes seem more difficult. Here, moreover, the choice may be between derivational and flexional repetition. Thus the repetition of verbal forms, dealt with in V. 6, is to be regarded as a syntactical coordinative construction because the constituents are each fully, independently and identically inflected forms, retaining their proper stress, and the meaning of the combination remaining unchanged, although repetitive; e.g., awkəjde awkəjde, "they rowed (and) rowed"; honkəwnə honk-

 $\partial wn\partial$, "he burnt him (and) burnt him". Similarly it is clear that the gerund (III. 4.11) is a morphological, and even flexional, form, because it constitutes one word, structurally, and has a distinct function of its own; also it has properties which are characteristic of verb flexion, such as the capacity of taking an aspectual affix (in which case the repetition is omitted!), e.g. honsom (for $hon(\partial)-hon(\partial)$), "burning"; $dow(\partial)-dow(\partial)$, "taking"; $b\varepsilon j-b\varepsilon j$, "seeking"; etc.

But combinations of this gerund with other forms of the same root may raise doubts. We have in III. 2 mentioned combinations like hikoj-sakoj, "exhausted", and ədəj-mədəj, "invisible, unseen", as a variant type of derivational repetition, because their connection with the verbs hiko-, "swim", and ada-, "see", if any, is distant, their meaning has differentiated, and the vowel, respectively syllable, variation is typical. But a strong possibility remains that they are, if only in origin, derived from gerund forms. On the other hand we have in III. 4. 11 considered forms like ojboj-owboke, "collapsing-it-collapsed", and dejko-dewnokoke, "penetrating-it-penetrated-into-it", i.e. "it penetrated deeply into it", as flexional composition and not even as flexional repetition, because, although the two constituents contain the same root, with the same meaning, they are too different formally and functionally for the combination to be regarded even as an apophonic variant of the derivational repetition of III. 2. supra. Also, unlike syntactical repetition of verbal forms, the two constituents are not identical and not independent, and they do not have each the same functional position in the compound.

III. 3. Flexion.

Flexion is limited to the verb, the personal pronouns, and a few near relationship terms. Of these the flexion of the verb is by very far the most important. The term "flexion" for pronouns and relationship words is a somewhat big name, although justified, for a very limited number of special forms.

The flexion of the verb includes the processes mentioned in III. 1 under nos. 1, 2, 5-7, while pronouns and relationship terms follow processes nos. 3, 4 and 6. The latter will be treated first.

III. 3. 1. Pronouns.

Four main series of forms of the personal pronoun can be distinguished, which we can regard as inflected by means of phonetic root change or apophony, since, although historically they may represent

little more than different degrees of emphasis, they have largely specific grammatical functions. Some types have abbreviated or contracted subforms. The following table shows the four series.

	Person	I	II	III	IV
1*t	sg.	dəjε	da	dεj	ф
2^{nd}	sg.	wəjε	wa	wεj	wə
	sg.	nəje	na	nεj	nə
	du., pl. ex.	mejε	me	mej	
	du., pl. in. ⁷	ejε	(e)	εj	
	du., pl.	məjε	ma	maj	mə
3^{rd}	du., pl.	nəjε	na	nεj	nə

The no. I series, which is probably composed with the emphatic particle $j\varepsilon$, contains the stressed absolute forms of the personal pronoun. The no. II forms are at the same time the indifferent absolute forms of the personal pronoun used as subject, the personal forms used with postpositions, and the possessive forms. In quick speech they may be abbreviated to d-, w-, etc. before vowel, except for the 1st p. du., pl. incl. and excl. No. III is a strongly stressed possessive, also used as absolute (substantive) possesive (Eng. "mine, yours"), and hence as a kind of reflexive (Eng. "myself, yourself"). It is sometimes abbreviated to $d\varepsilon$, $w\varepsilon$, etc. The 3rd p. is also used sometimes as a demonstrative; and this may be the case also for na of the II series, if at least na, no in the abstract nouns of III. 2.1 and in certain adverbial expressions as na-huwe, "in the evening(s)" (cf. huwe, "evening"); na-denijaj, "in the night(s)" (cf. denijaj, "night"), and others (cf. V. 8), must be regarded as demonstrative and not as possessive. The no. IV series lastly represents a toneless proclitic possessive, which is often, though not necessarily, abbreviated to d-, w- etc. before vowel, but which is not a prefix, and is not essentially different from no. II as possessive. Often the two types may be used for each other, e.g., da əhamam or də əhamam, "my food"; na faləm or nə faləm, "his

I have included this "inclusive" form of the 1st p. du., pl., although it is not in general use and is probably a dialectal form adapted to this specialized end under Austronesian influence. For in the closely related Tanah Merah language the unique form for the 1st p. du., pl., both inclusive and exclusive, is e'e, while it is mi, me in Nafri. Also in the inflected forms of the verb there is not a single trace of separate inclusive and exclusive 1st p. du., pl. forms. The series II type of this pronoun (e) is put between brackets because I have never met it in actual usage, while my informants were divided in their opinions on the point.

head"; but often also speech usage assigns the one or the other form to definite words, e.g., wa ma, "thy hand"; na dama, "his tail"; na ime, "his house"; na jo, "his village"; na kalu, "his (brother's) son"; da u, "my body"; etc., but na fa, "his child" (though na hokolo-fa, "his younger child"); na a'hanajala, "his rations"; na waku, "his drum"; na jam, "its (the house's) roof"; etc. Neither euphony nor classification of nouns plays a demonstrable part in this, although, naturally, the latter may have existed in an earlier phase of the language and may have had its influence at the time.

III. 3. 2. Near relationship terms.

The relationship terms "father" and "mother", when taking the possessive of the 1st and 3rd person before them, supply a special possessive-determined form, which can be regarded as "flexional", and which is ako for "father" and nako for "mother". Normally these words are only used in the sense of "venerable old man, old woman", the normal terms for "genitor" and "genitrix" being adaj, ajdej and ana respectively. The latter are used as vocatives by the child speaking to its parents, and with a zero allomorph of the 2nd person possessive, i.e., without special indication of the possessive in this person unless it is stressed, as the possessive-determined form for the 2nd person by others addressing the parents' child or children. The suppletive allomorph ako, "father", takes, in principle, the possessives of the IIIrd series before it, but the 1st p. du., pl. $m\varepsilon(j)$ is also used as suppletive allomorph for the 1st p. sg., and the construction, unless when the possessive is stressed, is contracted so as to become one word, thus: $m\varepsilon - ko$, "my, our father"; $n\varepsilon - ko$, "his, their father". It can, therefore, be best described as a composite form as distinct from the simple preposing of the possessives of series II, III and IV, which is a syntactical process (cf. V.7). It cannot be regarded as a prefixed form, since $m\epsilon$ - and $n\epsilon$ - are not bound forms, but also occur independently. There is then a clear analogy with the composition of nouns described in III. 2. 1 and III. 2. 2 as distinct from the syntactical subordinative combination of nouns.

The suppletive form nak_{∂} , "mother", also takes the 1st p. du., pl. for the 1st p. sg., but, having an initial consonant, the contraction affects the possessive differently: m_{∂} - nak_{∂} , "my, our mother"; n_{∂} - nak_{∂} , "his, their, mother". Here we have a borderline case between composed and prefixed form; but for the sake of uniformity I prefer to treat

Verh. dl. 47

this form as the preceding, with the additional note that the vowel has been strongly affected and weakened to ∂ .

The relationship terms awaw, "mother's brother", and aka, "elder brother, or sister", follow the same rules, both as to the type of possessive and the contraction, as ako, "father", but they are not suppletive possessive-determined forms themselves, e.g., ne-waw, "his uncle".

In the 2^{nd} p. there is, as we have said, not even suppletion for the words "father" and "mother", and the normal "vocative" forms of all four relationship words mentioned may be used for the 2^{nd} p. without any indication of person. If it is necessary to stress the possessive, however, the IIIrd series is used here also, e.g., ana, wej ana, "thy mother"; aka, wej aka, "thy elder brother"; etc.

Lastly it should be noted that in several cases nowadays younger more regular forms appear besides these irregular, but more normal, ones. This may be due to a growing modernization, reflected in the language as well as in other spheres of life.

III. 4. The Verb.

The Sentani verbal system is extremely complicated. A verbal form consists of one or two verbal roots to which may be added affixes for person (including number) both for the subject and the object (direct or indirect), mood, tense and aspect. The only *prefix* in use is the negative *a*-. These facts, together with the frequent contraction of affixes, justify the analysis of the verbal structure on the basis of root plus affixes only, without making distinction between suffixes and infixes, and without introducing the notions of "stem" and of "immediate constituents" which would in most cases hardly be a simplification.

Structurally three principal types of verbal forms can be distinguished:

- (1) primary forms, which are formed without an aspectual affix;
- (2) secondary forms, which contain an aspectual affix; and
- (3) composite forms, which contain two verbal roots.

In the last category two types can be distinguished:

- (a) the two roots are different, the first of them indicating a movement and each being inflected differently, in which case the compound denotes a sequence of events;
- (b) the two roots are the same; this is the case of repetition of root forms indicating the gerund.

An apparent third possibility of composition of similar roots in inflected forms other than that mentioned in (b), is really that of a verbal root indicating movement, which takes what seems to be originally a bound variant of the same root as an aspectual affix and thereby stresses the direction of that same movement (see III. 4. 4. 1). This belongs, therefore, in the category of secondary forms of (2) supra.

Repetition of fully and independently inflected verb forms is syntactical and does not belong in this chapter (see III. 2. 2 and V. 6).

In the categories of non-composite, *i.e.*, primary and secondary, forms alone, a simple calculation will show that the number of theoretically possible verbal forms, including all moods, tenses and aspects, and including both forms with and without object affixes, amounts to more than 4,000, of which the indicative alone accounts for some 2,500. Naturally these possibilities do not all occur for every single verb, and some have similar forms for dual and plural object affixes. But the calculation shows clearly enough that the actually possible forms do number some thousands.

The following table gives a schematic conspectus of the verbal system as far as non-composite forms are concerned:

Person	\mathbf{Mood}	Tense	Aspect
		/ Present	***************************************
		Imperfect	**************************************
3 persons, each	Indicative	Aorist	
in sg., du. and	i	Habitualis	
pl., and for both \langle		Future	secondary forms
subject and ob-	Adhortative	·	derived with
ject	Conditional		apectual affixes
			for goal deter-
2 nd p.s., du., pl.			mination
subject; all per-	Vetative		
sons for object)			
uninflected	Negative	uninflected	

Examples: root ∂ -, "go"; ∂ -j, "go thou!" (adhort. 2 p.s.); ∂ -le, "he goes" (pres.); ∂ -j-de, "they two go" (pres.); ∂ -wo-le, "he went" (imperfect); ∂ -ke, "he went" (aorist); ∂ -d ∂ -le, "I shall go" (future); e-j-j ∂ -le, "he uses to go" (habitualis); root folo-, "cut"; folo-w-ko-k-a-le, "I cut (something)" (aorist with aspectual affix -ko-); folo-w-no-ko-k-a-le, "I have cut something for him" or "I have cut it" (with obj. aff. 3 p.s.); folo-w-di-ke, "he cut upwards" (with asp. aff. -di-); etc.

III. 4. 1. The verbal root.

The base of the verbal form, the root, is strictly speaking, a bound form, although it sometimes seems to occur in quasi-free position in certain forms of the gerund and the composite adhortative. But even here it is not really free, being restricted to repeated or composed forms, or forms with aspectual affix. Otherwise the form of the root can be best recognized from certain forms of the adhortative, e.g., the 2^{nd} p.s., which ends in -j, the 2^{nd} p. pl., which ends in -m, or the 3^{rd} p.s. in -w; e.g., $\partial -j$, "go thou!" $\partial -m$, "go you!"; " $\partial -w$, he must go!"; etc. However, as we have already said (cf. II. 4. 1), verbal roots of more than one syllable ending in $-\partial$, preceded by one of the consonants allowed as syllable finals, tend to be treated as if they really ended, not in $-\partial$, but in this consonant, thus presenting bound allomorphs of such roots occurring in positions where a syllable closed by a consonant is no objection; cf. the examples given in II. 4.1.

The morphophonemic rules for the final vowel of the root in contact with a following flexional affix vowel are as follows: front vowel $+a > \varepsilon$; back vowel, a and a + a > a; all vowels +a absorb a.

No verbal root ends in the high vowels i or u, apart from the variants, mentioned in II. 3 (phonemes /w/ and /j/), of $uw\partial$ -, $w\partial$ - and je-.

Examples: root je-, "climb": 1 p.s. pres. $j\epsilon le < *je$ -ale; root $b\epsilon$ -, "seek": 1 p.s. pres. $b\epsilon le$; root ja-, "sink, drop": 1 p.s. pres. jale; root ma-, "come": 1 p.s. pres. male; root ho-, "slay": 1 p.s. pres. hale < *ho-ale; roots je-, $b\epsilon$ -, ma-, ja- and ho-: 3 p. du pres. jejde, $b\epsilon jde$, majde, jajde, and hojde (with ending -ajde); etc.

III. 4. 2. The Moods.

There are five moods: the indicative, with the uninflectable negative, the imperative-adhortative (here named adhortative only), with the vetative (limited to the 2^{nd} p.s., du. and pl. as far as the subject is concerned), and the conditional or optative (here named conditional).

The sign of the indicative is $-le \sim -de \sim -be$, the morphophonemic distribution of these three allomorphs over the various forms following the obligatory "heterophonemic" variation in certain positions of /l/ and /d/ as far as the former two are concerned, while -be occurs after labials (b, m and w), of which b + -be > -be. The modal sign follows, generally speaking, the person subject affix and is the final element in the verbal structure. However, in the 2^{nd} p.s. of the present, the imperfect and the primary and secondary forms of the aorist and the

habitualis, as well as in the 2^{nd} p. du. of the primary and secondary forms of the future, the indicative mood sign coincides with the person subject affix, (-j)- ϵ and -be respectively. In the 2^{nd} p.s. of the primary and secondary aorist, moreover, the combined mood + person ending contracts with the tense affix to $-k\epsilon$. In the 3^{rd} p.s. of the primary aorist and in all persons, except the 1^{st} and 2^{nd} p.s., of the secondary aorist, the modal sign coalesces with the tense affix to $-k\epsilon$, but perhaps reappears as -w- in the singular persons of the secondary aorist between root and aspect affix (see III. 4. 6). For the morphophonemic rules of the indicative sign in contact with object affixes, see otherwise III. 4. 7.8

Examples for primary forms: ma-le, "I come"; ma-j-ε, "thou comest"; maka-le, "I came" (aorist); mak-ε, "thou camest"; mak-e, "he came"; but uka-w-na, "he told him"; makan-de, "we two came"; mawo-j-ε, "thou camest" (imperf.); mawoj-de, "they two came" (imperf.); mawaw-be, "you (pl.) came"; ma-be, "you two will come" (fut.); mam-be, "you (pl.) will come"; mokojja-le, "I use to work all the time" (habit.); mokojja-j-ε, "thou usest to work all the time"; etc. And for secondary forms: howboka-le, "I killed (something)" (aor.); howbok-ε, "thou killedest (something)"; howbok-e (3rd p.s. id.); honda-bok-e, howbok-e, hojbok-e, etc. (1st, 2nd, 3rd p. du. etc. id.); mokojboja-le, "I do, make (something) every time" (second. habit. or iterat.); mokojbojow-be (2 p. du. id.); hodabon-de, "I shall kill (something)"; howbon-de (2nd p.s. id.); hobo-be (2nd p. du. id.); etc.

In composed verbal forms the second constituent of the compound carries the indicative sign, and this follows either the same rules as for the present, the habitualis or the imperfect on the one hand, or those for the secondary agrist or the secondary future on the other, according to whether the compound is one of the IInd or one of the Ist category described in III. 4.9. For examples see *ibid*.

The conditional 9 has only endings of its own in the singular, both primary and secondary, and in the secondary dual and plural, the primary forms of the dual and plural being supplied by the present of the indicative. The sign is b in all forms, except the 1^{st} and 2^{nd} p.s.

⁸ A much more regular occurrence of the indicative mood sign is found in the Nafri language, which is very instructive for the situation in Sentani too.

⁹ This mood, or at least its 2^{nd} p.s. which is quite identical in the two languages, is used as a common imperative in Tanah Merah.

In the 2^{nd} p.s. it is m; in the 1^{st} p.s. it seems to coincide with the indication of the person; e.g., $\partial - le$, "should I go, I would go"; $\partial - j - m\varepsilon$, "shouldst thou go"; $\partial - w - be$, "should he go"; and with asp. aff. (secondary forms): jej-bo-le, $jejbo-j-m\varepsilon$, and jewbo-be; and in the secondary plural: $jend\partial bo-be$ (1^{st} p. du.); $j\varepsilon jbo-be$ (<*je-aj-) (3^{rd} p. pl.); etc., these secondary forms of the plural being formed after a similar structural model as the secondary aorist, the ending -be corresponding to -ke in the latter (see III. 4. 6).

The sign of the negative is the prefix ∂ - in combination with the affix (or root extension) -j. If the initial of the root is itself ∂ , the prefix is either added by means of the junction consonant -j-, or it contracts with the ∂ of the root which is then somewhat lengthened and takes the stress. The relevant element is this stress, not length. In secondary forms, the aspectual affix which follows the root extension -j-, is again followed by this element in addition. The negative thus formed, is not otherwise inflected; e.g., ∂ - $'m\partial$ -j, "not come"; ∂ - $hab\partial$ -j, "not hit"; but ∂ -j- ∂ -j, "not go"; ∂ -j- ∂ -j, but also ' ∂ 1 ∂ -j, "not speak", as opposed to ∂ 1 ∂ j, "speak thou!" (adhort. 2 p.s.); ∂ 2 ∂ 2- ∂ 3, "I do, did, shall not kill (something)"; ∂ 3 ∂ 4- ∂ 5, "he does, did, will not eat (it)"; ∂ 2- ∂ 4 ∂ 5, "it does, did, will not fall down"; etc.

The vetative is only partly inflected, viz. for the 2^{nd} p.s., du. and pl. of the subject, but for all persons of the object. It is formed by prefixing the same ∂ - or ∂ -j- to the 2^{nd} p.s., or pl. of the adhortative, in combination with the enclitic particle $j\varepsilon$, following the person affix and assimilating to it retrogressively to $-m\varepsilon$ after the -m of the 2^{nd} p. du., pl. (in the 2^{nd} p.s. the resulting -jj- is usually pronounced $-j\check{g}$ - in accordance with what has been said in II. 3 for /j/). In secondary forms, the aspect affix, which follows the person affix, precedes the particle, but the person affix intervenes again between aspect affix and particle. Examples: ∂ - $m\partial$ -j- $j\varepsilon$, "do (sg.) not come!" ∂ - $m\partial$ -m- $m\varepsilon$, "do (du., pl.) not come!"; ∂ -j- $an\partial$ -j- $j\varepsilon$, "do not eat!"; ∂ -hoj-bo-j- $j\varepsilon$, "do not kill"; ∂ -ho-m-bo-m- $m\varepsilon$, "id." (du., pl.); etc.

III. 4. 3. The Tenses.

There are five tenses: the present, the habitualis, the imperfect, the aorist and the future. Tense distinctions are restricted to the indicative; they are unknown in the other moods.

The sign of the present is zero, this tense being recognizable by the "actual" subject person affixes only. The habitualis is characterized by -ja-, added to the root extended with -j-, the imperfect by -wo-,

and the agrist by $-k\partial$. The future has zero, like the present, and is distinguished from it by the "eventual" person subject affixes only, except for the secondary future, which shows, in addition to this, a constant n (in the 2^{nd} p. pl. m before b) preceding the indicative sign in all persons other than the 2nd p. du. which has zero before b. Except for this secondary future and the secondary agrist, the tense elements precede the person affixes for the subject. Their vowel follows the same morphophonemic rules as that of the root, when in contact with the initial vowel of a following affix (see III. 4.1). The tense affixes contract with the person affix and/or the modal sign in certain forms of the agrist as described in III. 4.2. The affix $-j\partial$ - of the habitualis often assimilates to -jo- after a syllable containing o. Examples: m-ale, "I come" (pres.); mə-le, "he comes"; mə-k-ale, "I came" (aorist); $m_{\partial}-k-\varepsilon$, "thou camest" (aor.); $m_{\partial}-w-ale$, "I came" (imperf.); $m_{\partial}-wo-le$, "he came" (imperf.); mo-do-le, "I shall come" (fut.); mo-le, "thou wilt come"; mə-n-de, "he will come"; mə-nəj-de, "they two will come"; mokoj-jo-le, mokoj-jo-le, "he works all the time" (habit.); mokoj-jo-jε, mokoj-jə-je, "thou art working all the time"; dawəj-jə-le, "he is opening all the time"; dawəj-bo-j-a-le, "I use to open every time" (habit. second. form, or iterat., with asp. aff. -bo-); etc. For the secondary agrist and future see further III. 4. 6.

III. 4. 4. Secondary (aspectual) forms.

The tenses, besides indicating a time element, also have other properties which belong in the aspectual sphere. We have named them "tenses" because of that time element, and there is no more objection to this than there is to the use of that term in other languages in which time is not the only characteristic feature of the categories concerned. This has, moreover, the advantage that we can use the term "aspectual" for a category of affixes with which we shall now deal, and which, though not aspectual in the sense accepted for certain better known modern languages, do determine the aspect of a verb as to its goal. We shall go into the temporal and aspectual features of both tenses and aspectual forms in greater detail in the chapter on Syntax (see V.9). Here suffice it to add that I had named the latter forms "modal" in my Notes on Sentani Grammar, mentioned in the Introduction, because they "modify" the verb in the indicated sense. But this term is equivocal in view of the real "moods" which Sentani possesses. Also the aspectual affixes are not restricted to any one mood, but occur in all moods, including the negative and the vetative, and are even found in the gerund. We shall name the forms with aspectual affixes secondary forms as against the primary forms without them.

Morphophonemically the aspectual affixes are distinct from the other verbal affixes, for both mood, tense and person, in that their vowels are practically always constant, although this may be largely due to the fact that in the verbal structure they happen to be always followed by a consonant.¹⁰ Only -bo- in quick speech sometimes contracts with the habitualis -i2- to -b2i0-, bijo- for the more normal -b0jo-. If the aspect affix begins with a vowel and the preceding flexional element of the verb ends in a vowel, the two vowels are not contracted, but linked by the consonant -i, e.g., $awa-i-\partial m$ (for $*aw-a-\partial -m$), "let us two row off". In the indicative the aspect affix immediately precedes the sign for tense, which means for the secondary future preceding its -n- in all persons except the 2^{nd} p. du. where, the tense sign being zero, it precedes the mood ending, e.g. ho-do-bo-nde, "I shall kill (something)", but ho-bo-be (2nd p. du.). In the habitualis, which, with the aspect affixes, forms a kind of iterative (cf. V.9), the preceding root has the usual -j- extension, e.g., dawa-j-bo-j-ale, "I am used to open (it) every time". In the adhortative the aspect affix is found at the extreme end of the verbal structure, except in the 1st p.s., du., pl. in which an additional -m follows it, e.g., ho-j-bo, "kill thou (some-

¹⁰ Historically speaking, the aspectual affixes, with the exception of -nu, may well have been short verbal roots, and the secondary forms may really have been composite forms originally. This is almost certain for some of the directive affixes which, with the exception of -di- (verbs never end in a high vowel, apart from the variants mentioned in III. 4.1), still occur as verbal roots as well. And some of the objective affixes, such as -ko- and -bo-, may have had something to do with verbs as ko-, "do, act", and bo-, "aim, beat", although these meanings are not now recognizable. However, the secondary forms cannot now be regarded as composite verbs in the sense of III. 4.9. The reasons are: (1) they all follow the same structural rules as forms with the reflexive affix -nu-, which is certainly not a verb, but seems to be derived from $na \ u > n-u$, "his body, his person, his self", while, moreover, for many of them there is no comparable verbal root in existence; (2) containing an aspectual affix, they cannot take a second aspectual affix, whereas the composite forms of III. 4.9 can take such an affix; (3) they can consist of verbal root with directive affix identical to the root; (4) the composite forms of III. 4.9 place the personal object affix partly in similar, but partly in different positions from those it occupies in the secondary forms; (5) the personal subject affixes are in some persons different from those in the composite forms; (6) the aspectual affix always follows its verbal root, as do all verbal affixes (except the negative), whereas the verbal roots which correspond to directive affixes always precede the other verbal constituent when they enter into the composition of III. 4.9.

thing)!", but ho-do-bo-m, $ho\text{-}d\partial\text{-}bo\text{-}m$, "let me kill (s.)", and h-a-bo-m (1st p. du.) and ho-ma-bo-m (1st p. pl.). In the conditional the aspect affix immediately precedes the ending -be in all persons except the 1st and 2^{nd} p.s., in which it precedes the ending -le and $-m\epsilon$ respectively, with an intervening repeated person sign -j- in the latter form, e.g., je-w-bo-be, "should he become" but je-j-bo-le (1st p.s.) and $je\text{-}j\text{-}bo\text{-}j\text{-}m\epsilon$ (2^{nd} p.s.). In the negative, the vetative and the gerund the aspect affix occurs immediately after the -j- of the root extension, or, in the case of the vetative, the -j- of the 2^{nd} p.s., which j is, in negative and vetative, repeated after the aspect affix; e.g., $\partial\text{-}ho\text{-}j\text{-}bo\text{-}j$, "not kill" (negative); $\partial\text{-}ho\text{-}j\text{-}bo\text{-}j\text{-}j\epsilon$, "do not kill" (vetat. 2^{nd} p.s.); but o-j-bo, "descending" (gerund).

There are four types of aspect affixes, viz.:

- (1) those that determine the action of the verb as to its *direction*, either in space or in time, and can, therefore, occur in intransitive verbs; we shall call them *directive*;
- (2) those that determine the action of the verb as to its object, and therefore cannot occur in intransitive verbs; we will call them objective;
- (3) the *medial* affix -bo- which indicates that the action is done for or in favour of the subject itself or happens by itself, and hence may be both transitive and intransitive; sometimes this function is, however, not so clear;
- (4) the *reflexive* affix -*nu* which functionally could be compared with a personal object affix, but which word-structurally follows the same rules as the aspect affixes, can take a pronominal object affix in addition (notably for the indirect object), and hence is treated here.

III. 4. 4. 1. Directive affixes.

The directive affixes are:

- (1) -mo- for a movement towards the speaker or a "coming home" (in space), or an action, lasting in its effect up to the present time;
- (2) -a- for a movement away from the speaker (in space) or an action, lasting in effect away in time, i.e., without a definite or definitely known end;
- (3) -ho- $[\infty -so$ - $] \infty -fo$ for a movement "across and away", going over, going off;
- (4) -o- for a downward, descending movement;

- (5) -me- for a descending movement away, especially from the (high) shore or island to the waterside;
- (6) -di- for an upward, climbing movement.

Examples: dəwəw-mə-ke, "he brought it here" (aor.); dəwəm-mə-nde (< dəwən-mə-), "he will bring it here"; kow-ə-ke, "he acted, did (away), started acting onwards"; awəj-mə-ke, "they two rowed home"; nəkəj-ə-ke, "they two started living on"; əj-ə, "go away thou!"; dilow-fo-ke, "he dived over, across (e.g. the edge)"; diloj-so-ke (id. 3rd p. du.); dilodə-ho-nde, "I shall dive over"; okow-o-ke, "he poured down"; oj-o, "descend down thou!"; folow-di-ke, "he cut up-wards"; nəw-me-ke, "he embarked, put off (in a canoe)" (lit. "he stuck down-off"); etc.

III. 4. 4. 2. Objective affixes.

The objective affixes are:

- (1) -ko-, which envisages the action as active transitive and directed upon an object other than the subject. Often the object and/or the action itself is plural. Hence it can also be used in reciprocal actions, i.e., where both persons involved in the actions are at once subject and object;
- (2) -hi- $[\infty -si$ - $] \infty -fi$ -, which indicates a bringing together, uniting, collecting, connecting or fastening of the object or objects, but sometimes also a disuniting, disconnecting of what belongs together, while often the original meaning is not clear any more;
- (3) -ha- $[\sim -sa$ - $]\sim -fa$ -, which indicates a putting into something of, or filling something with, the object, but sometimes also the taking out of something which belongs in something.

Examples: molow-ko-ke, "he made, worked on (something), planted (a garden)"; kajew-ko-ke, "he split, cut (the sago)"; awəj-ko-ke, "they two struck (the paddles), they rowed"; anəw-ko-ke, "he ate (food)"; hubajɛj-ko-ke, "they two met each other"; (a) kiləj-ko-ke, "they two had words (a) with each other"; ələw-ko-ke, "(after) he had spoken (those words)"; adiləw-fi-ke, "he collected"; molow-fi-ke, "he fastened (something)"; honoj-nə-hi, "lie on it!"; bukəw-fi-ke, "he left, took his leave"; anəj-si, "drink thou!" (specialized meaning as opposed to anəj-ko, "eat"); naj-sa-ke, "they stuck into"; ajew-fa-ke, "it jammed, got stuck in, between"; okow-fa-ke, "he poured into"; baləj-sa, "open, unpack (something) to take out what is in it"; budɛləj-sa, same meaning; etc.

III. 4. 4. 3. The medial affix.

Examples: ow-bo-ke, "it dropped down (by itself)"; hiloj-bo, "be silent"; walew-bo-ke, "he came back to life"; akow-bo-ke, "he descended"; (holoboj) mokow-bo-ke, "he made (a sack) for himself"; (ja) hew-bo-ke, "it became day, light" (lit. "day was hung"); (u) jew-bo-ke, "it had become (empty)" (from je-, "give" + -bo- = "become").

III. 4. 4. 4. The reflexive affix.

Examples: aj-nu, "raise self, rise, stand up"; aw-nu-ke, "he rose"; $b\varepsilon j$ -nu, "lean!"; hew-nu-ke, "he halted, stopped" (lit. "hung himself"); $hik\varepsilon w$ -nu-ke, "he attached himself"; aj-nuj-an- ε , "thou usest to behave thyself toward him"; etc.

III. 4. 5. Indication of person (with number) for the subject in primary forms.

The indication of person (with number) for the subject takes place in different manners for primary and for secondary forms. In primary forms the affixes for the subject person can formally be divided in two main categories, which can be termed (1) the actual and (2) the eventual. The two are, however, not always clearly separated, and there is some interpenetration. The "actual" forms are found in those tenses of the indicative which indicate that an action is actually taking place in the present, or has actually taken place in the past, viz. the present, the habitualis, the imperfect and the aorist. The "eventual" affixes occur in those forms which indicate that an action will happen or is expected, supposed, desired or forbidden to happen, viz., the future, partly the adhortative and the conditional, and the vetative. The deviations in the adhortative are the 3rd p.s., du and pl., which are similar to the "actual" forms, while in the conditional the deviation in the 1st p.s. may well be so only in outward appearance, because the -d- element of the "eventual" 1^{st} p.s. may be concealed in the l of the ending -le. The 2nd p.s. has elements of both categories; the 3rd p.s. is similar to the "actual".

The most striking characteristic feature of the "actual" and the "eventual" person affixes as distinct from each other is, that, while the former seem to have little or no formal relation with the absolute personal pronouns nor with the personal *object* affixes, the latter for the greater part do show such a relation. This is, as far as the future is concerned, clearly the case at least for the 1st p.s. and pl., the 2nd p. du. and pl., and (with the absolute pronoun, *not* the object affix) for the

 3^{rd} p.s., du. and pl. Even for the 2^{nd} p.s. there is a possibility that a w has been dropped before the modal ending -le; this -w- at least appears, in forms with an incorporated person *object* affix, immediately before it, and is also found in the secondary future.

The following table gives the two categories of subject affixes:

Person	I. Actual		II. Ev	entual	
		a. future	b. adhor	rtative	c. conditional
1st sg.	-a-		-də-		ø
2 nd sg.	-(j)-ε	ø; -w-	•	j	-j- + -ε
3^{rd} sg.	ø; -w-	-n-		-w	-W-
1st du.	-ən-; -ə-(j)-		-a-		
2^{nd} du.	-əw-		-b-	(-bu)	
3 rd du.	-əj-	-nəj-		-әј	
1 st pl.	-an-; -a-(j)-		-ma-		
2 nd pl.	-aw-		-əm-		
3 rd pl.	-aj-	-naj-		-aj	

These person affixes, as far as the categories I and II (a) are concerned, precede the modal element for the indicative according to the morphophonemic rules stated for the latter (cf. III. 4.2). As for II (b), the modal sign for the adhortative being zero (and that for the vetative, which otherwise is similar to the 2nd persons of the adhortative, being a prefix and a particle), these affixes are, of course, final. An exception is the 2nd p. du. of the adhortative which has -bu, b not being admitted as final. In accordance with the morphophonemic rules already stated for the treatment of final root vowels in contact with following affix vowels, the initial a-vowels in these person affixes too drop after preceding vowel, while the initial a-vowels persist after preceding a, a or back vowel, and contract to ε with a preceding front vowel. In the 2nd p.s. "actual" ε is linked to a preceding vowel by the juncture consonant -j. The allomorphs -a- and -a- for the "actual" 1st p. du. and pl., which occur only in combination with certain object affixes, take this same juncture consonant -j-, when followed by a vowel, and then are similar to the 3rd p. du. and pl. The allomorph -w- of the 3rd p.s. "actual" too occurs before a person object affix. Examples: m-a-le, "I come" (present); $m \partial - i - \varepsilon$, "thou comest"; $m \partial - l e$, "he comes"; $m \partial - d \partial - l e$, "I shall come"; mə-də, "let me come" (adhort.); mə-n-de, "he will come"; mə-w, "he must come"; m - w - be, "you two come" (present); m - be (< *m - b - be),

"you two will come"; m alpha - b u, "come you two!" (adhort.); m alpha - k - a - l e, "I came" (aorist); m alpha - k alpha - j - d e, "they two came" (aor.); m alpha k - a - l e, "I make, do, work" (present); m alpha k alpha - n - d e, "he will make"; m alpha k alpha - b alpha, "make you two!" (adhort.); etc. For examples of the allomorphs in use with object affixes, see III. 4. 7-8.

III. 4. 6. Indication of person (with number) for the subject in secondary forms.

Person for the subject in secondary forms is indicated in different ways according to tense or, as the case may be, mood; and, for each tense or mood, according to person and/or number.

In the secondary habitualis or iterative the position of the person subject affix is regular throughout, following the tense affix and preceding the mood sign. In the aorist and in the conditional the indication of person for the subject differs according to number, the affix following the tense sign in the regular manner of III. 4.3 in the singular, but following the root and preceding the aspect affix in the dual and plural. In addition, in the singular persons of the aorist a -w- immediately follows the root and precedes the aspect affix, the dual and plural having, like the 3rd p.s., throughout the ending -ke following the aspect affix. However, the 3rd p. du. and pl. have a younger, more regular, form besides, in which the regular endings -əj-de and -aj-de are added pleonastically after the tense sign -kə-, thus: -k-aj-de, -k-aj-de. This -w- may be a syllable-final allomorph and perhaps even a phonetic derivative of the l of the indicative sign -le. The ending -ke is the contraction of tense and mood signs (<*-k(a)-le), already mentioned in III. 4.2. The singular persons of the conditional have -j- immediately following the root in the 1st and 2nd p.s., and -win the 3rd p.s., the dual and plural having, like the 3rd p.s., throughout the final -be, as described in III. 4.2. In the 1st p. du. and pl. both aorist and conditional have -əndə- and -andə- respectively instead of the "actual" -an- and -an-. With the forementioned restrictions and exceptions, the personal affixes of aorist and conditional otherwise correspond to the "actual" and "eventual" forms of the preceding section respectively. Examples: (habitualis) mokoj-bo-j-a-le, "I am used to make or do (something) every time"; mokoj-bo-jo-j-ε, id. 2nd p.s.; mokoj-bo-j-aj-de, id. 3rd p. pl.; (aorist) ho-w-bo-k-a-le, "I killed (something)"; ho-w-bo-k-E, id. 2nd p.s.; ho-w-bo-k-e, id. 3rd p.s.; but ho-ndə-bo-k-e, id. 1st p. du.; h-andə-bo-k-e, id. 1st p. pl.; h-aj-bo-ke or h-aj-bo-k-aj-de, id. 3rd p. pl.; (conditional) je-j-bo-le, "should I

become"; je-j-bo-j- $m\varepsilon$, id. 2^{nd} p.s.; je-w-bo-be, id. 3^{rd} p.s.; but je-j-bo-be(for $*je-\partial j$ -), id. 3^{rd} p. du.; $j\varepsilon-j-bo-be$ (for *je-aj-), id. 3^{rd} p. pl.; etc. In the future the subject person affix throughout follows the root and precedes the aspect affix, the secondary future tense sign n, immediately followed by the indicative mood affix $le \sim de \sim be$, occurring in final position in all persons except the 2^{nd} p. du. and pl. In these persons the subject affix is found after the aspect affix and preceding the tense affix (*b-be > be in the dual and m-be in the plural), but in the 2nd p. pl. in addition to the post-radical position (*-əm-ko-m-be > -ən-ko-m-be). Hence in the secondary future all persons, except the two just mentioned, end, like the 3rd p.s., in asp. aff. + -nde, while in the secondary agrist all persons except the 1st and 2nd p.s. end, like the 3^{rd} p.s., in asp. aff. + -ke, and in the conditional in asp. aff. + -be. The personal affixes of the secondary future correspond to the "eventual" forms, but in the 2nd p.s. the allomorph -w- of zero is used. For the 1^{st} p.s. $-d_{\partial}$ - a variant $-d_{\partial}$ - occurs when surrounding affixes have o-

In the secondary adhortative the same principle prevails as in the secondary future, the person affixes, which follow the root and precede the aspect affix, corresponding to the "eventual" forms, series II (b), except for the 1^{st} p.s., du., pl., which have an additional m following the aspect affix, and the 2^{nd} p. du. which has zero instead of -bu (or perhaps loss of b before the consonant of the aspect affix).

For the vetative lastly, see III. 4.2, last para.

vowels, but it is not obligatory.

Examples: (future) ho-də-bo-nde or ho-do-bo-nde, "I shall kill (something)"; h-a-bo-nde, id. 1st p. du.; but ho-bo-be, id. 2nd p. du.; ho-m-bo-m-be, id. 2nd p. pl.; or with asp. aff. -ko-: ho-n-ko-m-be (for ho-m-ko-); (adhort.) ho-j-ko, "kill thou!"; ho-n-ko, id. 2nd p. pl. (for ho-m-ko); but ho-do-ko-m, "let me kill"; h-a-ko-m, id. 1st p. du.; ho-ma-ko-m, id. 1st p. pl.; etc.

III. 4.7. Indication of person (with number) for the object in primary forms.

The object in primary forms is indicated by person affixes which, as a rule, follow in the verbal structure the person affix for the subject and precede the affix for mood. This means that if the person subject affix is zero, the object affix immediately follows the tense sign, and if this is zero too, the root. If the sign for mood is zero, as in the adhortative, the object affix naturally stands at the extreme end of the verbal structure. The following peculiarities should, however, be noted.

In the 2nd p.s. subject form the object affix immediately precedes the combined mood + subject person ending -ɛ in those forms of the indicative where this occurs (see III. 4. 2). In the 3rd p.s. subject form the object affixes of the 1st and 3rd p.s., du., pl. are in the indicative placed at the extreme end of the verbal structure, preceded by the -w-allomorph of the 3rd p.s. "actual" for the subject (see III. 4. 5), the mood sign being omitted altogether. Omission of this mood affix is usual in the 2nd and 3rd p. du., pl. subject forms of the present and the imperfect, if the object affix is in the 1st p.s., du., pl., or in the 3rd p.s., du., pl.; but for the 3rd p. du., pl. object forms it is not a strict rule and these forms are found both with and without a final -le for the indicative mood.

If the object affix is in the 2^{nd} p.s., du., pl., the preceding "actual" subject affixes for the 1^{st} p. du., pl. then have the allomorphs - ∂ - and -a- respectively linked with following vowel by -j- (see III. 4. 5), whence the forms for these persons are wholly identical with the forms of the 3^{rd} p. du., and pl. subject (which have - ∂j - and -aj- respectively). If the object affix is in the 3^{rd} p. du., pl. the n-element in the "actual" forms - ∂n - and - ∂n - of the 1^{st} p. du., pl. may assimilate to the object affix mi to -mmi or may be separated from it by ∂ , thus: - $n\partial$ -mi.

In the adhortative the 1st p.s., du., pl. subject forms add the same final -m after the object affix, as we also found added after the aspectual affixes in secondary forms of the adhortative (cf. III. 4. 4), and similarly the 2nd p. du. subject form has the same zero (or loss of b) for the subject affix as we found in the secondary adhortative (cf. III. 4. 6).

In the singular of the conditional the object affix precedes the peculiar forms of subject person + mood -le, $-m\varepsilon$, and -be of the 1^{st} , 2^{nd} and 3^{rd} p.s. respectively (cf. III. 4. 2).

The vetative lastly (the negative is, of course, uninflected here too), shows the same extension of the object affix with an additional -m as we have seen in the 1^{st} p.s., du., pl. of the adhortative. As in the adhortative the extended affix is placed after the subject affix for the 2^{nd} p.s. (j) or pl. (m); the following emphatic particle $j\varepsilon$ then assimilates retrogressively to the -m-extension and becomes $m\varepsilon$.

The object affixes are the following:

Person	Singular	Dual	Plural
1 st	d	m	m
2^{nd}	w, j	b	m
3^{rd}	n	mi	mi

As has already been remarked in connection with the subject person affixes (III. 4. 5), these affixes show, at least partly, a distinct formal relation with the "eventual" class of the subject affixes, and with the independent personal pronouns.

The distribution of the w and j allomorphs of the 2^{nd} p.s. object is as follows: -j- occurs in all forms where it precedes the mood affix (which then has the allomorph -de), i.e., when the subject is in the 3^{rd} p.s., du., pl. or the 1^{st} p. du., pl. of the present, the habitualis, the imperfect and the aorist, in all persons of the future and in the 1^{st} p.s. of the conditional; -w- occurs in the other forms, i.e. the small minority. The 2^{nd} p. du. object affix only occurs if the subject is in the 1^{st} or 3^{rd} p. sg. of the indicative tenses, except the future (in the 3^{rd} p.s. -b-+ mood affix -le > -be). In the 1^{st} and 3^{rd} p. du and pl. subject forms of the indicative tenses just referred to, and in all persons of the future, the adhortative and the conditional the 2^{nd} p. pl. object affix is used for the dual as well (-m-+ mood affix >-mbe).

Except for the 3^{rd} p. du., pl., only the consonants are given in the above table, because the vowels in the other persons are unstable and non-distinctive. The i of the 3^{rd} p. du., pl. -mi-, however, when in contact with a or ε , or a syllable in a, assimilates, progressively or retrogressively, to ε . Of the consonants the -n- of the 3^{rd} p.s. may assimilate to m if followed by b. In the 1^{st} p. du. of the future this n is repeated and becomes $-n \ni n$ -. In the 1^{st} p.s. of the conditional the 2^{nd} p.s. object affix is also doubled and becomes $-j \ni j$ - (if, at least, the first j is not the juncture consonant).

The vowel of the affixes, though unstable and non-distinctive, except for the 3^{rd} p. du., pl., is basically ∂ , but assimilates to a in contact with a syllable containing a or ε . Because of the limitations of consonant clusters the vowel may precede or follow the consonant, dependent on environment; e.g., $w\partial w-n\partial$, "he tells him"; $w\partial -n-\partial n-\partial e$ (for * $w\partial -\partial n-\partial e$), "we two tell him"; but w-a-na-le, "I tell him"; $w-an-\varepsilon$, "thou tellst him"; etc.

əw-də); habə-w-aj-mə, "they (pl.) have been beating us (du. and pl.)" (for *habə-wo-aj-mə); hab-a-wa-le, "I hit thee" (present; with 2nd p.s. obj. aff. -w-); but habə-j-de, "he hits thee"; hab-aj-əj-de, "we (pl.) (but also "they") hit thee" (with 2nd ps. obj. aff. -j-); habo-w-a-ba-le, "I have been hitting you two" (for *habə-wo-a-ba-le); habə-w-a-ma-le, "I have been hitting you (pl.)"; haba-wo-j-am-be, "we two (but also "they two") have been beating you (pl.)" (for *habə-wo-ə-əm-be if the 1^{st} p. du. subj. is meant, and for *habə-wo-əj-əm-be if the 3^{rd} p. du. subj. is meant); haba-w-aj-am-be, "we (pl.) (but also "they-pl.") have been beating you (pl.)" (for *habə-wo-a-əm-be and *habə-wo-aj-əm-be respectively); hab-a-me-le, "I hit them" (for *hab-a-mi-le); hab-aj-mi-le or habajmi, "they (pl.) hit them" (present); u-wo-j-mi-le or u-wo-j-mi, "they two have been telling them" (imperfect); hab-am-mi-le, "we (pl.) hit them" (for *-an-mi-); wə-j-nə, "tell him thou!" (adhort.); wə-w-nə, "he must tell him" (adhort.); but $u-d\partial-n\partial-m$ or, contracted, $u-d\partial-m$, "let me tell him" (for $*w \rightarrow d \rightarrow n \rightarrow m$); $w \rightarrow a - n \rightarrow m$, "let us two tell him"; wə-nə, "you two must tell him"; wə-n-nə, "you (pl.) must tell him" (for *w-m-n-n); je-j-n-mε, "shouldst thou give it to him" (condit.); je-w-də-be, "should he give it to me" (condit.); ə-wə-j-də-m-mε, "do thou not tell me!" (vetative) (for *-də-m-jɛ); ə-wə-n-nə-m-mɛ, "don't you (pl.) tell him" (for *ə-wə-m-nə-m-jɛ); etc.

III. 4. 8. Indication of person (with number) for the object in secondary forms.

According to the position the object affixes occupy in the verbal structure, three main types can be distinguished:

- (1) the iterative (secondary habitualis) places *all* person object affixes after the tense sign $-j\partial$ -, and, as the case may be, after the subject affix or in the ending, entirely in the same manner as the primary habitualis;
- (2) the adhortative and the conditional place *all* person object affixes, and the tenses of the indicative, except the iterative, place the 1st and 3rd p.s., du., pl. object affixes, immediately before the aspect affix;
- (3) the indicative, except the iterative, is irregular as to the position of the object affixes of the 2nd p.s., du., pl., for which the following peculiarities should be noted:

The affix is usually, though not always, placed at once before the aspect affix and between the subject affix and the mood sign in the ending, according to the rules stated above for the 2nd p.s., du., pl. object affixes in primary forms. It is thus indicated *twice* in the verbal

structure, but with this additional peculiarity that the 2^{nd} p.s. has always -w- before the aspect affix even if it has -j- before the mood sign, while the 2^{nd} p. du. has in the plural forms of the subject the plural form -m-before the aspect affix even if it has its normal -b- in the ending. The 2^{nd} p. pl. is regular in having only -m- in both positions. Sometimes, however, the shorter, more regular, form with only the object affix inserted before the aspect affix is also found side by side with the longer form. This is even normal for the 2^{nd} p.s. obj. aff. in the future, the 2^{nd} p. du. and pl. being entirely similar to each other (-m-mbe).

Otherwise the forms of the object affixes are the same as those in primary forms, but in the 1^{st} p. du. subject of the future and the adhortative the 3^{rd} p. object affixes are $-n \ni n$ - (or, through assimilation, $-n \ni m$ -) for the singular, and -mim- (or, through assimilation, -min-) for the dual and plural, while the 2^{nd} p. du., pl. object affix is $-m \ni m$ -.

The vowels are even more unstable than they are in primary forms. Being non-distinctive, except for the 3rd p. du., pl., their type is more or less free, but influenced by environment, viz. the vowels of neighbouring syllables and/or the position of the stress. As in primary forms, the vowels may precede or follow the consonant, or, in some cases already referred to, stand between the doubled consonants. Examples: how-na-bo-ke, but by preference how-no-bo-ke, "he slew (something) for him" or "he slew him"; ho-no-bo-be, but also ho-na-bo-be, "you two will slay (something for) him" (future); but always hoj-no-bo, "kill thou (something for) him!" (because of the stress on -no-); ha-nam-bom, "we two must kill (something for) him"; and regularly hojboja-na-le, "I use to kill (something) for him every time" (iterative), and hojboj-an-ε, id. 2nd p.s.; but with normal indifferent vowel a: hojbojow-na, id. 3rd p.s.; etc.

The "actual" forms of the 1^{st} p. du. and pl. subject affixes show the $-\partial n$ - and -an- allomorphs when taking the 3^{rd} p.s., du. and pl. object affixes, but the $-\partial$ - and -a- plus juncture consonant j when taking the 2^{nd} p.s., du. and pl. object affixes. The $-\partial n$ - and -an- forms may, but need not, assimilate to the m of the 3^{rd} p. du., pl. object affix -mi-in the same manner as in primary forms (cf. III. 4. 7).

Examples: $hab \partial w - do - ko - ke$, "he has beaten me" (aor. with asp. aff. -ko - ke); how - no - bo - ke, "thou hast killed (for) him" (aor. with asp. aff. -ko - ke); $(b\partial n\partial) + n\partial k\partial w - n\partial - m\partial - ke$, (a thought) came to dwell on him" (aor. with asp. aff. $-m\partial - ke$); bodo - j - mi - bo - j - me, "shouldst thou hear them" (condit. with -ko - ke); bodo - naj - mo - ke, "they will hear us" (future); bodo - naj - me, "they will hear us" (future); bodo - naj - me, "they will hear us" (future); bodo - naj - me, "they will hear us" (future); bodo - naj - me, "they will hear us" (future); bodo - naj - me, "they will hear us" (future); bodo - naj - me, "they will hear us" (future); bodo - naj - me, "they will hear us" (future); bodo - naj - me, "they will hear us" (futu

ko-m, "let us two beat them" (for *-mim- before asp. aff. -ko-); habəw-ko-k-a-wa-le, "I have hit thee" (aor. with -ko-); habəw-ko-k-əj-de, "he has hit thee"; habəj-əw-ko-k-əj-de, "we two (but also "they two") have hit thee" (with 2nd p.s. obj. aff. -w- before asp. aff. -ko- but allomorph -j- before mood aff. -de); hab-aj-ən-ko-kə-be, "we (pl.) (but also "they-pl.") have hit you two" (with -m- > -n- [n] before asp. aff. -ko-, and -b- before mood ending: *-b-be > -be); but habə-ko-k-a-ba-le, "I have hit you two" (with only -b- before mood ending); and hab-aj-ən-ko-k-əm-be, "we (pl.) (but also "they-pl.") have hit you (pl.)" (with -m- before both asp. aff. and mood ending; -m- > -n- [n] before -k-); but also beside it the shorter, more regular, form with -m- only once, before the aspect affix: hab-aj-ən-ko-k-e, "id.".

III. 4.9. Composite verbal forms.

Composite verbal forms, i.e., verbal forms composed of two differently inflected roots, the first of which indicates a movement (cf. III. 4), are found in various combinations. These are:

- (1) both verbs are in the present;
- (2) both verbs are in the habitualis, the second verb being either a primary or a secondary form;
- (3) the first verb is in the imperfect and the second in the aorist, this second verb being either primary or secondary;
- (4) the first verb is in the aorist and the second in the present;
- (5) the first verb is in the agrist and the second in the imperfect;
- (6) the first verb is in the aorist and the second in the aorist of secondary form with directive aspect affix;
- (7) both verbs are in the future, the second being either a primary or a secondary form;
- (8) the first verb is in the adhortative and the second either in simple root-form (with only the 1^{st} p.s., du., pl. extended with the usual -m), or in secondary form.

Structurally two principal categories can be distinguished in these combinations:

- (I) the first verb is in an imperfective or undeterminate form, followed by the second verb in a perfective or determinate form, except the secondary habitualis or iterative of type (2), which, though determinate, follows category (II);
- (II) all other combinations, with the exception of combination type (7) (both constituents in the future), which, although the primary future is more or less indifferent to aspect, always follows category (I),

and combination type (8) (adhortative) which also follows category (I), but with some peculiarities of its own, particularly if the second constituent is a secondary form.

In other words: in category (I) belong only the types (3), (7) and (8), in category (II) all the other types.

The characteristic difference in structure between the two categories is the following:

In category (II) the 2^{nd} constituent of the compound is fully inflected according to mood, tense and person for the subject concerned as primary or secondary form, as the case may be, while the only flexional affixes occurring in the 1^{st} constituent are those for tense and person for the subject, these latter being the "actual" forms of III. 4. 5, with the following exceptions: In type (4), (5) and (6) the 2^{nd} p.s. has been reduced to $-\partial$ -, and the 3^{rd} p.s. may have either $-\partial$ - (a morphophonemic variant of zero) or -w- ($-\partial w$ -); in type (1) the 3^{rd} p.s. has only -w-; and in type (2) the only flexional affix appearing in the first verb is throughout the -j- which is characteristic of the habitualis.

In category (I) the 2nd constituent of the compound has exactly the same flexional affixes as those following the aspect affix in non-composite secondary forms of the corresponding tenses and moods (see III. 4. 6). This means that (a) if the second constituent of the compound is in the aorist (type 3), the singular persons are inflected fully in the regular manner $(-k-a-le, -k-\epsilon, -k-e)$, but the dual and plural persons all have the one characteristic form in -ke similar to that of the 3rd p.s.; that (b) if the 2nd constituent is in the future (type 7), only the 2nd p. du. and pl. have the regular endings (-be, -mbe) but all the other persons have the constant form in -n-de similar to the 3^{rd} p.s. (n for the future and -de for the indicative); and that (c) if the compound is in the adhortative (type 8), an additional -m follows, in the 1st p.s., du., pl., the second (root-form) constituent. If, however, the 2nd constituent is a secondary form, the -m follows the aspect affix with optional pleonastic addition after the 2^{nd} constituent, whereas then a similar repetition of the person affix of the first constituent is found in the 2nd and 3rd p.s.

The flexional elements in the *first* constituent of such compounds of category (I) also are in accordance with those which, in the corresponding tenses and moods of non-composite secondary forms, follow the root and *precede the aspect affix*; with the following exceptions: In type (3) the 1st p.d., pl. have the regular -ən- and -an- forms respectively, in conformity with the normal "actual" flexion, as against the forms -əndə- and -andə- which we have found in the non-composite

secondary agrist (see III. 4.6). In the adhortative (type 8) the 3^{rd} p.s. has $-\partial n$ - like the future instead of the normal -w-.

There is thus a strong resemblance between the last-mentioned compounds and the corresponding non-composite secondary forms. The differences are only few, as we have seen. Also a similar morphophonemic rule, as valid for the juncture between an aspect affix beginning with a vowel and a preceding flexional element ending in a vowel in secondary forms (cf. III. 4. 4), applies to the composite forms: if the second constituent of the compound begins with a vowel and the preceding flexional element of the first constituent ends in a vowel, the two vowels are not contracted or assimilated but linked by -j-, e.g., ma-j-anə-n-ko-n-de, "we two shall come-eat" (1st p. du. fut. of mə-, "come", plus anə- with asp. aff. -ko-, "eat"; type 7). But we have also seen (cf. note 10 supra), that there are several good structural objections to regarding these compounds and the non-composite secondary forms as similar formations, at least synchronically; historically, of course, they may well be of similar origin.

Examples: (type 1) ∂ -j-ko-j- ϵ , "thou goest-doest" (roots ∂ -, "go" + ko-, "do, act"); ə-j-ko-j-de, id. 3rd p. du.; an-k-an-de, id. 1st p. pl. (for $*_{\partial-an-ko-an-de}$; (type 2) $\partial-j-a-j-nu-j\partial-j\varepsilon$, "thou always goest-behavest thyself" (roots a-+a-, "take up, carry", with reflex. aff. -nu-, "behave oneself"; and with habit. -jə-); ə-j-nuwə-j-bo-jo-le, or abbreviated, e-jnuw-bo-jo-le, "he always goes-sits (rests)" (roots ∂ - + nuw ∂ -, "rest" + asp. aff. -bo- and habit. -jo-); (type 3) ∂ -w-f ∂ d ∂ -ke, "he was going-died (i.e., "in going he died"; roots $\partial - + h \partial d \partial -$, "die"); $\partial - j - s \partial d \partial k e$, "they two were going-died"; aj-sədəke, id. 3rd p. pl. (for *ə-aj-hədəke); o-w-duw(ə)-k-a-le, "I was descending-fell" (roots o-, "descend" + duw_{∂} , "fall"); $o-n-duw(\partial)-ke$, id. 1^{st} p. du. (for $*o-\partial n-duw_{\partial}-ke$); an-duw(ə)-ke, id. 1st p. pl. (for *o-an-duwəke), but also "we went-fell" (for *ə-an-duwə-ke with root ə- as first constituent); mə-w-wale-wbo-kε, "thou camest-becamest alive; camest back to life"; ə-w-nundew-bo-k-a-le, "I went-disappeared" (both with asp. aff. -bo-); (type 4) ə-k-a-k-a-le, "I went-do", i.e., "I went (and) do, I went (to) do" (roots ∂ - + ko-, "do, act"); ∂ -k- ∂ -ko-j-ε, id. 2nd p.s.; ∂ -k-an-k-an-de, id. 1st p. pl. (for *-ko-an-de); (type 5) ə-k-aj-ko-w-aj-de, "they (pl.) went-have been doing" (for *-ko-wo-aj-de); ρ-k-ρ-ko-wo-j-ε, id. 2nd p.s.; (type 6) ə-k-a-nəkə-w-ə-k-a-le, "I went-started living, dwelling on", (first constit. a-, second constit. naka- with asp. aff. -a-); ma-k-a-naka-wma-k-ε, "thou camest-startedst staying hither, here" (first constit. ma-, second constit. nəkə- with asp. aff. -mə-); (type 7) ə-də-hədə-n-de,

"I shall go-shall die"; ∂ -w-f ∂ d ∂ -n-de, id. ∂ nd p.s.; ∂ -n-s ∂ d ∂ -n-de, id. ∂ rd p.s.; ∂ -h ∂ d ∂ -be, id. ∂ nd p. du.; ∂ -ma-h ∂ d ∂ -n-de, id. ∂ rd p. pl.; ∂ -n-s ∂ d ∂ -m-be, id. ∂ nd p. pl. (for * ∂ -m-h ∂ d ∂ -); ∂ -naj-s ∂ d ∂ -n-de, id. ∂ rd p. pl.; ∂ -n-a-j-an ∂ -n-k ∂ -n-de, "we two shall-come-shall eat" (for * ∂ -a-an ∂ -); (type 8) ∂ -d ∂ -h ∂ d ∂ -m, "let me (I must) go-die" (adhort.); ∂ -j-s ∂ d ∂ , id. ∂ nd p.s.; ∂ -h ∂ d ∂ -m, id. ∂ st p. du.; etc.

III. 4. 10. Indication of the personal object in composite forms.

If the composite form takes a personal object affix, it may have various positions in the structure according to whether the form is one of category (I) or category (II), and, in the former case, whether the second constituent of the compound is a primary or a secondary form.

- (a) If the compound is one of category (I), the object affix is treated in the same manner as it is in non-composite secondary forms, as if the first constituent were the verbal root and the second constituent the aspect affix (cf. III. 4. 8).
- (b) If, however, the second constituent of such a category (I) compound is a secondary form, the object affix may be placed either as described in (a) *supra* and this by preference —, or both as in (a) and again in the second constituent at the same time, which latter is then fully inflected as a non-composite secondary form with an object affix. The object affix thus may occur twice in the compound.
- (c) If the composite form is one of category (II), the object affix is placed in the second constituent according to the rules of the corresponding non-composite forms, although sometimes here too the double indication is found incorrectly, as one of my informants said if the second constituent is a secondary form.

Examples: (type a) *∂-w-n∂-w∂-k-a-le*, "I went-told him" (with 3rd p.s. obj. aff. -n∂-; cf. for the position, ho-w-no-bo-k-a-le, "I killed (something for) him", sec. form with asp. aff. -b∂-); ∂-w-w∂-k-a-wa-le, "I went told thee" (with 2nd p.s. obj. aff. -wa-; cf., for position, ko-w-bo-k-a-wa-le, "I did to thee", sec. form with -b∂-); ∂-d∂-n-w∂-n-de, "I shall go-shall tell him" (cf. ho-d∂-m-bo-n-de, "I shall kill (something for) him", sec. form with -b∂-); ∂-d∂-w∂-be, "you two shall go-shall tell him" (cf. h-a-n∂m-bo-n-de); ∂-d∂-w∂-be, "you two will go-will tell me" (cf. ho-d∂-b∂-be); ∂-naj-mi-w∂-n-de, "they (pl.) will go-will tell them"; (type b) ∂-w-n∂-kε-w-fi-k-ε, "thou wert going-threwest it away" (cf. type a supra, but with 2nd constit. in sec. form, while obj. aff. is still between the two constituents); ∂-w-n∂-kε-w-fi-k-a-le; id. 1st p.s.; but also ∂-w-n∂-kε-w-n∂-hi-k-a-le, id. id. (with obj. aff. mentioned twice,

between the two constituents and in the second constituent, fully inflected as secondary form); $\partial -d\partial -n - k\varepsilon - n - si - n - de$, "I shall go-shall throw it away" (with obj. aff. between the two constituents); a-ma-nkε-n-si-n-de, id. 1st p. pl.; ə-nə-kε-hi-be, "you two must go-throw it away" (adhort. with obj. aff. still between the two constituents); o-n $n - k \varepsilon - n - si - m - be$, id. 2^{nd} p. pl. (for $\partial - m - n \partial - i$); but also $\partial - d \partial - n - k \varepsilon - d \partial - n - i \partial - i \partial$ $si-n-de^{\circ} = \partial d\partial nk \epsilon n sinde$ above, but with obj. aff. mentioned twice, once between the two constituents and once in the second constituent, fully inflected as secondary form); ∂ -ma-n-k ε -m ∂ -n-si-n-de, id. 1st p. pl.; $\partial -n\partial -k\varepsilon -n\partial -hi -be = \partial -n\partial -k\varepsilon -hi -be$ above, but with similar double structure; (type c) *ə-j-mokoj-j-an-ε*, "thou always goest-doest to him" (both constituents in habitualis with obj. aff. in ending as regular); mo-ko-(w-)moko-wo-w-no, "he came-has been making for him" (1st constit. in a orist and 2nd in imperf., with obj. aff. in ending as regular); mo-kəj-nəkə-j-nə-mə-ke, "they two came-settled in it up to now" (1st verb in primary and 2nd verb in secondary agrist with directive asp. aff. -m₂-, and obj. aff. in 2^{nd} verb as regular); $\partial_{i}-j-moko-j-bo-j-an-\epsilon$, "thou always goest-doest (something) to him" (cf. əjmokojjanz above, but with 2^{nd} habitualis in secondary form with -bo-, and with obj aff. in ending as regular); $\partial -j -a -j -n u -j -a n - \varepsilon$, "thou always goest-behavest thyself towards him" (roots a- and a-, "take up, carry", but 2^{nd} verb in secondary form with reflexive aff. -nu-, and with obj. aff. in ending as regular); etc.

III. 4.11. The gerund.

By repetition of root forms is formed a verbal noun or *gerund*. Structurally there are two types which are distinguished by (1) a dissyllabic or polysyllabic root, ending in $-\mathfrak{p}$ preceded by one of the consonants admitted as finals, and (2) all other roots. The former tending, as we have seen (II. 4. 1 and III. 4. 1), to treat this consonant as root-final, it repeats the root in this form, with the consonant as final, e.g., *hon-som* (for *hom-hom < *hon-hon), "burning", from hond-, "burn, grill, roast"; am-am (for *an-an), "eating", from and-, "eat" (cf. the composite word \mathfrak{p}' hamam, "food", lit. "things (for) eating"); dow-dow, "taking, receiving", from dowd-, "take"; etc. The other type adds -j- to the root as in certain other flexional forms (see i.a. III. 4. 2-4), e.g. $\mathfrak{p}-j-\mathfrak{p}-j$, "going"; from $\mathfrak{p}-$, "go"; $\mathfrak{p}-j-\mathfrak{p}-j$, "descending", from $\mathfrak{p}-$, "descend"; $\mathfrak{p}\varepsilon-j-\mathfrak{p}\varepsilon-j$, "seeking", from $\mathfrak{p}\varepsilon-j$, "seek"; $h\varepsilon-j-s\varepsilon-j$, "hanging", from $h\varepsilon-j$, "hanging", from $h\varepsilon-j$, "hanging"; etc.

The gerund can also be formed from secondary forms, in which case

the repetition is omitted, and the aspect affix takes the place of the second constituent of the repetition, e.g., ∂ -j-di, "going up" (with directive asp. aff. -di-); o-j-bo, "collapsing, falling down" (from o-, "descend", with asp. aff. -bo-); de-j-ko, "penetrating, driving into" (as of tree-roots); huw-bo, "feeling" (from huwo- and asp. aff. -bo-); etc.

Similarly the gerund of roots indicating a movement may as first constituent enter into composition with other verbal roots as second constituent, e.g., ∂ -j-sab ∂ , "going-throw"; $m\partial$ -j-sab ∂ , coming-throw"; i.e., "throwing thither" and "throwing hither" respectively, from $hab\partial$ -, "throw, cast, strike".

This gerund may also combine with another flexional form of the same verb to intensify its meaning. This construction, though more or less a borderline case, is to be regarded as composite and flexional for the reasons set forth in III. 2. 2, last para. Also it shows a peculiarity which is also found in other composite flexional verb forms, viz. the juncture consonant -j- between a final vowel of the 1st and an initial vowel of the 2nd constituent; e.g., de-j-ko-de-w-no-ko-ke, "penetrating, it penetrated into it" (gerund of de- with asp. aff. -ko- plus 3rd p.s. secondary aorist with -ko-, and with obj. aff. 3rd p.s. -no-); but o-j-bo-j-o-w-bo-ke, "collapsing it collapsed" (gerund of o-, "descend, fall", with asp. aff. -bo- plus 3rd p.s. secondary aorist with -bo-; the two constituents linked by -j- between the final vowel of the first and the initial vowel of the second); etc.

IV. PARADIGMATIC LISTS OF VERB FORMS.11

IV. 1. Primary Verb.

Model: root ma-, "come".

IV. 1. 1. Indicative.

Person	Present	Habitualis	Imperfect	Aorist	Future
1 st sg.	male	məjjale	məwale	məkale	mədəle
2 nd sg.	məjɛ	məjjəjɛ	məwojε	mək e	məle
3 rd sg.	məle	məjjəle	məwole	məke	mənde
1 st du. 2 nd du. 3 rd du.	mənde məwbe məjde	məjjənde məjjəwbe məjjəjde	məwonde məwoyde	məkənde məkəwbe məkəjde	male məbe mənəjde
1 st pl. 2 nd pl. 3 rd pl.	mande	məjjande	məwande	məkande	məmale
	mawbe	məjjawbe	məwaybe	məkawbe	məmbe
	majde	məjjajde	məwajde	məkajde	mənajde

IV. 1. 2. Adhortative.

1 st p. sg.	mədə	1st p. du.	ma	1 st p. pl.	məma
2 nd p. sg.		2 nd p. du.	məbu	2^{nd} p. pl.	məm
3 rd p. sg.		3 rd p. du.	məj	3 rd p. pl.	maj

IV. 1. 3. Conditional.

1st p. sg. məle 2nd p. sg. məjmɛ 3rd p. sg. məwbe (dual and plural supplied by corresponding persons of indicative, present)

IV. 1. 4. Negative.

all persons and tenses: əməj (not further inflected)

IV. 1. 5. Vetative.

 2^{nd} p. sg. əməj-je 2^{nd} p. du., pl. əməm-me (not further inflected)

These paradigms are complete, not in absolute numbers of all theoretically possible forms, but in the sense of models after which all possible forms can be reconstructed with the aid of the grammatical description in the foregoing pages.

IV. 2. Primary Verb with object affix.

Model: root w_{∂} + obj. aff., "say to, tell".

IV. 2. 1. Indicative with 2^{nd} p.s. obj. aff. (-w- ∞ -j-) as model.

Person	Present	Imperfect	Aorist	Future
1st sg.	wawale	uwawale ¹²	ukawale	wədəjde
2^{nd} sg.	State of the last		***************************************	
3 rd sg.	wəjde	uwojde	ukəjde	wənəjde
1 st du.	wəjəjde	uwojəjde	ukəjəjde	wajəjde
2^{nd} du.		 		-
3 rd du.	wəjəjde	uwojəjde	ukəjəjde	wənəjəjde
1 st pl.	wajəjde	uwajəjde	ukajəjde	wəmajde
2^{nd} pl.			******	
3 rd pl.	wajəjde	uwajəjde	ukajəjde	wənajəjde

IV. 2. 2. Indicative with 3rd p.s. obj. aff. (-n-) as model.

Person	Present	Imperfect	Aorist	Future
1 st sg.	wanale	uwanale	ukanale	wədənde
2 nd sg.	wans	uwane	ukane	wəwnəle
3 rd sg.	wəwnə	uwownə	ukəwnə	wənənde
1 st du. 2 nd du. 3 rd du.	wənənde wəwnə wəjnə	uwonənde uwownə uwojnə	ukənənde ukəwnəle ukəjnəle	wanənde wənəle wənəjnəle
1 st pl. 2 nd pl. 3 rd pl.	wanənde wawnə wajnə	uwanənde uwawnə uwajnə	ukanənde ukawnəle ukajnəle	wəmande wənnəle wənajnəle

IV. 2. 3. Indicative with 3rd p. du., pl. obj. aff. (-mi-) as model.

Person	Present	Imperfect	Aorist	Future
1 st sg.	wam ɛ le	uwamɛle ¹²	ukamɛle	wədəmile
2^{nd} sg.	wame	uwam€	ukame	wəwmile
3 rd sg.	wəwmi	uwowmi	ukəwmi	wənəmile
1 st du. 2 nd du.	wəmmile ¹³ wəwmi	uwonəmile ¹⁴ uwowmi	ukəmmile ¹³ ukəwmi	wamile wəmile
3 rd du.	wəjmi	uwojmi	ukəjmi	wənəjmile

¹² u- in all these forms for $-w\theta$ -.

¹³ Or -nomile instead of -mmile; cf. III. 4.7.

¹⁴ Or -mmile instead of -nomile; cf. III. 4.7.

1 st pl.	wammile ¹³	uwanəmile ¹⁴	ukammile ¹³	wəmamile
2^{nd} pl.	wawmi	uwawmi	ukawmi	wəmmile
3 rd pl.	wajmi	uwajmi	ukajmi	wənajmile

IV. 2. 4. Adhortative with 2nd p.s. obj. aff. (-w-) as model.

 $1^{\rm st}$ p. sg. udəwəm 12 $1^{\rm st}$ p. du. wawəm $1^{\rm st}$ p. pl. umawəm $2^{\rm nd}$ p. sg. — $2^{\rm nd}$ p. du. — $2^{\rm nd}$ p. pl. — $3^{\rm rd}$ p. sg. wəwwə, $3^{\rm rd}$ p. du. wəjəw $3^{\rm rd}$ p. pl. wajəw uwəw

IV. 2. 5. Adhortative with 3rd p.s. obj. aff. (-n-) as model.

1 st p. sg.	udənəm,	1st p. du.	wanəm	1 st p. pl.	umanəm,
	udəm				umam
2 nd p. sg.	wəjnə	2 nd p. du.	wənə	2^{nd} p. pl.	wənnə
3 rd p. sg.	wəwnə	3 rd p. du.	wəjnə	3^{rd} p. pl.	wajnə

IV. 2. 6. Conditional with obj. aff. 2nd and 3rd p.s. as models.

(a) $2^{nd} p.s. (-w- \infty -j-)$	(b) $3^{rd} p.s. (-n-)$
1 st p. sg. wəjəjde	1 st p. sg. wənəle
2 nd p. sg. —	2 nd p. sg. wəjnəme
3 rd p. sg. uwəwbe	3 rd p. sg. wəwnəbe

IV. 2. 7. Vetative with obj. aff. 1st and 3rd p. sg. and du., pl. as models.

(a) 1 st p.s. (-d-) 2 nd p. sg. 2 nd p. du., pl.	əwəjdəm-mε əwəndəm-mε	(b) 1 st p. du., pl. (-m-) əwəjməm-mε əwəmməm-mε
(c) 3 rd p.s. (-n-) 2 nd p. sg. 2 nd p. du., pl.	ອwອjnəm-mε ອwənnəm-mε	(d) $3^{\text{rd}} p. du., pl.$ (-mi-) əwəjmim-me əwəmmim-me

IV. 3. Secondary Verb.

Model: root ho-, "slay", with aspect affix -bo-.

IV. 3. 1. Indicative.

Person	Habitualis (Iterative)	Aorist	Future
1 st sg.	hojbojale	howbokale	hodəbonde
2 nd sg.	hojbojojε	howboke	howbonde
3rd sg.	hojbojole	howboke	hombonde

1 st du. 2 nd du. 3 rd du.	hojbojonde hojbojowbe hojbojojde	hondəboke howboke hojboke, hojbokəjde	habonde hobobe honəjbonde
1 st pl. 2 nd pl. 3 rd pl.	hojbojande hojbojawbe hojbojajde	handəboke hawboke hajboke, hajbokajde	homabonde hombombe honajbonde

IV. 3. 2. Adhortative.

1 st p. sg.	hodobom	1st p. du.	habom	1 st p. pl.	homabom
2^{nd} p. sg.	hojbo	2 nd p. du.	hobo	2 nd p. pl.	hombo
3 rd p. sg.	howbo	3 rd p. du.	hojbo	3 rd p. pl.	hajbo

IV. 3.3. Conditional.

1 st p. sg.	hojbole	1st p. du.	hondəbobe	1st p. pl.	handəbobe
2 nd p. sg.	hojbojmε	2^{nd} p. du.	howbobe	2 nd p. pl.	hawbobe
3 rd p. sg.	howbobe	3 rd p. du.	hojbobe	3 rd p. pl.	hajbobe

IV. 3. 4. Negative.

all persons and tenses: ahojboj (not further inflected)

IV. 3. 5. Vetative.

2nd p. sg. əhojboj-jε 2nd p. du., pl. əhombom-mε (not further inflected)

IV. 4. Secondary Verb with object affix.

Model: root moko-, "make, do" + asp. aff. -bo-.

IV. 4. 1. Indicative: Habitualis (Iterative) with 3rd p.s. obj. aff. as model.

1 st p. sg.	mokojbojanale	1 st p. du.	mokojbojonande
2 nd p. sg.	mokojbojan€	2^{nd} p. du.	mokojbojowna
3 rd p. sg.	mokojbojownə	3 rd p. du.	mokojbojojnə

1st p. pl. mokojbojanande 2nd p. pl. mokojbojawna 3rd p. pl. mokojbojajna

IV. 4. 2.	Indicative with 1^{st} p. sg. obj. aff. (-d-)	as model.
Person	Aorist	Future
1 st sg.		
2 nd sg.	mokowdobok e	mokowdobonde
3 rd sg.	mokowdoboke	mokondəbonde
1st 1		·
1 st du. 2 nd du	mokowdo boke	mokodəbobe
3^{rd} du.	mokojdoboke	mokonajdabonde
5 du.	mokojdoboke	mononojaosonao
1 st pl.		
2^{nd} pl.	mokawdoboke	mokondobombe
3^{rd} pl.	mokajdoboke	mokonajdəbonde
4.0	w 70 40 °41 Ond a 1.2 a 66 (ave	i) as modal
	Indicative with $2^{\rm nd}$ p.s. obj. aff. (-w- ∞	Future
Person	Aorist	mokodəwbonde
1 st sg.	mokowbokawale	IIIOKOUƏ W DOIIUC
2 nd sg.		mokonəwbonde
3 rd sg.	mokowbokojde	HIOKOHAWDOHAC
1st du.	mokojawbokajde ¹⁵	mokawobonde
2 nd du.		-
3 rd du.	mokojawbokajde ¹⁵	mokonəjowbonde
1st pl.	mokajəwbokəjde ¹⁵	mokomawbonde
2 nd pl.		· ·
3 rd pl.	mokajəwbokəjde ¹⁵	mokonajowbonde
A A	T 12 - 42	a model
	Indicative with 3 rd p.s. obj. aff. (-n-) a Aorist	Future
Person	mokownobokale	mokodəmbonde
1 st sg.	etc. as 1^{st} p.s. obj. aff.	etc. as 1^{st} p.s. obj. aff.
etc.	. , , , , ,	(IV. 4. 2)
	(IV. 4. 2)	(IV. 7. 2)
1st du.	mokonəmboke	mokanəmbonde
etc.	etc. as 1^{st} p.s. obj. aff.	etc. as 1st p.s. obj. aff.
	(IV. 4. 2)	(IV. 4. 2)
1st pl.	mokanəmboke	mokomambonde
etc.	etc. as 1^{st} p.s. obj. aff.	etc. as 1st p.s. obj. aff.
	(IV. 4. 2)	(IV. 4. 2)
	•	

¹⁵ Or -jowboke instead of -jowbokojde; cf. III. 4.8, type (3).

IV. 4. 5. Indicative with 2nd p. du. and pl. obj. aff. as models.

Person	Aorist (with 2 nd p. du. obj.)	Aorist (with 2 nd p. pl. obj.)	Future
1 st sg.	mokobokabale 16	mokombokamale	mokodəmbombe
2^{nd} sg.		the same	-
3rd sg.	mokobokəbe	mokombokəmbe	mokonəmbombe
1st du.	mokojembokebe	mokojembokembe	mokaməmbombe
2^{nd} du.			
3 rd du.	mokojembokebe	mokojembokembe	mokonəjəmbombe
1 st pl.	mokajəmbokəbe	mokajəmbokəmbe	mokomambombe
2^{nd} pl.			entering.
3 rd pl.	mokajəmbokəbe	mokajembokembe	mokonajəmbombe

IV. 4. 6. Adhortative with obj. aff. 3rd p.s. (-n-) as model.

1 st p. sg.	mokodəmbom	1 st p. du.	mokanəmbom
2 nd p. sg.	mokojnobo	2 nd p. du.	mokonobo
3 rd p. sg.	mokownobo	3 rd p. du.	mokojnobo

1st p. pl. mokomambom
2nd p. pl. mokonnobo
3rd p. pl. mokajnobo

IV. 4.7. Conditional with obj. aff. 3rd p.s. (-n-) as model.

1 st p. sg.	mokojnobole	1 st p. du.	mokanəmbobe
2 nd p. sg.	mokojnobojmε	2 nd p. du.	mokonəbobe
3 rd p. sg.	mokownobobe	3 rd p. du.	mokojnəbobe

 1^{st} p. pl. mokomambobe 2^{nd} p. pl. mokonnobobe 3^{rd} p. pl. mokajnobobe

IV. 4.8. Vetative with obj. aff. 1st p.s. (-d-) as model.

2nd p. sg. əhojdoboj-jε 2nd p. du., pl. əhondobom-mε

IV. 5. Composite Verb, Category I.

type 3a (imperfect + primary aorist); model: type 3b (imperfect + secondary aorist); model: roots $m \cdot \theta$ -, "come" + $n \cdot \theta k \cdot \theta$ -, "sit, stay" with asp. aff. -ko-.

¹⁶ For *moko-b-bokabale; cf. III. 4.8, type (3).

 1st p. sg. əwədəkale 2nd p. sg. əwədəkε 3rd p. sg. əwədəke 	1 st p. sg. məwnəkəwkokale 2 nd p. sg. məwnəkəwkoke 3 rd p. sg. məwnəkəwkoke
1 st p. du. ənədəke 2 nd p. du. əwədəke 3 rd p. du. əjədəke	 1st p. du. mənnəkəwkoke 2nd p. du. məwnəkəwkoke 3rd p. du. məjnəkəwkoke
1 st p. pl. anədəke 2 nd p. pl. awədəke 3 rd p. pl. ajədəke	 1st p. pl. mannəkəwkoke 2nd p. pl. mawnəkəwkoke 3rd p. pl. majnəkəwkoke
type 7a (future + primary future); model: roots θ -, "go" + $h\theta d\theta$ -, "die".	type 7b (future + secondary future); model: roots $m\theta$ -, "come" + $an\theta$ - with asp. aff ko -, "eat".
1 st p. sg. ədəhədənde 2 nd p. sg. əwfədənde 3 rd p. sg. ənsədənde	 1st p. sg. mədəjanənkonde ¹⁷ 2nd p. sg. məwanənkonde 3rd p. sg. mənanənkonde
 1st p. du. ahədənde 2nd p. du. əhədəbe 3rd p. du. ənəjsədənde 	 1st p. du. majanənkonde ¹⁷ 2nd p. du. məjanəkobe ¹⁷ 3rd p. du. mənəjanənkonde
 1st p. pl. əmahədənde 2^{dn} p. pl. ənsədəmbe 3rd p. pl. ənajsədənde 	 1st p. pl. məmajanənkonde ¹⁷ 2nd p. pl. məmanənkombe 3rd p. pl. mənajanənkonde
type 8a (adhort. $+$ rootform); model: roots θ -, "go" $+$ $h\theta d\theta$ -, "die".	type 8b (adhort. $+$ secondary adhort.); model: roots $m\boldsymbol{\theta}$ -, "come" $+$ $an\boldsymbol{\theta}$ - with asp. aff. $-hi$ -, "drink".
1 st p. sg. ədəhədəm 2 nd p. sg. əjsədə 3 rd p. sg. ənsədə	 1st p. sg. mədəjanənsim, mədəjanəhim 2nd p. sg. məjanəjsi 3rd p. sg. mənanənsi
1 st p. du. ahədəm 2 nd p. du. əhədə 3 rd p. du. əjsədə	1^{st} p. du. majanənsim, majanəhim 2^{nd} p. du. məjanəhi 3^{rd} p. du. məjanəjsi
1 st p. pl. əmahədəm 2 nd p. pl. ənsədə 3 rd p. pl. ajsədə	 1st p. pl. məmajanənsim, məmajanəhim 2nd p. pl. məmanənsim 3rd p. pl. majanəjsi

¹⁷ For *mødø-anø-, ma-anø, mø-anø-, and møma-anø- respectively.

IV. 5. 1. Composite Verb. Category II.

type 1 (both verbs in present); model: roots θ -, "go" + ko-, "do, act". 1^{st} p. sg. akale 1^{st} p. du. ənkonde 1^{st} p. pl. ankande 2^{nd} p. sg. əjkojɛ 2^{nd} p. du. əwkowbe 2^{nd} p. pl. awkawbe 3^{rd} p. sg. əwkole 3^{rd} p. du. əjkojde 3^{rd} p. pl. ajkajde

type 2a (habitualis + pritype 2b (habitualis + secondary habitualis); mary habitualis); model as model: roots m_{θ} , "come" + m_0k_{θ} , "do, before. work" with asp. aff. -bo-. 1st p. sg. əjkojjale 1st p. sg. mojmokojbojale 2nd p. sg. əjkojjəjε 2nd p. sg. məjmokojbojoje 3rd p. sg. əikoiiəle 3rd p. sg. məjmokojbojole 1st p. du. əjkojjənde 1st p. du. məjmokojbojonde 2nd p. du. əjkojjəwbe 2nd p. du. məjmokojbojowbe 3rd p. du. əjkojjəjde 3rd p. du. məjmokojbojojde 1st p. pl. əjkojjande 1st p. pl. məimokoibojande 2nd p. pl. əjkojjawbe 2nd p. pl. majmokojbojawbe 3rd p. pl. əjkojjajde 3rd p. pl. majmokojbojajde

type 4 (aorist + present); model: roots θ -, "go" + ko-, "do act".

 1^{st} p. sg. əkakale 1^{st} p. du. əkənkonde 1^{st} p. pl. əkankande 2^{nd} p. sg. əkəkoje 2^{nd} p. du. əkəwkowbe 2^{nd} p. pl. əkawkawbe 3^{rd} p. sg. əkə(w)kole 3^{rd} p. du. əkəjkojde 3^{rd} p. pl. əkajkajde

type 5 (aorist + imperfect); model as before.

 1^{st} p. sg. əkakowale 1^{st} p. du. əkənkowonde 2^{nd} p. sg. əkəkowojɛ 2^{nd} p. du. əkəwkowowbe 3^{rd} p. sg. əkə(w)kowole 3^{rd} p. du. əkəjkowojde

1st p. pl. əkankowande
2nd p. pl. əkawkowawbe
3rd p. pl. əkaikowaide

type 6 (aorist + secondary aorist with directive asp. aff.); model: $m \theta$ -, "come" + $n \theta k \theta$ -, "sit, stay, settle", with asp. aff. $-m \theta$ -.

 1^{st} p. sg. məkanəkəwməkale 1^{st} p. du. məkənnəkəndəməke 2^{nd} p. sg. məkənəkəwməke 2^{nd} p. du. məkəməkəwməke 3^{rd} p. sg. məkənəkəwməke 3^{rd} p. du. məkəjnəkəjməke

1st p. pl. məkannəkandəməke
2nd p. pl. məkawnəkawməke
3rd p. pl. məkajnəkajməke

IV. 6. Composite Verb with object affix. Category I.

Models: types 3 and 7

type 3b (imperfect + secondary aorist); model roots type 3a (imperfect + priθ-, "go" + kε- with asp. aff. -hi- and obj. aff. mary aorist); model: roots 3^{rd} p.s. -n-= "throw it away". $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ -, "go" + $w\boldsymbol{\theta}$ - with obj. aff. 3^{rd} p.s. -n- = "say to him". 1st p. sg. əwnəwəkale18 1st p. sg. əwnəkewfikale, əwnəkewnəhikale 2nd p. sg. əwnəkewfike, əwnəkewnəhike 2nd p. sg. əwnəwəke 3rd p. sg. əwnəkewfike, əwnəkewnəhike 3rd p. sg. əwnəwəke 1st p. du. ənənkewfike, ənənkenənəhike, 1st p. du. ənənwəke -kenənsike 2nd p. du. əwnəkewfike, əwnəkewnəhike 2nd p. du. əwnəwəke 3rd p. du. əjnəkewfike, əjnəkejnəhike 3rd p. du. əjnəwəke 1st p. pl. anənwəke anonkewfike, anonkenonohike, 1st p. pl. -kenənsike 2nd p. pl. awnəkewfike 2nd p. pl. awnəwəke 3rd p. pl. ajnəkewfike 3rd p. pl. ajnəwəke type 7b (future + secondary future); model: as type 7a (future + primary future); model as for 3a. for 3b. 1st p. sg. ədənkensinde, ədənkedənsinde 1st p. sg. ədənwənde 2nd p. sg. əwnəkensinde, əwnəkewnəhinde 2nd p. sg. əwnəwənde ənənkensinde, ənənkenənsinde 3rd p. sg. ənnəwənde 3rd p. sg. 1st p. du. anənkensinde, anənkenənsinde 1st p. du. anənwənde 2nd p. du. ənəkehibe, ənəkenəhibe 2nd p. du. ənəwəbe 3rd p. du. ənəinəwənde 3rd p. du. ənəjnəkensinde, ənəjnəke(nəj)nəhinde 1st p. pl. 1st p. pl. əmankensinde, əmankemansinde əmanwənde 2nd p. pl. ənnəwəmbe 2nd p. pl. ənnəkensimbe, ənnəkennəhimbe 3rd p. pl. 3rd p. pl. ənajnəkensinde, ənajnəwənde ənajnəke(naj)nəhinde

IV. 6.1. Composite Verb with object affix. Category II.

Models: types 1, 2b and 5

With 2nd p.s. object affix: əwwəkawale; cf. non- composite secondary form mokowbokawale in paradigm IV. 4. 3.

type 1 (both verbs in present); model: roots θ -, "go" + ko-, "do, act" with obj. aff. 3^{rd} p. sg. -n-.

 1^{st} p. sg. akanale 1^{st} p. du. ənkonənde 1^{st} p. pl. ankanənde 2^{nd} p. sg. əjkanɛ 2^{nd} p. du. əwkownə 2^{nd} p. pl. awkawnə 3^{rd} p. sg. əwkownə 3^{rd} p. du. əjkojnə 3^{rd} p. pl. ajkajnə

type 2b (habitualis + secondary habitualis); model as before, but with asp. off. -ko-.

 1^{st} p. sg. əjkojkojanale 1^{st} p. du. əjkojkojonənde 2^{nd} p. sg. əjkojkojana 2^{nd} p. du. əjkojkojownə 3^{rd} p. sg. əjkojkojownə 3^{rd} p. du. əjkojkojojnə

1st p. pl. əjkojkojanənde
2nd p. pl. əjkojkojawnə
3rd p. pl. əjkojkojajnə

type 5 (aorist + imperfect); model as for type 1.

 1^{st} p. sg. əkakowanale 1^{st} p. du. əkənkowonənde 2^{nd} p. sg. əkəkowan ϵ 2^{nd} p. du. əkəwkowownə 3^{rd} p. sg. əkəkowownə 3^{rd} p. du. əkəjkowojnə

1st p. pl. əkankowanənde
2nd p. pl. əkawkowawnə
3rd p. pl. əkajkowajnə

V. SYNTAX.

V. 1. General remarks.

In the foregoing chapters certain subjects of a semi-syntactical nature have been mentioned because they were marginal features showing points which are reminiscent of both morphology and syntax, or because they were necessary for a good understanding of morphological phenomena. In the latter category belongs, for instance, the discussion in III. 4. 4 on the aspectual value of tenses, which was necessary for understanding the nature of what I have named the aspectual affixes. In the former belong discussions on borderline cases, such as those in III. 2. 2 and III. 4. 11. Interpenetration of levels cannot always be avoided in a complicated language as Sentani. In this chapter on Syntax such features will be touched upon again, but only to the extent that is necessary. Thus the discussion on borderline cases will only be mentioned in passing, when giving examples of syntactic constructions concerned therein, but the question of aspect and tense, which was only briefly mentioned in III. 4. 4, will be gone into in much greater detail when giving examples of the distinctions between them, and their use in the sentence.

V. 2. Word, phrase and sentence.

While the Sentani word, whose structure was dealt with in the chapters on phonology and morphology, is a minimum free form, the sentence is the maximum free form of an utterance which is a grammatical unit. It stands in absolute position and is not included in a longer grammatical form. The sentence contains one or more phrases, free forms in included position which in their turn consist of one or more words. An example of a sentence which is at the same time a phrase and a word, is, e.g., hədəke, "he (has) died" (3rd p.s. primary aorist of hədə-). An example of a two-word phrase which is not a sentence, is, e.g., ime kabam, "the (or a) big house". But this same form can, with or without a slight pause between the two words, also mean "the house is big", and then we have an example of a two-word phrase which is at once a sentence. A sentence which contains more

than one phrase is, e.g., $ka'ji \ kabam \ nahi'bi \ duwwwoke$, "the big canoe promptly sank", in which $ka'ji \ kabam$, "the big canoe", and $nahi'bi \ duwwwoke$, "promptly sank", are both two-word phrases.

In the following sections we shall discuss our subject on the basis of the phrase only, in its occurrence both as or in a sentence and as a lesser free form.

V. 3. Structure of the phrase.

Phrases of one word may consist of an inflected form, e.g., hodoke, "he died", or a root word, e.g., ako!, "father, old man!"; maka?, "what?"; no!, "yes!"; nawa, "indeed! good!".

Phrases of more than one word are formed by predicative, post-positional, coordinative, or subordinative (including appositional) combination of lesser free forms.

V. 3. 1. Word junction in the phrase.

Characteristic of certain types of phrase is that word junction in them is accompanied by similar phonetic modifications, syntacto-phonemic features in this case, as we have seen occurring in morphology in the shape of morphophonemics (II. 1). This is not to say that such phenomena are always entirely absent in other phrase types or even in the wider context of the sentence, nor that in those phrases in which they are common they are obligatory. But they are rare in the former and frequent in the latter, and if in the latter they are not, strictly speaking, indispensable, they are yet very regularly observed.

Such types of phrase are notably postpositional and subordinative combinations, and those with the emphatic particle $j\epsilon$; e.g., bum mæle $jahi\ j\epsilon le$, "and fat and round" (with postpos. $j\epsilon le$, "with"); mandilin no or mandilim mo, "in cold, for cold" (postpos. no after -m); Hubulew fo (dial.) = Hubulew do, "to H." (postpos. do); hokoj so = hokoj do, "for hokoj (a plant)"; foj solo, "very good", but na holo, "it (is) much"; nej seke, "his (own) garden", but nej heke, "his (is) a garden, he has a garden"; dej hokoj bolu najkaj solo, "mine (is) very extraordinary hokoj-seed"; ahaw we, "yet, still far-off" (with emphatic particle $j\epsilon$); etc. If in other types of construction, notably coordinative combinations, we find similar features, we are justified in doubting whether they are at all syntactical or rather derivational and composite (III. 2. 1), or at least in regarding them as borderline cases (III. 2. 2), e.g., bolaw sabakaj, "betel (and) tobacco", i.e. the ingredients of the betelquid,

SYNTAX 53

and hence "betelquid". As usual we shall write in our phonemicization only the heterophonemic variants, and in the case of /h/, the [s] allophone.

V. 4. Predicative constructions.

There are four principal types of predicative constructions: (1) the verbal, (2) the nominal (including pronominal), (3) the emphatic and negative, and (4) the postpositional.

The first type consists of an inflected verb form as predicate which may itself express the subject or may be preceded by a separate word as subject. The predicate may or may not be accompanied by one or more attributes of V.7, types (8) and (9) hereafter, the latter (the object) as a rule intervening between subject and the predicate; e.g., ondofolo hədəke, "the ondofolo (a clan chief) died"; Ajokoj anəwole, "A. ate, was eating"; ka'ji nahi'bi duwəwoke, "the (women's) canoe promptly sank"; bu nə dilowfoke, "he dived off (over) into the water"; ko awəjjajde, "they are all the time peeling the coconut"; Jakali na-hu'wɛ ajboke nɛj jo də, "they transported J. in the afternoon to his (own) village"; duka əj-sabə məj-sabə əhabəj-jɛ, "don't throw stones hither and thither" (lit. "...go-throwing come-throwing"); etc. The subject may be stressed by the emphatic particle jɛ, e.g., do jɛ məle, "a màn comes, it is a man who comes"; ən no jɛ dikə nə honole, "(it is) a banana tree (that) is lying yonder"; etc.

The second type consists of a subject followed by a noun, adjective (including the numerals) or pronoun as predicate; here too the predicate may be accompanied by an attribute (including paratactical appositions), e.g., da do Dajmə, "my name (is) D."; ime kabam, "the house (is) big"; ondofolo fa be, "the ondofolo's children (are) two", i.e., "the o. has two children"; dako nej, "this (is) his"; bele hino?, "that (is) who?, who is that?"; dakə maka?, "this (is) what?"; wə fa əmba?, "your child (is) where (or which)?; bele noje, "that (is) he, there he is". Here the predicate may be emphasized by the particle $j\varepsilon$, e.g., na ahaw wε, "he (was) far away"; etc. The predicate has an attribute in examples such as ondofolo do hokolo, "the o. (is) a young man"; da ondofolo fa, "I (am) an ondofolo's child"; ime kaban solo, "the house (is) very big" (for *kabam hələ); dakə hinə le habakaj, "this (is) whose tobacco?"; dikə maka mej?, "this (is) for what purpose, what is this for?"; dakə $d\varepsilon j$ $m\varepsilon j$ habakaj, "this is my tobacco, the tobacco for me"; $d\varepsilon j$ hekeəmbaj, "mine (is) one garden, I have a certain garden"; əmba nəjɛ wo fa, "where (or which) (is) he your child, where, which is your child" (in which the paratactical combination "he + your child" forms the predicate); etc.

The third type of predicative construction consists of a subject only followed by the emphatic particle $j\varepsilon$ or the negative bam, "not, hardly". The former is practically limited to such expressions as $n\partial j\varepsilon$ $j\varepsilon$ (often pronounced $nij\varepsilon$ $j\varepsilon$), "that's him, that's it, there it is"; bam occurs in sentence phrases like $do\ bam$, "(there is) nobody" (lit. "no man"); $\partial ha\ buhi\ bam$, "(there is) not a thing" (lit. "thing sort not"); etc.

In the fourth and last type of predicative construction the predicate following the subject is a postpositional phrase and hence consists of at least two words, e.g., bele ime hind le, "that house (is) whose?"; wa amba do, "thou (goest) whither?"; doje Ohej jo do, "I (go) to Ohej village"; dako maka do, "this (is) for what?"; meka kendo na, "my elder brother is doing his needs" (lit. "(is) in defecation"); noje ime oj no, "he (is) inside the house" (lit. "in the house's inside"); etc.

V. 5. Postpositional phrases.

Postpositional constructions consist of a noun, a pronoun or a verbal form followed by a postposition, or, except with verbal forms, a postpositional phrase as relation marker. The postposition is enclitic; e.g., ifa na, "in the (men's) canoe"; bu na, "in the water"; jo da, "to the village"; ime da, "from the house"; do jele, "with the man"; da da, "to me, for me"; naje jele, "with him" (stressed); maka da, "for what, what for?, to what end?"; maka na, "in what, because of what, why?"; bele na, "in that, because of that, therefore, hence"; dej na, "as mine, as my own"; dika na, "yonder"; hina le, "whose?"; makale na (or na), "in I came, i.e., when (or if or as or because) I came"; mokombonde na (or na), "when (or if or as or because) he will do"; dakakawna na, "because he awaited him"; adale da, "for I will see, i.e., in order that I shall see"; dowale da, "in order that we two will take" nundewboke da, "from (that) he disappeared, i.e., after he disappeared"; hadake da, "from (that) he died, after he died"; etc.

If a noun is followed by an adjective, the postposition follows the latter, e.g., jo ahaw no, "in a far village"; u kaban do, "for a big body, i.e. in great style"; do ombaj do, "to one (i.e. a certain) man"; etc.

In postpositional constructions consisting of a noun or pronoun followed by a postpositional phrase, the latter functions as a pseudo postposition itself, e.g., bu əj nə, "in the water", i.e. "mid lake" (lit. "in the water's inside"); bu a nə, "beneath the water, under water"; jəkələ dan da, "from up south"; na buko də, "to his presence, before him"; etc.

SYNTAX 55

The postpositional phrase may be stressed as such by the emphatic particle $j\epsilon$, e.g., $\partial w f \partial d\partial k e \ da \ j\epsilon \ m \partial w w a lew b o k e$, "from (that) he went-died even he came back to life"; $\partial w n u n de w b o k e \ da \ j\epsilon \ m \partial w j a k a law b o k e$, "from (that) he went-disappeared even he came-became visible again"; etc. It can also be repeated as such to indicate frequency or intensity, e.g., $na \ u \ d\partial \ u \ d\partial$, $n\epsilon j \ d\partial \ n\epsilon j \ d\partial \ fojadew k o k e$, "they both embraced only their bodies, their own selves"; $n\epsilon j \ d\partial \ n\epsilon j \ d\partial \ danojkok e$, "they both pulled (it) to themselves, i.e., each to himself"; etc.

V. 6. Coordination of words.

The coordinative combination of words to phrases can be realized either by mere juxtaposition, i.e., without coordinator; or — in nominal constructions only — by the usually, but not necessarily, repeated postposition $de \sim le$ or — more emphatic — $j \in le$, "with"; or again by be, "two, both", placed after the second constituent, if there are two. E.g., foke hoje, "thou wentst (and) thou killst, i.e., thou wentst to kill"; fokajde molajkoke, "they (pl.) went (and) buried"; ake kolukawna, "she went (and) held him"; fi ukake kajewkoke nawole, "he chopped the sago, cleft (it), (and) picked (it out)"; 19 əj-sabə məj-sabə, "gothrowing (and) come-throwing, i.e., throwing thither (and) throwing hither"; kəlu omi, "son(s) (and) daughter(s)"; aka bɛkə, "kith (and) kin"; do mije, "man (or men) and woman (or women)" (but see for other possibilities of this combination, III. 2.2); $\exists b \ni w \ de \ N \ni k \ni h abo$ le, "the Tortoise and the Lobster"; Jakali le Ajokoj de, "J. and A."; do jele mije jele, "man and woman, husband and wife"; (obo) bum mele jahi jele, "(pigs) fat and round"; \(\Beta\) balo Jakali Hubulew be, "both Jakali of Bbale (and) Hubulew"; etc.

As a specific syntactic type of word coordination must be regarded word repetition, syntactical repetition, that is, as distinct from morphological, both derivational and flexional, repetition (cf. III. 1, III. 2, and III. 2. 2). The two constituents are quite identical both in form (in the case of verbs in fully inflected form) and in meaning, nor is the meaning of the combination essentially changed, apart from being repetitive or frequentative; e.g., ja ja, "day (and) day, day (after) day, daily"; doko doko, "again (and) again"; fole fole, "he goes on (and) on"; anke anke (for anake anake), "he ate (and) ate, had eaten (and) eaten; awkajde awkajde, "the two (had) paddled (and) paddled";

¹⁹ Since a one word inflected verb form may also constitute a phrase, some of these verbal examples can also in a way be regarded as examples of phrase coordination.

honkəwnə honkəwnə, "he burnt him (and) burnt him"; etc. The repeated form may be stressed by the emphatic particle $j\varepsilon$, e.g., Dajmə hu $j\varepsilon$ hu $j\varepsilon$ ja $j\varepsilon$ honowəke, "D. remained lying day after day" (lit. "sun (and) sun, day (and) day"); mələ doko $j\varepsilon$ doko $j\varepsilon$ okowoke, "again (and) again he poured out the (sago) pith"; etc.

Another specific type of serial coordination is represented by those "numerals" which are partly syntactical constructions (cf. III. 2. 1); e.g., məhinə be, "7"; məhinə namɛ, "8"; məhinə kəli, "9". For the fully syntactical "numerals", see V. 8.

V. 6. 1. Coordination of phrases.

For the coordinative combination of phrases the regular procedure is simple serial order without coordinator, e.g., amma dejmaj koma, "let us eat (and) make merry"; awnuke neko le buko də əwole, "he rose (and) went to his father's presence"; Dajmə kulum uke nə əhamam dowke fəla jadowkoke waku əmbaj dowke joku əmbaj jawəwnohoke, "D. packed up, took his food, seized his bow-and-arrow, took a drum, (and) a dog accompanied him"; Dajmə fi nəwkoke mələ dowke meke okowfake ne-ka mije olo, "D. picked out the sago, took the pith, descended, poured it in, (but) his elder brother's wife was not there"; etc.

However, contrastive coordination of phrases can, if stressed, be expressed by $bele-j\epsilon$, "but, however" (demonstrative bele, "that", with emphatic particle $j\epsilon$); e.g., $hin pumihike\ bele-j\epsilon\ do\ pmbaj\ fpm\ na\ dp$ 'piej, "he asked them (for it), but not even one man gave (it) to him"; $n\epsilon$ - $ko\ a\ moj$ - $moj\ mokowownp\ bele-j\epsilon\ pi\ dp$ 'pipj, "his father was very soft-spoken towards him, but he did not go inside"; pipj pipj

Coordinative serial construction without coordinator is usual even where we would use clause or phrase subordination; e.g., ne-waw ukəwnə iso fonde, "his mother's brother told him to cross ashore" (lit. "told him (that) he will cross ashore"); do əhi ukəwmi joku fokajde molajkoke, "other men he-told-them they crossed (and) they buried the dog", i.e., "he gave them an order, so that they crossed..."; mije wena məke dakə ja nahi'bi hədəke, "the woman (who) came yesterday, suddenly died today"; wahena ja hewboke na ubakə ne-ka mije le fi də əwojde, "(when on) the morrow day had broken, his younger brother and his elder brother's wife went to the sago (bushes)"; u foj dowke

SYNTAX 57

nakawmake, "(after) he had recovered (lit. had got a well body), he remained sitting"; hokolo be kokokajde na ubaka Hebzikoj Dajma adakawna, "(while, as) the two young (girls) did their work, her (i.e. the) younger sister H. saw D."; etc.

But often particles like mo, "just, only, but"; and nahi'bi, "suddenly, promptly", occurring after the subordinate clause and before the head respectively, may serve as pseudo coordinators, e.g., nawmeke mo \(\Beta bal\theta Jakali hubaj\text{ejkoke}\), "he had just (i.e. after he had) put off, (then) \(\Beta bal\theta Jakali \) (and he) met"; nakawkoke mo dala ikajnale, "he had but just settled, sat down, (with them), (then) they gave him goods"; n\text{e-ka mij\text{e}} make nahibi na molo Hijaka da huwownokoke, "(when) his elder brother's wife had come (home), she promptly informed her husband H."; n\text{e-ka ikawna nahibi wawna, "(after) her elder sister had given (it) to her, she suddenly said..."; dowke mo nahi'bi ojbojowboke, "he had only just taken (it), (then) promptly it fell apart"; Dajma jo da make mo nahi'bi n\text{e-ka mij\text{e}} na da ake, "D. had only just come home, (then) promptly his elder brother's wife went to him"; Dajma mawwawdike mo nahi'bi i fownanake, "D. had hardly arrived, (then) he jabbed at him with fire"; etc.

However, for subordinate clauses, see also the section on subordination of phrases hereafter.

V. 7. Subordination of words.

There are ten types of subordinative combination of words: (1) noun and nominal attribute (noun); (2) noun and noun in paratactical apposition; (3) noun and nominal attribute (adjective, including numerals); (4) noun (including gerund) and pronominal attribute; (5) noun and verbal attribute; (6) adjective and adverbial attribute; (7) adverb and adverbial attribute; (8) verb and adverbial attribute; (9) verb and object or goal; and (10) combination of types (1) and (4) to form possessive phrases.

In type (1) the attribute precedes the head, and the function is that of a generalized relation of possession or origin. We have already briefly mentioned this type in III. 2. 2 on borderline cases; e.g., aka'la obo, "jungle (i.e. wild) pig"; joku falam, "dog's head"; ondofolo fa, "chief's child"; ondofolo i'fa, "chief's canoe"; etc. The attribute may be accompanied by the postposition $le \sim de$, "with", to indicate a possessive relation in the sense of "having something on or with one". The attribute in this case is itself a (postpositional) phrase, e.g., ondofolo le fala, "the chief's bow-and-arrow" (that he has with him); Dasim

Kələwbew de omi, "D.K.'s daughter" (whom he has with him); nε-waw de joku, "his uncle's dog"; joku le faləm, "the dog's head"; etc.

In type (2) the apposition follows the head, a slight pause intervening, e.g., $n \in j$ jo də əwojde Hubulew Jawb \in -jo də $\exists bal$ ə Jakali $n \in j$ — $\exists bal$ ə-jo — də; "they both went to their own villages, H. to Jawb \in village (and) Jakali of $\exists bal$ ə to his — the $\exists bal$ ə village"; Ajokoj — $Dasim\ Kələwbew\ de\ omi$ — əke kolukəwnə, "A. — D.K.'s daughter — went (and) held him"; etc.

The adjective (and the numeral) of type (3) follows the head, e.g., ime kabam, "big house"; do hokolo, "young man"; mije nale, "old woman"; fa hokolo, "young child" (as distinct from the composite form hokolo-fa, "the younger or youngest child of a family"; cf. III. 2. 1); fa bəna, "an older child" (as distinct from bəna-fa, "elder, eldest child"); ja əmbaj, "one day, a certain day"; hokolo be, "the two young (ones)"; do name, "four men" etc.

If the noun is accompanied by a pronominal attribute, demonstrative, interrogative, or possessive (type 4), the latter precedes the head, e.g., bele do, "that man"; dakə imɛ, "this house"; dikə no, "yonder tree (trunk)"; nə faləm, "his head"; na dəmə, "its tail"; da imɛ, "my house"; da or də əhamam, "my food"; nɛj jo, "his (own) village"; dɛj imɛ, "my (own) house"; na mə, "his hand"; nɛj mə nə, "on their hand" (i.e. "at their expense instead of his own"); wɛj mɛj, "your due"; hinə mɛj, "whose due?"; maka mɛj, "due for what, for what end?"; n-əj-əj (contracted from na or nə əjəj), "his going" (gerund); nə bɛj-bɛj, "his seeking, the seeking of him"; n-əjdi n-oj-oj, "its going up (and) its falling down"; etc. The attribute may be accompanied by the postposition $le \sim de$, "with", as possessive, e.g., hinə le imɛ, "whose house?"; etc.

Type (5) consists of a noun followed by a finite verb form which, however, has not finite, but participial or relative, function, e.g., do hədəke, "man (who) died, dead man". Hence this construction, which is, moreover, restricted to standing expressions like the one mentioned, is really only functionally subordinated (as from our point of view), but structurally it is not essentially different from the serially coordinative type of construction of phrases mentioned in V. 6. 1, 3rd para.

The adverb which determines an adjective (type 6) follows it, e.g., foj sələ, "very good" (s for h after j); hele najkaj sələ, "a very loud shouting"; məhɛ-məhɛ bamo, "only some, only a few"; do əmbaj fəm, "even one man"; do hələn nəm, "so many men" for *hələm + fəm \sim həm); etc.

SYNTAX 59

If the adverb determines another adverb (type 7), it has the same position, e.g., wəla wəla hələ, "very quickly"; foj moj sələ, "very exceedingly good"; etc.

As attribute of a verb the adverb (type 8) may either precede or follow the verb, the former position being favoured, notably (but not exclusively) when the adverb is stressed, e.g., nahi'bi duwwwoke, "promptly it sank"; wəla-wəla jadonko, "quickly fetch"; wahena dəjɛ kulum udəle, "to-morrow I shall pack up"; bənəm dilowfoke, "again he dived"; nawa dowke, "you've got it indeed, all right"; but also: duwke mo fəm, "it only just fell, started falling"; w-omi Jakali dowke nahi'bi nej molo də, "thy daughter has suddenly taken J. for her husband"; nabe u nabe wa jewboke əmə-fomə, "it was restored entirely in its original condition"; etc.

If the verb has an object for attribute (type 9), the latter as a rule precedes the former, e.g., fi ukake, "he beat the sago"; dejmaj koma, "let us make a feast"; na kada-nala awsajawboke, "he squandered his possessions"; i fownanake, "he jabbed fire at him"; na kada-nala wahewmikoke, "he divided their goods to them"; aka mije jawaw-nohonde, "thou wilt accompany thy elder brother's wife"; etc. But for reasons of emphasis the order is sometimes reversed, e.g., wala-wala jadonko malo, "quickly bring here clothes"; adajnobo aha!, "lóók at the thing!"; etc.

The object may be qualified or stressed by a postposition, in which case the attribute is itself a two word phrase of the postpositional type (V. 5). Postpositions are the rule, of course, for an indirect object or, with intransitive verbs denoting a movement, for the direction. But just as in the forementioned examples the incorporated object affixes referred both to the direct object (aka mije jawownohonde) and to the indirect object (i fownonoke and kodo-nalo wahewmikoke), a postposition may refer to a direct or an indirect object. The use and the choice of postpositions with the object depends on the nature of the action expressed in the verb. In so-called intransitive verbs as a-, "go", etc., a postposition indicates the direction of the movement, e.g., $jo \ d\vartheta$ oke, "he went to the village"; ime da owoke, "he descended from the house"; etc. Similarly in Dajmo do wowno, "he-said-to-him to Dajmo", Dajma is the indirect object with the postposition do to the verb wa-, "say to, tell", which is at the same time inflected for this same indirect object by the person object affix -no. And the same situation is found in Hijakə də huwəwnokoke, "she related (it all) to H.". But in joku no foke hoje, "a dog thou wentst kill", and in ko no anke anke, "he had eaten coconuts", it is the direct object, which is accompanied by the postposition n_{∂} , "in".

Type (10) lastly, contains two subtypes: (a) one in which the combination consists of a single noun as head preceded by a two-word phrase of type (1) or (4), with a noun, interrogative pronoun, or the emphatic possessive, plus $m\varepsilon j$, "due", as attribute; and (b) one in which the combination consists of a single noun as attribute, followed by a two-word phrase of type (4), with the normal possessive of the $3^{\rm rd}$ p. $n \ni \infty$ na plus another noun, as head. The first subtype denotes a relation, possessive or other, in which the head is the due of, is the thing destined or meant for, the attribute, e.g., Nokohabo mej ko, "the Lobster's coconut (his due, his part)"; hinə mej habakaj, "whose tobacco (due to whom)?"; wej mej ime, "thy house (meant for thee)"; nεj mεj kədə-nalə, "goods due to them"; etc. The second subtype denotes a specialized relation of origin or possession, as distinct from the generalized relation of type (1); e.g., joku no falom, "the head of the dog", as distinct from joku falam, "dog's head"; Dajma na joku, "D.'s dog"; əm oloku nə fɛ, "oloku-banana leaf", as distinct from əm f_{ϵ} , "banana leaf" (generally); ondofolo no fa, "the chief's child", as distinct from ondofolo fa, "a chief's child"; Dasim n-omi, "D's daughter"; ondofolo no fola, "the bow-and-arrow of the chief"; etc.

V. 7. 1. Subordination of phrases.

As we have said, phrase coordination is usual, even where we would have to translate with a subordinate phrase or clause (see V. 6. 1). For clause subordination, however, there are other possibilities. In subordinate clauses the postposition $n_{\partial} \sim na$ may be used in the sense of "when, if, as, for, since, because". This meaning of the postposition has already been noted in dealing with the postpositional phrase; here follow some examples of full sentences: dəjε məkale na (or nə) nəjε əwəke, "when I came he went away"; nəjε mənde na dəjε ədəle, "when (or if) he comes (lit. "will come"), I shall go away"; na u kaban də əke nəkəwole nə, "as he went (and) lived in great style"; Jakali dakəkəwnə an nə Hubulew bu moko də iwole, "since J. had not waited for him, H. went up the open lake" (lit. the water's mountain) (thus in the version of this story published in the Bijdragen, cf. the Introduction); but also Jakali Buki no dakokowno am, Hubulew..., "(as) J. had not waited for him in the Buki, H...." (without causal n_{∂} ; thus in the version published in this book); etc.

Conditional clauses can also, of course, be subordinated by means

SYNTAX 61

of the inflected verbal forms of the conditional, e.g., jej bi foma do də mije də jejbojme dej na a wəjəjde bodojdobojme, "hey there! coconut rat, if thou shouldst become man (or) woman, thou wouldst understand my words (lit. voice) (that) I would say to thee".

V. 8. The parts of speech.

In the foregoing sections we have mentioned several types of words with the distinctive names of the traditional parts of speech. These distinctions are obviously based on structural, morphological and syntactical, features, characteristic for them, which will be clear enough from the examples cited. But on some points further explanation and justification seems necessary. It will be clear, for instance, that the verb is characterized as such by its flexion; that the noun and the adjective are distinguished from each other by their different syntactic positions in word subordination with another noun; the demonstrative pronoun from the adjective by its similar syntactic position as the noun's, and the personal pronoun from the noun by its pseudo-flexion of "genetive" (possessive) forms through apophony. But some doubt may be justified as for the "adverbs" and the "numerals". Notably the latter allow more than one interpretation.

The "adverb" has no specific characteristics to distinguish it from the noun or the adjective. Often nouns can function as adverbial expressions, e.g., huwe, "evening, afternoon", but also "in the evening"; makaj, "manner, way", but also "apparently"; etc. Similarly adjectives may function as adverbs, e.g., nahinki, "sufficient(ly)". The syntactic position of the "adverb" as attribute to the adjective is not different from that of the adjective to the noun. But many "adverbs" occur only as such, i.e., as attributes to adjectives or verbs, and never occur as adjectives to nouns nor as nouns themselves, e.g., nahi'bi, "promptly, suddenly, further"; mənam, "still, yet"; ba, "only, just"; mo, "id."; bamo, "id."; bamba, "exceedingly, violently" (bam, "not" + ba, "only, a little"); mana, "to-day"; wena, "yesterday"; wahena, "tomorrow"; etc. Some are apparently formed with a preposed na or na, which may be none other than the 3rd p.s. pronoun as a possessive or a demonstrative (cf. III. 2.1 and III. 3.1), e.g., na-hu'we, "in the evening, evenings"; na denijaj, "in the night, of nights"; and possibly also the example already mentioned, nahi'bi. Others seem to be distinguished by repetition, e.g., dəla wahəwowmi, nahinki-hinki jejwowmi, "he dealt out to them goods, sufficiently he gave to them"; but bele nahinki bamo, "that (was) just sufficient". But in such cases the repetition may represent intensity rather than adverbial quality. Also many "adverbs" seem to have an irregular stress, notably final stress even when the word ends in a vowel, e.g., na-hu'wɛ, "in the evening", cf. 'huwɛ, "evening", with normal stress; nahi'bi, "suddenly"; and with both irregular final stress and repetition wə'la-wə'la, "quickly". But, as we have seen (III. 2) repetition is found in several adjectives as well; and irregular final stress also occurs in other words. Yet the latter seems to be more frequent in such "adverbs".

As to syntactic position in relation with the verb, the relative freedom of the "adverb" as compared with other attributive word-types is certainly a specific feature, cf. the examples cited: nahi'bi duwwwoke, "suddenly it sank"; but also dowke nahi'bi, "she took suddenly". All evidence taken together, I feel justified in regarding the adverbs as a separate part of speech, although it must be admitted that its distinctive features are not very pronounced.

The "numerals" I have included in the adjectives. But a different interpretation is, for some historically at least, equally possible. The lower numerals 1-4 are, as far as we can judge at present, independent words: əmbaj, "1"; be, "2"; name, "3"; kəli, "4". They follow the noun to which they refer and therefore can be classed as adjectives. But if the construction of noun plus numeral is taken as a subordinative combination of type (1) in section V. 7 (noun plus noun), these numerals can also be regarded as nouns, meaning "unit"; "pair"; etc. And certainly nouns are, historically at least, the higher "numerals" which appear to be formed with names of parts of the body, e.g., məhəmbaj (< mə fε əmbaj, "one hand-palm"), "5"; məhinə əmbaj, "6"; which are derivational and partly derivational partly syntactical respectively (cf. III. 2. 1 and V. 6); mo be, "10" (lit. "2 hands"); mo be odo fe əmbaj, "15" (lit. "2 hands, one footsole"); u əmbaj, ("one body") or odo be mo be ("2 feet, 2 hands"), "20"; etc., which are fully syntactical. For the sake of simplicity and unity, however, we do not distinguish between the various types for our classification as part of speech.

V. 9. Tense and aspect in the sentence.

As we have already briefly indicated in III. 4. 4, the *tenses*, as I have named these categories, have also other properties than those relative to time. The present represents an action still continuing at the moment of observation or envisaged as such in descriptive statements, and it is, therefore, durative, imperfective and indeterminate, but in the present, e.g. $\exists b \ni w \ imoli \ da \ danole \ N \ni k \ni h abo \ ube \ da \ danole$, "the

SYNTAX 63

Tortoise pulls from the stern, the Lobster pulls from the prow". The imperfect has been named so for its similar durative, i.e. imperfective and indeterminate, quality, but envisaged for an action in the past, e.g. Ajokoj na ka'ji na ahamam anawole, "A. ate her food in her canoe"; Ohej jo no nokowole, "he lived at O.". The aorist, on the other hand, is a past punctual, a momentaneous past. It represents the action at the same time as momentaneous or having lasted only a very short time and as finished. It is, therefore, determinate and perfective even in its primary form. It is also used in contexts, where we would use a perfect or even a pluperfect. In its repeated form (cf. V. 6) it stands for intermittent short or momentaneous actions, repeated by intervals. Examples: nabe ko mo nake dowke mo nahi'bi na mo no ojboj-owboke, "he stretched out his hand (to) that coconut just mentioned, took (it), then suddenly it fell apart in his hand"; \(\exists bal\rho\) Jakali Buwaj jo da məke Ohej jo nə məke nəkəwole, "Ibalə Jakali came from Buwaj village, came in Ohej village, and stayed (living) (there)", with make in the aorist, but nakawole in the imperfect; foma ambaj ko no anke anke jele, "a coconut rat, after he has eaten (and) eaten (with intervals) coconuts, comes up", with repeated aorist followed by the present; Hijakə honkəwnə honkəwnə Dajmə a də owduwke, "H. burned him (and) burned him, (and) D. fell down"; baləkəjde baləkəjde mo Bow Nokohabo do wowno..., "(after) they two had plunged (and) plunged, the Tortoise to the Lobster said-to-him . . . "; etc.

The primary future is more or less indifferent to aspect, and so are the primary adhortative and conditional, while the primary habitualis, as the name indicates, is durative. However, see more in detail, *infra*.

The so-called "tenses", therefore, also have largely aspectual value even in primary form. The secondary forms, however, which are formed with what I have named the aspectual affixes (see III. 4.4), are aspectual in this sense that the action is envisaged as to its goal, either—in the case of transitive verbs—with reference to an "object", direct or indirect, or—in the case of both transitive and intransitive verbs—with reference to direction, either in space or in time, or to self. The secondary forms are, then, determinate as to effect on goal, whereas a perfective primary tense, as the primary aorist, is determinate as to duration of action, the imperfective tenses being indeterminate. This determinating power is characteristic of the aspectual affixes. Hence they are very frequent with the only really perfective and determinate tense, the aorist, if this refers to a definite object, and practically indispensable with it if at the same time it takes a personal

object affix. As a rule, though not always, the object affix then refers to the *indirect* object, the aspect affix referring to the *direct goal* as described above, which statement must, however, be qualified by the reservation that "object", "direct" and "indirect" in this connection need not always correspond to the English conceptions; e.g., *i fownanake*, "he jabbed fire at him" (in which *i*, "fire", is the direct object, and the obj. aff. -no- refers to the indirect object); na kədə-nalə wahewmikoke, "he divided their goods to them" ("goods" being direct, and -mi-, "them", referring to indirect object); but in aka mijɛ jawəw-nohonde, "thou wilt accompany thy elder brother's wife", the object affix -no- refers to the direct object aka mijɛ itself. See also the discussion in V. 7, type (9).

Exceptions to the foregoing are found in certain verbs which by their nature already imply a specific effect on a direct object and hence omit the aspect affix, but always take a personal object affix for the indirect object, e.g., ukəwnə, "he said to him, told him (words)" (aorist); kolukəwnə, "he held him (by the hand or shoulder, etc.)"; etc. On the other hand some transitive verbs appear consistently in the primary aorist, without either aspect affix or object affix, e.g., dowke, "he took, received". For intransitive verbs absence of a direct object is, of course, the rule, but an indirect object may be added, e.g., ki owownə, "saliva dribbled-him (lit. "descended-him"). If an intransitive verb occurs in the secondary aorist with a directive aspect affix, it is more or less inchoative, e.g., owoke, "he descended" (i.e., "he started to descend").

For similar reasons as make them so frequent with the perfective aorist, the aspect affixes are incompatible with the really imperfective tenses: the present and the imperfect, even when they refer to a direct object, and even when they take a personal object affix. Examples: $fij\varepsilon$ - $fij\varepsilon$ mo kowmake no ne-waw ukowmi do fi hawajkoke, "because he acted on only in that manner, his mother's brother ordered people (and) they loaded the sago" (in which the asp. aff. -mo- indicates a hitherward movement in time; the 3^{rd} p. pl. obj aff. -mi- added to the primary aorist of "say to, tell" refers to do, "men"; and the active transitive asp. aff. -ko- to the dir. obj. fi, "sago"); nowmeke mo \exists balo Jakali hubajejkoke, "he had just put off, (then) he met (lit. they two met!) \exists . J." (in which -me- indicates a movement away and downwards in space); hokolo be do jele mije jele jejbokojde nokojoke, "the two young (ones) became (and) remained (settled as) husband and wife" (in which je-, "give", with medial asp. aff. -bo- means "become"; and

the asp. aff. -a- in nakajake indicates a movement away, onward, in time); Hubulew u bənə mo je nəkəwnəməke: məhe mokodəmbonde?, "In H.'s body only the thought came to dwell along: how shall I do to him?" (in which the dir. asp. aff. -ma- in nakawnamake indicates a direction hither in time; the obj. aff. -no- in the same word refers to H.'s body; and the obj. aff. -əm-, for -ən- in the last word refers to H.'s companion); do-mijε mə koluwajnə u əhuwboj, "the people hold him by the hand (lit. hold his hand for him), he doesn't feel it" (in which mo, "hand", is the dir. obj. of the present koluwajno, and this obj. aff. -no refers to Jakali); Ajokoj oke koluwkowno wa a hilowboke, "A. went (and) held him, then only he (lit. his voice) was silent" (in which -no in the primary aor. koluwkowno refers to Jakali, and the asp. aff. -bo- is medial); Ajokoj he'le ka'la najkaj mokowboke, "A. made a loud crying (and) shouting" (in which -bo- is medial, but transitive); joku no falom folowkoke, "he cut off the dog's head" (with active transitive -ko-); but no əj da folowdike akənəwdike, "he cut (and) hacked upwards from the tree's inside" (with asp. aff. -di- indicating an upwards movement); na mije Hijaka da huwownokoke, "his wife told-him H. (everything)" (with asp. off. -ko- referring to all that happened, and obj. aff. -no- to Hijaka); hokolo be jo da awajmake, "the two young (ones) rowed home to their village" (in which asp. aff. -ma- indicates a movement hither, i.e. here, homeward, in space); mala doko je doko je okowoke, "again (and) again he poured down the pith" (with asp. off. -o- indicating a downward movement); but doko əhi meke okownohake, "another time he went away-down (and) poured (it) in for her" (with asp. aff. -ha- indicating the filling of, the doing into, the sago-container, and obj. aff. -no- to Dajmo's sister-in-law); cf. also mələ dowke meke okowfake ne-ka mije olo, "he took the pith, went down-off (and) poured it in, (but) his elder brother's wife wasn't there" (with dowke as always without any asp. aff.; and okowfake without an obj. aff., because the person of the indir. obj. was away); ka'ji dan do jewelewfoke, "he climbed out over on the canoe" (with roots ie-, "climb", and ele-, "come, jump forth, appear", in composition and with asp. aff. -fo- indicating a crossing, going over movement, in casu over the canoe's side); but nine jejelewboke ka'ji dan da, "they two jumped forth up on the canoe" (with medial -bo- in the same composition); nəbam ba wa də mokowbokawale, "badly I have behaved to thee" (with medial -bo-, and obj. aff. -wa- of 2^{nd} p.s. referring to indir. obj. already indicated separately by wa do); $\exists bow \ nabe \ ko$ dowke kondew adunswnokoke howaks adunswnokoke nabe u nabe wa mokownokoke jawole, "the Tortoise took that coconut just mentioned, closed (again) the (cleft halves of the) shell, closed (again) the (loose parts of the fibrous) husk, made them into the original state (lit. body of before, shape of before), and sank (viz. in the water)" (in which dowke as usual has no affix, the asp. aff. -ko- refers to the loose parts and the obj. aff. -no- to the coconut, while jawole is in the imperfect); no-nako ohamam mokownohake, "his mother prepared food for him" (the obj. aff. -no- referring to the son, and the asp. aff. -ha- to the putting into a container of the food); nej do nej do danojkoke, "they two pulled (it) each to himself" (with asp. off. -ko- in reciprocal function); and similarly: nej do nej do fojadewkoke, "they two went (and) embraced only each other"; etc.

The apparent inconsistency in the foregoing discussion, viz. the habitualis, which is durative and hence imperfective, but yet can take an aspect affix, is not really inconsistent. For although in its totality extending over a large segment of time, the action of this tense need not be continuous. It may consist of a series of single units which each in itself can be envisaged as momentaneous or of very short duration and as such perfective. The effect of the aspect affixes on the habitualis is, then, to transform it into a series of repeated single goal-determined actions, a kind of iterative, therefore, determinate as to goal; e.g., dej heke əmbaj əda bolu bam honojəle, "I have a garden (but) it lies all the time (i.e. continuously) without seedlings" (in which honojale is in the primary habitualis); ja ja daje mokojjale, "day (and) day I am used to work" (continuously, primary habitualis); əm fe habakaj do olejjajde, "they use to roll banana leaf for tobacco" (as before); ko bojjajde bojjajde mokaj, ko ahejjajde ahejjajde mokaj, "they are chopping the coconut apparently, they are peeling the coconut apparently" (as before); but Ajokoj hajejkojowno Jakali ka'la najkaj səle mokojbojole; bele nə Ajokoj ikələjbojownə, "every time (i.e. every single time) Ajokoj let him loose, Jakali made a terrible uproar; therefore A. every time got hold of him (again)" (in which the asp. affixes -ko- and -bo- turn the action of the three habitualis forms concerned into a series of repeated single actions of short duration determinate as to goal); for bam wali bam ojajnujane, "without fear (and) without life (expression for "carelessly") thou goest-behavest thyself every time" (as before; with reflexive affix -nu-); fole fole no nə əjnuwbojole (for əjnuwəjbojole) jejkojole ə'hamam anəjkojole bəlaw sabakaj kojkojole ajnujəle alojkojownə, "going on and on (lit. he goes across, he goes across), he uses to sit down now and then (i.e.

SYNTAX 67

intermittently) if tired (*lit*. in fatigue), take a breather, eat food, prepare a *betel* quid, (then again) to rise (and) to continue his way" (in which *fole* is in the present, and the other verbs are all in the secondary habitualis or iterative, resp. with medial -bo-, active transitive -ko-, and reflexive -nu-); etc.

A similar distinction in determination as exists between the aorist and the imperfective tenses, is found between the secondary future and the primary future. Hence the distinction between primary and secondary future is very much like that in modern Greek between a futurum praesentis (or continuum) and a futurum aoristi (or absolutum). The same is, mutatis mutandis, the case for the distinction between primary and secondary adhortative and primary and secondary conditional. Examples: ukawna hondombi fonde folonkonde, "he told him to go across (and) cut hondombi" (lit. "he told him he will go across (and) will..."; in which fonde is in the primary future, but folonkonde has the asp. aff. -ko- referring to hondombi 20 and determining the action as to this object and perfectivating it as to time); foj əm fe kaməwbonde dəwəwmənde, "go across to (lit. thou wilt) tear off a banana leaf (and) to (lit. thou wilt) bring it home" (with asp. affixes -bo- and -mo-); mohe mokodombonde?, "how shall I act towards him, what shall I do to him?" (with medial -bo- and obj. aff. -m- for -n- referring to the indir. obj.); həkəj bolu fəm bəle dəwəwfamande ba?, "wilt thou bring me some more hakaj seedlings?" (with asp. aff. -mo-, "hither", in dowo-, "take", which makes "bring"; and with obj. aff. 1st p.s. -fo-, dial. for -do- after -w-); balojsa odabom, "open (it) (and) let us two see" (adhort. 2^{nd} p.s. with asp. aff. -hareferring to the things in the package; and adhort. 1st p. du. with asp. aff. -bo-); do do mije do jejbojme dej na a wojojde bodojdobojme, "if thou shouldst become man (or) woman, thou wouldst understand my words (that) I would say to thee" (in which je-, "give", plus medial -bo- makes "become"; bodo-, "hear", plus -bo- makes "understand"; the obj. aff. -do- refers to the indir. obj. "me", the dir. obj. being a, "voice, words"; while wajajde must contain the obj. aff. -jaj- of the 2^{nd} p.s., but must *not* take an asp. aff.); etc.

After the foregoing there seems to be no further need to give examples of the use of the aspect affixes in the uninflected and partly inflected forms: the gerund, the negative and the vetative.

²⁰ See the vocabulary i.v.

VI. SPECIMEN TEXTS.21

VI. 1. Fa nundewboke da j ε dowkajnele.

Do əmbaj na kəlu fa be. Hokolo-fa dom me ne-ko də wəwnə: Ajdej, jejdoko dej mej kodo-nalo dom. Na kodo-nalo wahewmikoke. No ja məhe-məhe bam mo bele da, na hokolo-fa dom na kədə-nale na nəmə nə adiləwole, alownokoke, jo ahaw də əwole. Dikə nə na kədə-nalə əwsajəwboke, na u kaban də əke nəkəwole nə. Bele jo əj nə moni-maj kabam nəke. Bele jo na do əmbaj də əke əwnəhikewnuke. Bele do ukowno na heke do n-obo kaja do. No jaholo do ambonde do obo mej na ə'hamam modi-modi je ki owownə, bele je do əmbaj fəm na də əjej. Moj da na u bənə məwnənəkəwfike ələle: Məhe məhe hələn nəm me-ko le ufoj əhamam na hələm mele əkajjadajde; da bekə nə moni nə məkakəlele. Da adununde me-ko le buko də ədəle də, me-ko də ədənwənde: Ajdsj, dəjs nəbam ba Walofo də mokownobokale, wa də mokowbokawale; wa kəlu je da də əwəjdəm-me, wa ufoj je kenəjdə. Awnuke, ne-ko le buko də əwole. Məman na ahaw we ne-ko ədəkəwnə, hakawboke na kalu le u da, dowkawna, joj-bulu hunawowna, kana buhe hewowna. Bele fa ne-ko da wawna: Ajdej, daje nabam ba Walofo də mokownobokale, wa də mokowbokawale, wa kəlu iz da də əwəidəmmε. Bele jε nε-ko na ufoj də wəwmi: wəla-wəla jadonko maj malo foj moj mənnəhidənko, ojbo na mə nə mənnənə, odo məmalu mənnəhidənko. Obo bum mele jahi jele dəwəm mənnənsa. Amma, dejmaj koma. Da kəlu əwfədəke da je məwwalewboke, əwnundewboke da je məwjakalawboke. Nine anəwajde, dejmaj kowajde.

Nə fa bəna dom heke nə əkənəkəwole. Imɛ də məwole nobewnəməke, do-mijɛ dejmaj kajde jɛ bodowole. U-foj əmbaj kɛnəwnokoke,
hinəwnə: Dakə əha maka jɛ kajde? Bele u-foj jɛ wəwnə: wa ubakə
jɛ məke, ajdɛj obo bum mɛle jahi jɛle jɛ howboke, na foj moj ədəkəwnə
nə. Na bəna-fa ikələ kowole, əj də 'əjəj nəkəwole. Bele nə nɛ-ko
məwduwke, a moj-moj məkəwmokowownə. Bele jɛ nɛ-ko də wəwnə:
Bənə nəkəwəw; dakə dalo hələn nəm ba da wa də mo uhejməjawale,
da wɛj a ahi ələjkoj mo, bele jɛ wəjɛ da də obo kəlu kəndim fəm əjej
mo, da dɛj sokolo-wafew wɛle dejmaj kande. Bele jɛ wa kəlu dakə də

²¹ See the comment on these texts in I.1.

bam, wa kədə-nalə mije a menkə a də əwsajəwboke, wa obo bum mele jahi jele hownoboke. Ne-ko wəwnə: Kəlu, wəje da əmbele mo nəkəjjənde, dej na kədənalə wej mo. Dejmaj kaj, wa ubakə əwfədəke da je məwwalewboke, əwnundewboke da je məwjakalawboke.

VI. 2. Bala Jakali le Ajokoj de.

∃balə Jakali Buwaj jo da məke, Ohej jo nə məke nəkəwole. Nəjɛ mɛnkə fa kabam.²² Doko əmbaj nɛ-waw ukəwnə jo-kiki i'so jokoluwɛj faləm fonde folonkonde nɛ-waw də. Jakali foke nɛ-waw de joku nə faləm folowkoke hawəwkoke məke. Nɛ-waw də foke, wəwnə: Awaw, nabe joku faləm na də folowkokale hawəwkokale məkale, i'fa na. Dasim Kələwbew kulu-kulu jewboke: Waj di məhɛ! Hondombi ukawale, wa isom ba joku nə fokɛ hojɛ. Do əhi ukəwmi joku fokajde molajkoke.

Doko əhi nɛ-waw wəwnə: Foj əm fɛ kaməwbonde dəwəwmənde. Jakali foke əm fɛ kan-kam fələ-fələ kajke kajke məke. Nɛ-waw də wəwnə: Awaw, əmbɛ nabe əm fɛ. Nɛ-waw dowke bɛ, əm fɛ kani-kani daməle. Dakə maka mɛj? Jakali wəwnə: Awaw, nabe ukadɛ əm fɛ, nəjɛ jɛ. — Dəwəj əjnəkɛjsi bu nə; dakə habakaj də olejjajde nə? Jakali əwnəkɛwsike.

Fije-fije mo kowmoke no, ne-waw ukowmi do fi hawajkoke. Ja hewboke oke i'so do. Fi nokajde nokajde, Jakali oha amo-amo mokownoboke. Ka'la mo kenowoke. Do-mije mo koluwajno u ohuwboj. Noka'la mo kenowoke. Ajokoj, Dasim Kolowbew de omi, oke koluwkowno, wa a hilowboke. Ajokoj hajkojowno Jakali ka'la najkaj solo mokojbojole. Bele no Ajokoj ikolojbojowno. Nej mokaj mo kowoke.

Jo də fokajde, Dasim wəwmi: Nahibi ambo onnəhambo. Jakali le Ajokoj de nə ka'ji nə jawojde. Ajokoj nə ka'ji nə ə'hamam anəwole. Jakali na-huwɛ ajboke nɛj jo də. Jakali bu əj nə Ajokoj na mə jɛle hundam kojəke. Okajde jo nə imɛ wa-kundam mewojde Jakali nahi'bi adewnokoke ka'la: Wo ∃balə dɛ mijɛ, wo ∃balə dɛ mijɛ! Ajokoj he'le ka'la najkaj mokowboke, he'le bamba. Ohej do-mijɛ əkajde Dasim Kələwbew də wajnə: W-omi Jakali dowke nahi'bi nɛj molo də. Jakali doboni dəla wahewowmi, nahinki-hinki jewowmi. Dasim a 'ələj. Ninɛ bamo emɛm dojaw, bele məkaj na na-hinki bamo. Nɛj məkaj hokolo be do jɛle mijɛ jɛle jejbokəjde nəkəjəke.

VI. 3.] bale Jakali le Hubulew de.

Ja əmbaj Hubulew Ohej jo də dəla kajz də. Nəwmeke mo Bbalə

²² menkə-fa, "sister's child", i.e. the child of the sister of Dasim Kələwbew mentioned hereafter.

Jakali hubajejkoke.²³ Balə Jakali hinəwnə: Ako, wa maka də? Hubulew wəwnə: Ako, da Ohej jo də dəla kaje də. Balə Jakali Hubulew fə wəwnə: Ako, da Buki nə dakədəjde. Hubulew Ohej jo də iwole. Ike Wajəna bohi da oke. Nəkəwkoke mo dəla ikajnəle, nins woke məwole. Balə Jakali Buki nə dakəkəwnə am, woke bu moko də iwole. Ike bu moko nə hubajejkoke. Bbalə Jakali hinəwnə Hubulew fə: Ako, nendε dəla ikajejde? Hubulew wəwnə: Nə, ako, ikajsəle.24 ∃balə Jakali wəwnə: Ako, ədabom nendε nə. Hubulew dowke budεləwfake, ədəjboke mo Bbalə Jakali wəwnə: Ako, bu nə wajom, fakombonde əda? Hubulew ələle: Naw we? Nine wokəjde nahi'bi duwəwoke. Hubulew ka'la najkaj sələ kowownə Bbalə Jakali də. Nəbə da mo Buki də məwojde. Buki nə nəkəjkoke nej jo də əwojde; Hubulew Jawbe jo də, Balə Jakali nej Balə jo də. Məwojde bu moko nə mo Bala Jakali Hubulew fa wawna: Dej, ako, dej heke ambaj, ada bolu bam honojale. Hakaj bolu fam bale nawfande mawfajende? 25 Hubulew wəwnə: Ako, dahe nə; dej həkəj bolu najkaj sələ. Hubulew əke new bolu də mo folowkoke məke ikəwnə Bbalə Jakali də. Bbalə Jakali nej seke no molowkoke, kojdokajde hobajoke; na ani bam mo.

VI. 4. Translation of VI. 1.26

The child (that) even after he-was-lost, they-got [-him].

One man's sons (were) two. The younger child, for his part, to his father said [-to-him]: "Father, give-me my due goods [as for them]". His goods he-divided-to-them. Not many days only after that, his younger child [for his part] collected his goods altogether, he-departed (and) to a far country he-went. There he-went (-and) -squandered his possessions as he went (and) lived in great style. Inside that country a great famine broke out. To a certain man of that country he-went (and) entered-his-service. That man ordered-him to his garden as his pigs' guard. In order to fill his belly even the refuse intended for the pigs' fodder made his mouth water, but not one man gave (it) to him. After that he came to his senses (litt.: his body's thoughts came- (and-)

²³ In the 3^{rd} p. dual! More logical, in our eyes, would have been the 3^{rd} p. sg.

²⁴ Dialect form for $ikajd \theta le = jekajd \theta le$; cf. II. 1 and II. 3.

²⁵ Dialect form for $m \neq wd \neq jende$; cf. II. 1 and II. 3.

These translations, though not completely litteral, follow the Sentani text as closely as is possible without doing more violence to English idiom than is necessary for the purpose. Words between () do not occur in the Sentani text but must be supplied in English; those between [] do occur in the original but are not needed in English.

dwelled-in-him), (and) he says: "So many (are) my father's servants (who) went- (and-now-) take food in quantities; (but) I here have-come-(and-) perish from hunger. I shall-rise in order to go to my father's presence (and) to my father I-shall-go- (and-) say [-to-him]: 'Father, I wrong I-have-done [-him] to God, (and) I-have-done [-thee] to thee; thy son [even] to me do-not-say [-to-me], thy servant [though] call-me'." He-rose (and) to his father's presence he-went. (While) he (was) still far-away [even], his father saw-him, he-ran towards his son ['s person], he-took-him, kissed-him [the nose], (his) heart filled-(litt.: hung-) him (with) love. That child to his father says [-to-him]: "Father, I have done wrong to God and to thee, don't call me thy son". But his father to his servants says [-to-them]: "Quickly bring hither the finest clothing (and) come-clothe-him, stick [-him] rings on his hand, (and) come-put-on-him footwear. A fat and round [and] pig take (and) come-slaughter. Let-us-eat (and) let-us-make a feast. (For) my son, [even] after he-went- (and-) had-died, came- (and-) has-comeback-to-life, [even] after he-went- (and-) had-got-lost, he-came- (and-) has-been-found." Finally they-ate (and) made a feast.

His elder child, for his part, had-gone-to-stay in the garden. (When) he-went-back to the house (and) came-near-home, people (who) [just] are-making feast he heard. A slave he called [-him], (and) he askshim: "This thing, [just] what (is it) they-are-doing?" That servant [though] says-to-him: "Thy younger brother [just] has-come-home, (and) thy father has [even] killed a fat and round (and) pig, because he-has-seen-him (-back) sound-and-well." His (i.e., the) elder child became angry, inside he-did-not-go, he-stayed (where he was). Therefore his father came-down (and) came-made-him a kind speech. But to his father he-says: "(Thy) thought must dwell-in-thee (i.e., thou must think); [just] these [so] many years [I] to thee only have-Ialways-obeyed- [thee-] -till-now, I have-not-spoken once against thy word, but thou hast-not-given once even a small pig's young to me, (so that) I and my young friends [we-] make a feast. But thy (other) son (is) hardly here, (who) had-gone- (and-) squandered thy possessions on light women (and) girls, then thou-hast-killed-for-him a fat and round (and) pig." His father says-to-him: "Son, thou with me we just have-always-stayed (together), my own possessions (are) [just] thine. Let-them-make merry, (for) thy younger brother, even after he-went-(and-) had-died, he-came-home- (and-) has-come-back-to-life, even after he-went- (and-) had-disappeared, he-came- (and-) has-been-found (-again)."

VI. 5. Translation of VI. 2.

Jakali of Balə (village) and Ajokoj [and].

Jakali of \exists balə (village) came from Buwaj village, (and) he came (and) stayed in Ohej village. He (was) the grown-up sister's child (of Dasim Kələwbew).²² Once upon a time his mother's brother told-him to go over (and) cut (litt., he-will-go-over, will-cut) for his uncle jokoluwej faləm (on) the shore of the village's water-passage. Jakali went, cut off the head of his uncle's dog, loaded it (in a canoe), (and) came-back (with it). He went over to his uncle, (and) says-to-him: "Uncle, that dog's head just mentioned (is) there, I-have-cut- (it-) off, have-loaded (it), (and) come-back (with it), in the canoe." Dasim Kələwbew was (litt., became) startled: "Oh! how (is) that! Hondombi²⁷ I-said-to-thee, thou (art) just a fool, a dog thou wentst (and) killst." Other men he-told [-them] (and) they-went-over (and) buried the dog.

Another time his uncle says-to-him: "Go-over, thou-wilt-tear-off banana leaf (and) wilt-bring (-it) -back." Jakali went, cut (and) cut a banana leaf to little bits (and) scraps (and) came-home (with them). To his uncle he-says [-to-him]: "Uncle, that (is) that banana leaf just mentioned." His uncle had hardly taken (it), (then) he felt the banana leaf scraps. "This (is) for what?" Jakali says-to-him: "Uncle, that banana leaf thou-saidst-to-me, that's it". — "Take (and) go-throw-it-away in the water; (is) this (good) for tobacco (*i.e.*, cigars) when they-use-to-roll (it)?". Jakali went- (and-) threw-it-away.

Because always-thus only he-acted-up-to-this-time, his uncle ordered [-them] (other) men (and) they-loaded sago (i.e., he ordered others to load sago). (When) day had broken, he-went to the shore. They-had-knocked (and) knocked sago, (when) Jakali feigned fever. Shrieks only he-kept-on-shouting. (If) the people hold-him (by) the hand, he (litt., his body) does-not-notice (it). He just continued-shouting shrieks. Ajokoj, Dasim Kələwbew's daughter, went (and) held-him, then only (his) voice was-silent. Every time Ajokoj lets-him-loose, Jakali makes a very loud uproar. Therefore Ajokoj continues-holding-him. That way she just kept-on-doing.

(When) they-had-gone-over to the village, Dasim says-to-them: "Immediately take (and) bring-him-home." Jakali and Ajokoj (and) sat in her canoe. Ajokoj ate food in her canoe. In the evening they-

²⁷ Hondombi (dial.) = jokoluwej faləm forementioned; see vocabulary s.v. jokoluwej. Jakali, who came from another village, did not, or acted as if he did not, understand his uncle's words, and thought that joku faləm, dog's head, was meant.

transported Jakali to his own village. Jakali in the middle of the water continued-supporting-himself on Ajokoj's hand (litt.: J.... with A's hand they-two-kept-supporting). (When) they-all-had-disembarked in the village, they-two-went-down the house's nibung-passage, (then) suddenly Jakali embraced-her, shouting: "Hey (people of) \(\existsigma\) balə, (this is) my wife, hey \(\existsigma\) baləans, my wife!" Ajokoj uttered a loud crying and shrieking, a violent crying. The Ohej people went to Dasim Kələwbew (and) say-to-him: "Thy daughter suddenly has-taken Jakali for her [own] husband." Jakali dealt-out-to-them traditional gifts, very sufficiently he-gave-to-them. Dasim's voice did-not-speak (i.e., he was silent). After all (Jakali was) only a nephew through (his) sister, in that way (it was) just sufficient. (In) that same manner the two young people became (and) remained husband and wife (and).

VI. 6. Translation of VI. 3.

Jakali of Bala and Hubulew.

One day Hubulew (went) to Ohej village for the claiming of goods. Just (as) he-had-put-off, he-met Jakali of Bala (litt.: Jakali theytwo-met-each-other).23 Jakali of \exists balə asks-him: "Father, thou (goest) whither?" Hubulew says-to-him: "Father, I (go) to Ohej village to claim goods." Jakali of Bale to Hubulew says [-to-him]: "Father, I-shall-await-thee in the Buki." Hubulew went-up to Ohej village. He-went-up (and) by Cape Wajəna he landed. (After) he-had-stayedthere a while, they-gave-him the goods, (and) finally he-put-off (and) went-home. (Since) Jakali of Bala had not waited-for-him in the Buki, he-put-out (and) went-up to the open water. (After) he-hadgone-up, they-two-met-each-other in the open water. Jakali of Bala asks [-him] [to] Hubulew: "Father, those goods did-they-give- (them-) to-thee?" Hubulew says-to-him: "Yes, father, they-did-give- (it-) tome". Jakali of Bala says-to-him: "Father, let-us-two-see (it) now." Hubulew took (it, and) opened (it), (and) just as they-two-had-looked, Jakali of Balə says-to-him: "Father, let-us-two-throw- (it-) down, will-it-float, the thing?" (i.e., if we throw it in the water, will this stuff remain afloat?). Hubulew speaks: "Who knows?" Finally theytwo-threw, (and) promptly it-sank. Hubulew made a very big uproar against Jakali of Bala. After that, though, they-two-went-back to the Buki. (After) they-two-had-stayed in the Buki, they-two-went-off to their own villages; Hubulew to Jawbs village, Jakali of Bala to his own Bala village. Just as they-two-were-coming-back on the open lake, Jakali of Bala to Hubulew says [-to-him]: "Mine, father, mine (is) one garden (i.e., I have a certain garden), (which) is-all-the-time-lying without seedlings. Wilt-thou-cut (and) wilt-thou-come- (and-) give-me some more hakaj seedlings?" Hubulew says-to-him: "Father, don't worry; my (own) hakaj seedlings (are) very extraordinary." Hubulew went (and) cut (at) new ²⁸ seedlings, came-back (with them, and) gave [-him] (them) to Jakali of \exists bala. Jakali of \exists bala planted (them) in his own garden, (but after) they-had-come-up, they-kept-on-standing (only); its fruits (were) just not (there).

²⁸ See vocabulary s.v.

VII. VOCABULARY.

 \boldsymbol{a}

a 1° voice, word, order; a few, language (see few); 2° (the) underneath; a no, under, beneath; mije a, light woman; menko a, light girl

a- a-bo-, take (up), carry, load, transport; a-nu-, arise, stand up, behave oneself; $\partial - + a$ -nu-, go (and) stand up

 $adaj = ajd\epsilon j$, q.v.

ade- ade-ko-, embrace (each other); ade-bo-, id. (medial); fo-+adeko-, go over (and) embrace (each other)

adilə- gather, collect; adilə-hi-, id. aduna- connect, close, shut; adunako-, id.

a'fa father's brother

ahakaj, əhakaj snake (poisonous); see also dami

'ahaw far, far-away

ahe- remove the husk or shell (e.g., of a coconut); ahe-ko-, id.

ahi offence, breach, trespass; ahi ala-ko-, speak in offence, speak against, offend

 $ajd\varepsilon j$ father (vocative); thy, your father

aje bird; aje bum-bum, butterfly aje-pinch, jam, clench; aje-ha-, id. ajom crown-pigeon

elder brother; $m\varepsilon - ka$, $n\varepsilon - ka$, see Gr. III. 3. 2; aka-bεkə, relatives

akaj interj. ha!

aka'la, akə'la forest, jungle

akaw branch (of a tree)

ak - bite; ak - bo, id.

akələ- akələ-ko, to warm, heat, bask (in sun or heat)

akənə- hack, cut, chip, mince; akənə-di-, hack upwards

ako old man, father; $m\varepsilon$ -ko, $n\varepsilon$ -ko, see Gr. III. 3.2

ako- descend, climb down; akobo-, id.; o- + ako-, descend down alam bird's tail

alo- alo-ko-, make one's way to, set out for, continue one's way

alu wind

am not; see also bam

ama a lie

ama-ama for show, in appearance, sham; ama-ama moko-bo-, do as if, feign

amum dumb, mute

ana mother (vocative), thy, your mother; wife's mother, motherin-law; mother's sister

 $an(\partial)$ - partake of (food or drink), eat; $an(\vartheta)-ko-$, eat; $an(\vartheta)-hi-$, drink; ano-o-, go on eating, stay eating; $m \rightarrow + a n \rightarrow k o$, come-eat ani fruit

ankei ear

anu living room in the house

aw space for storing things

awa a species of tree (=webo, q.v.)

awaw mother's brother

aw(ə)- 1° row, paddle; awə-ə-,

row away; awə-mə-, row hither,

row back, row home; 2° peel,

flay, skin; awə-bo-, id.

awba(n)kə cassowary

\boldsymbol{b}

ba 1° just, only, a little; bam-ba, not little, exceeding(ly); 2° trace, vestige bada- bring together, unite, combine; bada-ko-, id.; fo-+-badako-, go over (and) combine baka shoulder balə- 1° plunge, dive; 2° balə-ha-, open, unpack, unfasten bam not, hardly; without; no good, bad; bam-ba, see ba; ban-ka, empty, emptiness, hollow(ness) bamo only, just; see ba and mo be two be- turn, return, repeat; be-o-, (re)turn down; be-ho-, turn off, away, use up; m_{∂} + be-ho-, come (and) turn off bele that, those; bele no, (in) there, therefore; bele da, thereafter, after that; bele $j\varepsilon$, but, however benəm twice, once more, again be 1° hardly, just; 2° song, singing; be moko-bo-, be ko-ko-, be wε-, sing songs $b\varepsilon$ - seek, search; $b\varepsilon$ -ho-, seek forth $b\varepsilon b\varepsilon$ - hew, slash, hack repeatedly $b\varepsilon'd\vartheta$ thigh

 $b \varepsilon j da$ pteropus; $b \varepsilon j da$ fələ-fələ, bat bεkə this, these; $b \varepsilon k \partial n \partial$, here benso a fly ba face ba- ba-ha-, spread out bəlaw the areca or betel nut; bəlaw-sabakaj, areca-and-tobacco, i.e., the betel quid bəle = $j\epsilon le$, q.v. bəna old; bəna-fa, elder, eldest, child (of a family) bənə thought, idea; u bənə, idea, ruse, senses bo bone bo- knock, strike; bo-hi, steer (a canoe) bobo hard bodo- hear, listen; bodo-bo-, listen, understand bohe bamboo bohi point, cape, headland bolu seedling; əda bolu, id. (see also əda, əha) bonej zwwa bonej, lip (see zwwa) bu water; bu əj nə, in the middle (litt.: the inside) of the water; bu moko, the open water (cf. moko); nimə bu, milk (cf. nimə); doj bu, sweat (cf. doj) budεlə- budεlə-ha-, open, unfasten, unpack buhi sort, kind; əha buhi, (some) sort of thing; aha buhi bam, not a thing, not the (right) sort of thing buhε love

Buki straits, name of a narrow

canal or strait in the Sentani lake

between an island and the main

shore (see also bu and kiki)
buko na buko də, to his presence,
before him
bula (ear)hanger, pendant
bulɛnde navel
buli = kəw; kəw-buli, very mad
bulu hole; joj-bulu, nostril, nose
(see also joj)
bulum (air) bubble (in water); see
also məlali
bum fat
bum-bum see aje and əha
buma up, above; buma də, upwards; buma da, 1° from above;
2° violently (as of a fright)

d

da 1° postpos. from, out of, by; 2° see dəjε da- lay, place; da-hi, lay, place with, on; direct to; ka'la da-hi-, utter a shout at da'ba wall, partition dadu (dial.) = $f \partial' la nana$, q.v. dahe dahe no (dial.) = maka no, q.v. dai daylight, daytime (as opposed to the night) dakə this, these; dakə nə, here dakə- wait (for), await dalo 1° star; 2° year dama chopping knife damo- handle, finger, touch, feel dami snake (in general; see ahakajdan top, over, above; dan na, in top, above, over; dan da, from

dano- jerk, pull, draw, drag; dano-

above

ko-, id. dawə- open, loosen; dawə-bo-, id. (medial) de postpos. with, belonging to, of (possessive); and de- de-ko-, sink (something), drive, penetrate into (something) deimai feast dele old (of men; see also male and nale) dene morning denijaj, dinijaj night $d\varepsilon(i)$ see $d\partial i\varepsilon$ $d \epsilon m$ see $d \delta m$ də postpos. to, towards, for $d \ni i \in da, d \ni d \in (i)$ pron. 1st p.sg.; see Gr. III. 3. 1 dəla see doboni $d \ni m$, $d \in m$ a paddle, oar dəmə tail (of animals other than birds) dow sprout, shoot, young leaf dəwbəhi-dawbəhi one side and the other, here and there, hither and thither $d \partial w(\partial)$ - see $d \partial w(\partial)$ di interj., short form of dika; waj di, see waj dika that, those, yonder; dika na, yonder dila- pour (of liquids), stream dilo- dive; dilo-bo-, id. (medial); dilo-ho-, dive over dima- weep; dima-ko-, weep about something do 1° man; do-mijε, man-andwoman, men-and-women, people; human being(s); 2° name; 3° egg doboni customary goods, traditional gifts; doboni-dəla, id.

dodo- stab, spout (as of water from a pipe or source); dodo-bo-,id.; fo- + dodo-bo-, proceed to stab

doj heat (of sun); doj san-sam,
burning of heat; see also han(a)-,
ham-

dojaw nephew; emεm dojaw, nephew through husband's sister doko time, turn; doko əmbaj, once (upon a time); doko jε doko jε, again and again

dom particle as for..., for the
 part of..., for one; hokolo-fa
 dom, as for the younger child
dow(ə)-, dəw(ə)- take, receive,
 get; dowə-mə-, bring home; ə + dowə-, go-get

du breadfruit (tree)

duka stone

duka-duka thick, massive

dulə- wrap up, pack; dulə-ko-, id. dundum fat, thick

duw(ə)- drop, fall, sink; duwə-o-,
drop, sink down; je- + duwə-,
emerge, come up-out (and) drop;
mə- + duwə-, come down, descend (e.g., from the house, which
stands on poles); o- + duwə-, descend (and) drop

e

ejε, εj pron. 1st p. pl., du., incl.; see Gr. III. 3. 1
ele- go through, come through (a hole), enter; je-+ ele-bo-, climb (and) come through; je-+ ele-ho-, climb (and) go over into
eme, emeho (forest-) kangaroo;

see also hamoj

emem husband's sister, sister-inlaw

enelo ghost, spectre, phantom

ε

 $\varepsilon j \quad \sec \varepsilon j \varepsilon$ $\varepsilon' k u \quad (\text{dial.}) = u' k a, \text{ q.v.}$

Э

ə- go, go away; ə-ə-, go away;ə-di-, go up, climbəbəw tortoise

 $\partial da = \partial ha$, q.v.

 $\partial d\partial$ - see, look; $\partial d\partial$ -bo-, id. (medial)

ədəj-mədəj invisible, unseen
əha 1° thing, object; əha bum-bum, things, traps, belongings;
əha kaho, see kaho; 2° fever
əhakaj = ahakaj, q.v.

ə'hamam food (cf. əha and an(ə)-)
ə'han(ə)jələ rations, provisions,
victuals (cf. əha and an(ə)-)

əhə (dial.) = $k \epsilon n do$, q.v.

ə'hə heavy

əhi, hi other

əj inside, middle; əj da, from inside; əj na, (in the) inside, in the middle

ojdaw (direction of) the waterside, the lake's shore

əjsihi hissing sound or noise ə'la skin, peel (of fruits)

ala fence, hedge

olo- speak, say; olo-ko-, speak (words), say (something); na a 'oloj, his voice does not speak,

i.e., he is silent am banana am'ba where? əmbaj one; alone; əmbaj nə, together, at the same time, at once postpos. together with, əmbele near, by; da-mbele = da ambele, by me, with me $\partial mb\epsilon$ (dial.) = bele, q.v. ama whole, all, entire; ama-foma, entire(ly), total(ly); (na)nəmə nə, (na)nəmə-fomə nə, in (its) entirety, entirely əmənsa lance, spear ∂ndi (dial.) = $dik\partial_{i}$, q.v. əwwa mouth; əwwa bonej, see bonei

f

fa child; hokolo-fa, younger, youngest child (of a family); bəna-fa, elder, eldest child jajə- əw-fajə-, see hajəfako- fako-bo-, float faləm head; jokoluwej faləm, see jokoluwej faw valley few tongue; a-few, language (see a)fε leaf; mə-fε, handpalm to 1° fear; to bam wali bam, without fear, without life, i.e., impudent and careless; 2° (dial.) = postpos. do after w fada- aw-fada-, see hadafədələ cockroach fə'la bow (-and-arrow); fə'la nana, bow; fə'la uj, arrow, shaft; fə'la do, warrior; isam-fə'la, war fala a species of shellfish (in) scraps, little bits fələ-fələ fəli forehead fəm even, so, just, some; fəm bəle (dial.) = for mele (mele for jele),some more, a little more fi sago $fij\epsilon(-fij\epsilon)$ thus, so, in that manner fo- go over, go across, cross, traverse (particularly the waterpassage between the village, which stands over the water, and the shore) fofo short foj good; foj-moj, very good; ufoi, see u 1° $fok \ge m = fofo, q.v.$ folo- cut, hack; folo-ko-, id.; folobo-, id. (medial); folo-di-, cut upwards foma coconut-rat foma-foma light (not heavy) see ama fomə fona day before yesterday

h

ha 1° (dial.) = o'ki, q.v.; 2° cord
ha- ha-bo-, take along, accompany,
conduct; o- + ha-bo-, go down
(and) take along
habakaj tobacco
habana head cover, made of tree
bark, worn by the bride whom it
is forbidden to see
haba- beat, strike; a-haba-, gobeat; ma-haba-, come-beat
hada- direct oneself to, bear down
on; hada-ko-, id.

hado- laugh; hado-ko-, laugh at haje-, hajə- hajə-ko-, loosen, let loose, let go; hajə-bo-, squander; $\partial - + haj \partial - bo$ -, go-squander hajsaj red haka see mu haka-bo-, run (away) hakahakalu see odo hako sorrow halosee olo ham noise, uproar ham- see $han(\partial)$ $ham-f\partial' la$ (dial.) = $isam-f\partial' la$, q.v. hamoj plains' kangaroo (see also eme) han(ə)-, ham- burn, bake, fry, roast, grill; han(ə)-bo-, id. (medial); cf. also hon(a)- (?) hawə- hawə-ko-, load, store; hawəbo-, embark (in a canoe) he (stone) axe he- hang; he-bo-, hang (intrans.), break (of day); ja hewboke, day broke; he-di-, hang up, dawn, break (of day) hebεlərun hejsede scattered; hejsede hijohijo, scattered all around, everywhere heke garden, field; nej seke, his own garden hele crying, weeping hele- kindle, set afire; hele-nu-, kindle self, take fire, burn (intrans.) henkendu mosquito hεj beard; hεj-saka, chin h
ightarrow bə- stand; h
ightarrow bə- go on standing hədədie; $h \ni d \ni -h \circ -, id.; \ni -+$

hədə-, go-die həhaj side həkəj an edible species of tuberous plant (Malay keladi) həki high, long (both of time and range) hə'le-hə'le thin (of objects) hələ just, right real; very, much; na-hələ, justly, rightly; justice $h
ilde{\partial l}
ightarrow 1^{\circ}$ much, many; $h
ilde{\partial l}
ightarrow n
ightarrow n$ (for hələn həm), so many; na hələm mele, in great quantities; 2° see m_{∂} and odoh
otam = f
otam, q.v.həmə see odo and cf. dəmə həndambo a species of tree (Malay bintangur) $hi = \partial hi$, q.v. hidə- hidə-ko-, put on, clothe; m_{∂} + hid_{∂} - k_{∂} come-clothe hijakə bird of paradise hijo-hijo see hejsede hikali straight hike frog hikε- hikε-nu-, attach oneself to, enter service of; ∂ - + $hik\epsilon$ -nu-, go (and) enter service of hiko- swim hikoj-sakoj tired out, exhausted hila- hila-bo-, be silent hilo- watch, spy on him cold (adj.) (of atmosphere) himi bad hinə who? hina- ask, question ho (dial.) = ko, q.v. ho- strike, slay, kill; ho-ko-, id.; ho-bo-, id. (medial), slaughter hoboj top (as of a tree) hodo throat, neck

hodo- struggle, fight; compete hoki bracelet hokolo young; young person; hokolo-fa, see fa holoboj sack, carrying net homunka mountain hondombi (dial.) = $jokoluw\epsilon j$ faləm, q.v. hona- roast, burn (trans.) hono- lie; hono-a-, go on lying; hono-hi-, lie upon, jump (upon) howaka fibrous bark or husk of coconut hu sun; hu $j\varepsilon$ hu $j\varepsilon$, ja $j\varepsilon$ ja $j\varepsilon$, day in, day out; see also ja huba- feel; huba-ma-, still feel hubajεhubajε-ko-, meet (each other) huhu blunt hulu waterplants, weeds hundam a support, a prop; base, basis; hundam ko-, use as support 1° sniff, smell, kiss; 2° hunahun-ko-, to swallow huwe evening, late afternoon; nahuwe, in the evening, to-night, at night; mana huwe, see mana huwa- tell, notice; huwa-bo-, notice, feel; huwo-ko-, tell (a story)

i

i fire
i-, ij- see jeibo idoko ibo, tears; cf. idoko
idε knowledge, knowing; idε am, not knowing, unknowingly
idə'ha tooth

Verh. dl. 47

idokoeye slumber, sleep; idoku no idokuna-, stick, i.e., drop into a slumber i'fa (small) canoe for men; kajii'fa, canoe (in general); see also ka'ji 'ikələ anger; ikələ ko-, make anger, i.e., be, become angry ikələ- ikələ-bo-, catch, hold, hold on ime house imə-imə dream(s); imə-imə nanali, id.; imə-imə nanali bewoke, he fell to dreaming; see also nanali and be-oimoli stern (of canoe) $in\epsilon$ - (dial.) = $in\epsilon$ -, q.v. inse- inse-ko-, break, splinter (as wood) inse(m)bu nasal mucus, snot isa thorn isam anger; isam-fə'la, see fə'la

 \boldsymbol{j}

isankə'lə bad, malicious (cf. isam)

isom fool, nitwit, nonentity iwakoko wild (forest) hen

i'so shore

ja 1° day (light); hu jε hu jε, ja
jε ja jε, see hu; ja hewboke, day
broke (see he-bo-); dakə ja, mana
ja, to-day (see dakə and mana);
2° rain; 3° already
ja- sink, sag, drop, go down
jaba (dry) riverbed, valley
jado- take, fetch, bring; jado-ko-,
id.; ə- + jado-ko-, go-fetch
jahələ stomach, belly

jahi round, fat jakala clear; Bu Jakala, Clear Water, i.e., the Sentani Lake jakala- jakala-bo-, become clear, visible, be found jaku sky, heaven jam roof jane- to hide; jane-ho-, hide away jawə-, jawoaccompany, take along; jawo-bo-, id.; jawo-ho-, take across *je-, i(j)-* 1° go up, rise; 2° give; je-ko-, id.; je-bo-, become; mə-+ je-(ko-), come (and) give; no je-ko-, breathe, take a breather (cf. no)jej interj. hey!; jej bi, hey there! jew (coconut) leaf jε emphatic particle; even, just jele postpos. with; and; do jele mije jele, man and woman, husband and wife (cf. also le = de) ia firewood *jəli-jəli* crooked, curved jo village, country; jo-kiki, see kiki; jobo, see obo joj nose; joj-bulu, see bulu $jok\epsilon jjo$ enemy, foe; cf. jo and $k\epsilon$ jokoba island jokoluwεj the main rib of the coconut leaf; jokoluwej falom, the head (i.e., sheath) of the main rib (which is used for making fire) jokonim smoke joku dog; obo-joku, animal (litt.: pig-dog, see obo) jomo point (as of a weapon) ju 1° sugar cane; 2° fat (subst.); 3° sweet jum sleeping mat

k

ka fish kabam big, great, fat, full-grown kabemake (a) mistake(s), do wrong, ill-treat; kabe-ko-, id.; (u) nəbam kabe-ko-, commit a sin kabija entrails, intestines *kabuluw(ə)*- wrestle kadu cheek kahebzi a species of fish (Mal. gabus) kaho low (of level of stores in a container), empty ka'ja guard, watch; ka'ja həbə-. stand guard, keep watch kaje-, kaj(a)- kaje-ko-, split, cleave, burst, break (a stone or bone) $kaj\varepsilon$ a claim, a demand, the collecting (of a debt) ka'ji (big) canoe for women (and children); ka, ji-i'fa, see i'fa kajkulum crocodile kala a species of shellfish ka'la a shout, cry, shriek kala- recover, heal (of sickness) kala-kala dry ka,la-ka'la mə kala-ka'la, finger; odo kala-ka'la, toe (see also ma and odo) kalo = kaho, q.v.kambi neck kambu root kaməkamə-bo-, tear (off), tear up kana- look up (at), look upwards kani earth, ground

kani-kani = kan-kam, q.v.

kan-kam torn to shreds, small

pieces, scraps

kele space under something (as under the house on poles); kelenə, in that space; under, belowkele-kele lean, thin

kele- show, point out; kele-bo-, id.

 $k\varepsilon$ - throw; $k\varepsilon$ -hi-, throw away; ∂ - + $k\varepsilon$ -hi-, go-throw away

kendo dung; noje kendo na, he is doing his needs

 $k \in n \partial$ - call, shout, name; $k \in n \partial$ - ∂ -, go on shouting

kew-kow wide, broad

kədə-nalə (family) goods, possessions

 $k \ni jd\vartheta$ - climb, come up, grow (as of plants); je- $+ k\vartheta d\vartheta j$ -, rise up, come up, emerge

kəjnahu, kəjnzhu urine

kəle- suffer, perish

kələn-kələm dry

kə'ləwmom white

kəli four

kəlu son; kəlu fa, id.

kəma vagina

kəna heart, kernel; kəna nə, (have) in mind, (be) up to

kəndim small, little

kəw strange(ness), foolish(ness), mad(ness); kəw wε huwə-, regard, consider something strange, inexplicable, mad; cf. huwə-

ki 1° saliva, spittle; ki ho-bo-, to spit (cf. ho-); ki o-, make the mouth water; 2° deed, act; 3° infant; ki-lo, infant (boy); ki-mijɛ, infant (girl); see do and mijɛ

kiho sand

kika dark

kiki narrow(ness), narrow canal; jo kiki, the narrow strip of water between the village, which stands over the water, and the shore

kiki-kaka = kika, q.v.

kili grating, squeaking or creaking noise

kim see odo

ko coconut

ko- do, make, work; ko-ko-, make (something); ko- ∂ -, go on acting, doing; ko-ho-, id.; ko- $m\partial$ -, do, act up to now; ∂ - + ko-, go (and) behave (oneself), go-do

kodo astonishing, surprising,
strange; u kodo, wa kodo, utterly
strange (person); see also u and
wa

kojde kojde lo, adviser, counselor (lo for do)

kokum deaf

kolo- treat (to a meal etc.); kolo-ko-, id.

kolu unripe

koluw(a)- hold (fast)

komonum see odo

kondew (cleft) coconut shell, husk kowkow reverse side, backside; maw kowkow no, behind the door ku 1° thunder; 2° bracelet

kulu-kulu startled, frightened; kulukulu je-bo-, be startled

kulum 1° rumbling sound, thundering noise; 2° things, belongings, traps; kulum wo-, pack up one's things, traps

kumba idoko kumba, blind kundam passage, corridor; wa kundam, passage made of wa (q.v. 2°) l

le = de, after vowels, q.v.

m

ma 1° hair, feathers; 2° see məjε maj 1° hither (= 3^{rd} p. pl. adhort. of ma-, come); 2° disaster, distress; 3° see maje maka what?; maka mej, what for?, to what end?; maka no, why?; don't worry, no trouble! male old (of objects); see also dele and nale malew(-malew) slow(1y)malə(-malə) soft, weak malo clothing mana now; to-day; mana huwe. this evening, to-night; mana ja, to-day mandilim cold (subst.); mandilin no ko-, suffer from cold, be cold maw door (opening), doorway, en-

trance

me- 1° go in receding and descending direction (particularly to the waterside, or into a canoe);

2° see meje

mejε, me, mεj pron. 1st p. pl. excl., see Gr. III. 3. 1

 $m\varepsilon = j\varepsilon$ after m, q.v.

mεj 1° due, right; 2° see mejε

mε-ka see aka

mε-ko see ako

 $m \epsilon l e = j \epsilon l e$ after m, q.v.

menkə sister, girl; menkə fa, 1° sister's child; 2° girl-child, i.e., daughter; menkə a, see a 2°

mə 1° hand, arm; mə fε, hand-

(palm); m h a' l a(m), elbow; mə kala-ka'la, finger; mə ninkεj, armpit; 2° see majε ma- come, come back, come home, go back, go home mə-be ten mə'hε how?; məhε-mə'hε, somehow, some, several məhəmbaj five məhi sadness, remorse məhinə-be seven $m \ni hin(\ni) - \ni mbaj$ six məjε, ma, mə, maj pron. 2nd p. pl., see Gr. III. 3. 1 məkaj 1° manner, way, method; $n \in j \quad m \ni k = a = b \in m \ni k = a = (n \cdot a)$ (in) that manner, thus; u-məkaj, body-, person-manner, i.e., character; 2° apparently tiny bubbles (in water); bulum məlali, bubbles; see also bulum

mələm flesh, meat
mələ pith (as of sago)
məmalu odo məmalu, footwear
məmam still, yet
mə-nakə see nakə
mənkəj nail
mi flea, louse
mije woman, wife: do-mije see

mijε woman, wife; do-mijε, see do 1°; mijε a, see a 2°

mo only, just, once, (with negation) ever

modi-modi refuse, dirt

moj behind, back, after; moj da, from behind, afterwards, thereafter

moj-moj soft, persuasive, kindmoko hill, mountain; bu moko, thehigh water, open water

moko- make, do; moko-ko-, id.; moko-bo-, make for oneself, act, behave; moko-ha-, do, put, pack into something; m_{∂} + m_{∂} + m_{∂} come (and) make, do mokodu back (part of body) molo husband molo- work on, prepare, fix something; molo-ko, work on (a garden), plant, inter, bury; write; molo-ha-, plant; molo-hi, fix to, hang upon; molo-bo-, become unconscious, lose consciousness moni hunger; moni maj, famine (see maj) mu penis; mu haka, testicles

n

na, no 1° postpos. in, on, at; if, as, when; because; 2° see noje nabe that, those (just mentioned, of before, you know of) na-da thither (with postpos. da); na do mo, na do mo, hither and thither, on and on nahi'bi promptly, suddenly, immediately nahinki(-hinki) sufficient(ly) extraordinary; intense; najkaj-sajkaj, very extraordinary naka old woman, mother; manakə, my, our mother; nə-nakə, his mother nale old (of women); see also dele and male nalə 1° nest; 2° see kədə-nalə name three nanali dream; see also imo-imo nananə low (cf. a?)

85 $nan
ag{ma}(-fom
ag{ma})(n
ag{ma})$ see $ag{ma}$ nawa interj. indeed!, good! warm, hot naw'mə interj. indicating doubt, naw-we who knows! ($w\varepsilon = j\varepsilon$, q.v.) $nend\epsilon$ (dial.) = $dak\vartheta$; $nend\epsilon$ $n\vartheta$, here, now new a useless species of tuberous plant, resembling həkəj, q.v. $n\varepsilon(j)$ see noie ne-ka see aka nε-ko see ako na see na, na stick, stab; cut; steer, head (a canoe) towards; pick out, knock out (sago pith); hit, afflict (of a disaster or disease); rise, get up (of wind); no-ha-, stick, slaughter; no-me-, embark, put off (by canoe); fo - + na-, go (and) stick etc.; $m_{\bar{\partial}} + n_{\bar{\partial}}$, come-stick nəbam bad(ness), bad conduct (cf. bam?); u- $n \ni bam$, \sin (see also u) $n \ni b \ni (dial.) = bele; n \ni b \ni da =$ bele da, q.v. $n \ni i \in na, na, n \in (i)$ pron. 3^{rd} p. sg., du., pl.; see Gr. III. 3.1 nəkə- sit down, settle, dwell, stay, remain; trans. cause to stay, sit, lie; nəkə-ə, go on staying; nəkəko-, stay (somewhere),

(somewhere); $\partial - + n\partial k\partial -$, go (and) stay, settle; $m_{\overline{\partial}} + n_{\overline{\partial}} k_{\overline{\partial}} - hi$, come (and) sit, settle in something (as a thought in a person)

nakahabo crawfish, shrimp, lobster

nala- knead nəli grass, weed nəmə 1° new; 2° see əmə

nə-nakə see nakə nibi path, road $nih\epsilon$ - (dial.) = $in\epsilon$ -, $in\epsilon$ -, q.v. $nij\epsilon = n \partial j\epsilon$, q.v. nimə 1° (woman's) breast; nimə bu, see bu; 2° ripe $nim_{\partial}-nim_{\partial}$ (dial.) = hajsaj, q.v. nimoko breast, chest nine end, finish; finally, at last, lastly, after all ninkoj sweet potato, batata no 1° tree (trunk); 2° tiredness, fatigue now odo now, see odo nobe near(ness) nobe- nobe-ko-, get near to; nobema-, come near nodo wing no'komom black nuku cold (adj.) (of objects) nulu u nulu, shadow, spirit, ghost, soul; reflected image (as in the water or a mirror); cf. u num hiding, hiding-place nunde- nunde-bo-, be, get lost, disappear; ∂ - + nunde-bo-, go-disappear $nuw(\partial)$ - $nuw(\partial)$ -bo-, sit, rest; ∂ -+ nuw(a)-bo-, go-rest; je-+nuwə-bo-, climb up (and) rest

0

o wood (the material)
o- descend, go down, disembark (from a canoe); o-o-, descenddown; o-bo-, collapse; ki o-, see ki 1°
obe young men's house
o'bi ladder, stairs

obo pig; obo kəlu, pig's young; obo-joku, see joku; jobo (= jo+ obo), tame pig; cf. jo odo foot, leg; odo fa, footsole; odo hakalu, ankle; odo hə'lə(m), knee; odo həmə, heel; odo ka,laka'la, toe; odo kim, calf; odo komonum, shins, odo now, footmark, track (dial.) = o'bi, q.v.odowalooho- to jump, start, be startled; oho-ə-, jump away; buma da oho-ko-, jump up from fright, give a violent start; cf. buma ojbo 1° ring; 2° gerund, 2nd p. sg. and 3rd p. du. adhort. of o-bo-; see oo'ki blood oko moon pour; oko-o-, pour down; okooko-ha-, pour into ole- roll (as tobacco for cigars) olo empty, deserted (of animate beings only); olo-halo, quite deserted; see also $u 2^{\circ}$ olokaka spin oloku a species of banana; am oloku, id. omi daughter ondofolo clan chief, headman o(n)dowaj ashes onko men's house ow (baked) sago cake

S

sabakaj see habakaj saje-, sajə- əw-saje-, əj-saje-, ənsaje-, see haje-, hajəsaka see hejsaka and cf. haka sakoj see hikoj-sakoj
seke see heke
sə (dial.) = postpos. də after w
 or j
sələ see hələ
sokolo see hokolo

u

u 1° body, person; u foj, good,
i.e., healthy, body; u foj dowa-,
become healthy, recover; u-foj,
servant, slave, worker; u bana, see
bana; u-be, fourty; u-(a)mbaj,
twenty; u-makaj, see makaj 1°;
u-nabam, bad-, sick-body, i.e.,
badness, sin; u-nulu, see nulu;
u kaban da naka-, live to great
body, i.e., in great style; nabe u,
nabe wa, the original state, original shape (of something), cf. nabe
and wa; 2° empty (of things);
u je-bo-, become empty; see also
olo

u-, uw- see wəubakə younger brother, sister
ube prow (of canoe)
uhe- obey, serve; uhe-mə-, obey
up to now
ujaw friend, comrade
u'ka cane-grass
ukə- cut down, fell (a tree)

w

wa 1° particle then only, just then, just that; 2° a palmtree (Malay nibung); 3° skin, hide, bark; nabe u, nabe wa, see u 1°; 4° see wəjɛ wabo- frighten, worry, tease; o-+ wabə-, go-frighten; amə wabə-, to lie; see ama wabo depth, bottom (of water) wafe day after to-morrow wafew friend, companion wahe- divide, deal out; wahe-ko-, id. wahena to-morrow waj 1° (direction of the) landside (as opposed to the waterside; see $\partial idaw$) $2^{\circ} = waj \ di!$, Oh!, woe!; waj di məhe, Oh! how (is) that, What have you done! waku drum wale- live; $m_{\bar{e}}$ + wale-bo-, come back to life wali life, alive; fo bam, wali bam, see fa walofo spirit, ghost, God wanam shape, manner, way; a wanam, like a voice; na wanam, (in) that manner, thus, similarly; əha wanəm bam, not the (right) shape of thing, not the thing to do wankoko (dial.) = iwakoko waw fishing net waw-waw deep we mouse, rat a species of tree (Malay webo pulai) wena yesterday $w\varepsilon = j\varepsilon$ after w, q.v. we- we-bo-, dig $w\varepsilon(i)$ see $w\partial i\varepsilon$ w_{∂} - (in some forms u-, uw-) 1°

wə- (in some forms u-, uw-) 1° knock, beat, hack; wə-bo-, id. (medial), crack, cleave (a coconut); wə-di-, knock, strike upwards; fly up (of birds); step up

into, arrive at (the house which stands on poles), pull up, stand still, stop; $m_{\bar{\sigma}}$ +- $w_{\bar{\sigma}}$ -di-, arrive home; 2° turn the head, look round, look up; 3° (always with obj. affix) say to, tell, order to $w_{\bar{\sigma}}$, $v_{\bar{\sigma}}$, pron. 2^{nd} p. sg.; see Gr. III. 3. 1

wə,la-wə'la quick(ly)
wəlanka fenced-in space, corral
wi river
wili lightning
wo interj. O!, Hey!
wo- throw, cast; launch, put out
(a canoe); wo-o-, throw down,
cause to drop, to sink

KONINKLIJK INSTITUUT

VOOR TAAL-, LAND- EN VOLKENKUNDE

VERHANDELINGEN

- 1. H. Terpstra, De Factorij der Oostindische Compagnie te Patani. 1938.
- 2. E. J. van den Berg, De val van Sora. 1939.
- 3. C. Nooteboom, Oost-Soemba. 1940.
- 4. M. A. P. Roelofsz, De vestiging der Nederlanders ter kuste Malabar. 1943.
- 5. Hadji Hasan Moestapa, Over de gewoonten en gebruiken der Soendanezen. 1946.
- 6. J. J. Dormeier, Banggaisch Adatrecht. 1947.
- 7. W. F. Stutterheim, De kraton van Majapahit. 1948.
- 8. F. S. Eringa, Loetoeng Kasaroeng. Een mythologisch verhaal uit West-Java (Eerste gedeelte). 1949.
- 9. A. Teeuw, Hariwańśa. 1950. 2 delen.
- 10. G. Maan, Proeve van een Bulische Spraakkunst. 1951.
- 11. J. C. Anceaux, The Wolio Language. Outline of Grammatical Description and Texts. 1952.
- 12. J. Wils, Het passieve werkwoord in de Indonesische talen. 1952.
- 13. H. J. de Graaf, De regering van Panembahan Sénapati Ingalaga. 1954.

- 14. John Bastin, Raffles' ideas on the Land Rent System in Java and the work of the Mackenzie Land Tenure Commission. 1954.
- 15. Graham Irwin, Nineteenth-Century Borneo. A study in Diplomatic Rivalry. 1955.
- 16. C. Hooykaas, The Old-Javanese Rāmāyana Kakawin with special reference to the problem of interpolation in kakawins. 1955.
- 17. P. Donatus Dunselman O.F.M. Cap., Kana Sera. Zang der zwangerschap. 1955.
- 18. G. W. J. Drewes, Een 16de eeuwse Maleise vertaling van de Burda van Al-Būṣīrī (Arabisch lofdicht op Mohammad). 1955.
- 19. W. Kern, Commentaar op de Salasilah van Koetai. 1956.
- 20. G. J. Held, Waropense teksten. 1956.
- 21. H. R. van Heekeren, The Stone Age of Indonesia. 1957.
- 22. H. R. van Heekeren, The Bronze-Iron Age of Indonesia. 1958.
- 23. H. J. de Graaf, De regering van Sultan Agung en die van zijn voorganger. 1958.
- 24. G. W. J. Drewes and P. Voorhoeve, Adat Atjèh. 1958.
- 25. A. Teeuw, Lombok. Een dialect-geografische studie. 1958.
- 26. Teuku Iskandar, De Hikajat Atjèh. 1958.
- 27. H. J. Marks, The first contest for Singapore 1819—1824. 1959.
- 28. J. Brugman, De betekenis van het Mohammedaanse recht in het hedendaagse Egypte. 1960.
- 29. E. M. Uhlenbeck, met medew. van J. Soegiarto, Aantekeningen bij Tjan Tjoe Siem's vertaling van de lakon Kurupati rabi. 1960.
- 30. E. M. Uhlenbeck, Het systeem der Javaanse pronomina. 1960.
- 31. Jan van Lohuizen, The Dutch East India Company and Mysore 1762—1790. 1961.
- 32. Han Bing Siong, An Outline of the recent History of Indonesian Criminal Law. 1961.

- 33. H. J. de Graaf, De regering van Sunan Mangku-Rat I Tegal-Wangi, Vorst van Mataram, 1646—1677. I. De ontbinding van het rijk. 1961.
- 34. H. Myron Bromley, The Phonology of Lower Grand Valley Dani. 1961.
- 35. J. C. Anceaux, The linguistic situation in the Islands of Yapen, Kurudu, Nau and Miosnum, New Guinea. 1961.
- 36. G. W. J. Drewes, De Biografie van een Minangkabausen Peperhandelaar in de Lampongs. 1961.
- 37. J. P. K. van Eechoud, Etnografie van de Kaowerawédj (Centraal Nieuw-Guinea). 1962.
- 38. Tapan Raychaudhuri, Jan Company in Coromandel, 1605—1960. 1962.
- 39. H. J. de Graaf, De regering van Sunan Mangku-Rat I Tegal-Wangi, Vorst van Mataram, 1646—1677. II Opstanding en ondergang. 1962.
- 40. C. Skinner, Sja'ir Perang Mengkasar (The Rhymed Chronicle of the Macassar War) by Entji' Amin. 1963.
- 41. Silvia W. de Groot, Van isolatie naar integratie. De Surinaamse Marrons en hun afstammelingen. Officiële documenten betreffende de Djoeka's (1845—1863). 1963.
- 42. P. Drabbe, Drie Asmat-dialecten. 1963.
- 43. S. J. Esser, De Uma-taal (West Midden-Celebes). Spraakkunstige schets en teksten. 1964.
- 44. J. C. Anceaux, The Nimboran Language. Phonology and Morphology. 1965.
- 45. H. van der Veen, The Merok Feast of the Sa'dan Toradja. 1965.
- 46. C. L. Voorhoeve, The Flamingo Bay Dialect of the Asmat Language. 1965.